arXiv:1412.7088v1 [math.DS] 22 Dec 2014

Orbits of nearly integrable systems accumulating to KAM tori

M. Guardia, V. Kaloshin

December 23, 2014

Abstract

Consider a sufficiently smooth nearly integrable Hamiltonian system of two and a half degrees of freedom in action-angle coordinates

$$H_\varepsilon(\varphi, I, t) = H_0(I) + \varepsilon H_1(\varphi, I, t), \qquad \varphi \in \mathbb{T}^2,\ I \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^2,\ t \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser Theorem asserts that a set of nearly full measure in phase space consists of three dimensional invariant tori carrying quasiperiodic dynamics.

In this paper we prove that for a class of nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems there is an orbit which contains these KAM tori in its ω-limit set. This implies that the closure of the orbit has almost full measure in the phase space. As byproduct, we show that KAM tori are Lyapunov unstable. The proof relies in the recent developments in the study of Arnold diffusion in nearly integrable systems [BKZ11, KZ12]. It is a combination of geometric and variational techniques.

Contents

1 Introduction **3**
1.1 The main result 3
1.2 Whitney KAM topology and an improvement of the main result . . . 5
1.3 Open problems: 6
1.4 Arnold diffusion 7

2 Outline of the proof of Theorem 2 **8**
2.1 A tree of Dirichlet resonances 12
2.2 Deformation of the Hamiltonian and a tree of NHICs 16
2.2.1 The Pöschel normal form and molification of the Hamiltonian 17
2.2.2 Different regimes along resonances 18

2.3 Analysis of the single resonance zones 20
2.3.1 Normal forms along single resonances 21
2.3.2 NHICs for the truncated system 21
2.3.3 NHICs along single resonances 23
2.4 Analysis of the core of double resonances 25
2.4.1 Normal forms in the core of double resonances 25
2.4.2 Cylinders in the core of double resonances 26
2.5 Localization of the Aubry sets 32
2.5.1 Aubry sets in the single resonance zones 32
2.5.2 Aubry sets in the core of the double resonances 33
2.6 Diffusion mechanism, shadowing, equivalent forcing classes 35
2.6.1 Key Theorem 10 implies Theorem 2 36

3 First step of the proof: Selection of resonances 37
3.1 Approximation of Diophantine vectors 38
3.2 Proof of Theorem 12: approaching one Diophantine frequency 39
3.3 Net of resonances: proof of Key Theorem 1 41

4 The deformation procedure 44
4.1 Perturbation along resonant segments 44
4.1.1 The perturbation along the resonance of $\mathbf{I}_0$ 44
4.1.2 The perturbation along resonances of $\mathbf{I}_n$ for $n \geq 1$ 45

5 Different regimes & normal forms approaching Diophantine ω's 46
5.1 Covering the Dirichlet segments by strong double resonances 47
5.2 Normal form along single resonance: proof of Key Theorem 2 48
5.2.1 Proof of Theorem 14: Normal form in the single resonance zones 50
5.3 Normal Form in the cores of double resonances: proof of Key Theorem 5 53
5.3.1 Bounemoura normal form 53
5.3.2 Slow-fast variables 55

6 Deformation of average potentials: proof of Key Theorem 3 56
6.1 Perturbation of families of functions on the circle 58
6.2 Condition on local minimum 60
6.3 Bifurcation of global minima 62
6.4 Deformation of single averaged potential along Dirichlet resonances . 64

7 The NHICs along single resonances: proof of Key Theorem 4 65
7.1 Change to slow-fast variables 66
7.2 Existence of NHICs 67
7.3 Proof of Theorem 18: existence of NHICs 68

8 Aubry sets in single resonance zones: proof of Key Theorem 8 **75**
8.1 Vertical estimates: proof of Theorem 19 76
8.2 Horizontal estimates: proof of Theorem 20 77
8.2.1 Localization for the slow angle: proof of Proposition 2 80
8.2.2 Localization for the slow action: proof of Proposition 3 85

9 Shadowing: proof of Key Theorem 10 **86**
9.1 Equivalent forcing class along single resonances 88
9.2 Equivalent forcing class along double resonances 91

A A time-periodic KAM **93**
A.1 Application of Pöschel Theorem to our setting 95

B Smoothness of compositions and the inverse **96**

C Generic Tonelli Hamiltonians & a Generalized Mapertuis Principle **99**
C.1 A generalized Mapertuis principle 102
C.2 Proof of Lemma 4 103

D Weak KAM theory, the Aubry and Mañé sets **104**
D.1 Overlapping pseudographs 105
D.2 The Lax-Oleinik semi-group 106
D.3 Mather, Aubry and Mañé sets 106
D.4 Forcing relation 107

E Notations **108**
E.1 Fixed constants 108
E.2 Other notations 109

1 Introduction

1.1 The main result

Let U be a convex bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider a $\mathcal{C}^2$ smooth strictly convex Hamiltonian $H_0(I),\ I \in U$, i.e. for some $D > 1$ we have

$$D^{-1}\|v\| \leq \langle \partial_I^2 H_0(I)v, v\rangle \leq D\|v\| \ \text{ for any } I \in U \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1}$$

Fix $r \geq 2$ and consider the space of $\mathcal{C}^r$–perturbations: $\mathcal{C}^r(\mathbb{T}^n \times U \times \mathbb{T}) \ni H_1(\varphi, I, t)$. Denote the unit sphere with respect to the standard $\mathcal{C}^r$ norm, given by maximum of all partial derivative of order up to r, by $\mathcal{S}^r = \{H_1 \in \mathcal{C}^r : \ \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} = 1\}$.

In this paper we study dynamics of nearly integrable systems

$$H_\varepsilon(\varphi, I, t) = H_0(I) + \varepsilon H_1(\varphi, I, t). \tag{2}$$

Assume that for some $r \geq 2$,

$$\|H_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^{3r+9}} \leq 1, \qquad \|H_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq 1. \tag{3}$$

Consider $\eta > 0$, $\tau > 0$. A vector $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called (η, τ)-*Diophantine* if $|\omega \cdot k + k_0| \geq \eta \, |(k, k_0)|^{-n-\tau}$ for each $(k, k_0) \in (\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau} = \left\{\omega : |\omega \cdot k + k_0| \geq \eta \, |(k, k_0)|^{-n-\tau}\right\} \tag{4}$$

this set of frequencies. Denote $U' = \nabla H_0(U)$ the set of values of the gradient of H_0. It is a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $U'_\eta \subset U'$ be the set of points whose η-neighborhoods belong to U'. Denote by Leb the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}$ and $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau} := \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau} \cap U'_\eta$.

Theorem 1. *(time-periodic KAM) Let $\eta, \tau > 0$, $r > 2n + 2\tau + 2$. Assume* (1) *and* (3)*. Then there exist $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(H_0, \eta, \tau) > 0$ and $c_0 = c_0(H_0, r, n) > 0$ such that for any ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and any $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ the Hamiltonian $H_0 + \varepsilon H_1$ has a $(n+1)$-dimensional (KAM) invariant torus $\mathcal{T}_\omega$ and dynamics restricted to $\mathcal{T}_\omega$ is smoothly conjugate to the constant flow $(\dot\varphi, \dot t) = (\omega, 1)$ on $\mathbb{T}^{n+1}$. Moreover,*

$$\mathrm{Leb}(\cup_{\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau} \cap U'_\eta} \mathcal{T}_\omega) > (1 - c_0\eta) \, \mathrm{Leb}(\mathbb{T}^n \times U' \times \mathbb{T}).$$

This theorem was essentially proven by Pöschel [Pös82]. We add the actual derivation in Appendix A. One can enlarge the set of KAM tori by lowering η and improve the lower bound to $1 - c_0\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, but we do not rely on this improvement. In this paper we study the Arnold diffusion phenomenon for these systems in the case $n = 2$ and consider only the tori with frequencies in $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$. Denote the set of all such KAM tori by $\mathrm{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau} := \cup_{\omega \in \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}} \mathcal{T}_\omega$.

Recall a well known question about ergodic behavior of Hamiltonians systems:

The Quasi-ergodic Hypothesis (Ehrenfest [Ehr59], Birkhoff [Bir68]) *A typical Hamiltonian has a dense orbit in a typical energy surface.*

Theorem 2. *(The First Main Result) Let $\eta, \tau > 0$. Then, for any $r \geq 2018$ and any $\mathcal{C}^{3r+9}$ smooth strictly convex Hamiltonian H_0 there exists a $\mathcal{C}^r$ dense set of perturbations $D \subset \mathcal{S}^r$ such that for any $H_1 \in D$ there is $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\eta, \tau, r, H_0, H_1) \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ (where ε_0 is the constant from Theorem 1) with the property that the Hamiltonian $H_0 + \varepsilon H_1$ has an orbit $(\varphi_\varepsilon(t), I_\varepsilon(t), t)$ accumulating to all KAM tori from $\mathrm{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau}$, i.e.*

$$\mathrm{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau} \subset \overline{\cup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \, (\varphi_\varepsilon(t), I_\varepsilon(t), t)}.$$

An autonomous version of this result can be obtained using the standard energy reduction (see e.g. [Arn89, Sect 45]).

This result can be considered *a weak form of the quasi-ergodic hypothesis.*

The result presented in this paper does not obtain full dense orbits but orbits dense in a set of large measure, and deals with nearly integrable systems only. Note that the quasiergodic hypothesis is not always true. Herman showed a counterexample in $\mathbb{T}^{2n} \times [-1,1]^2$. He obtained open sets of Hamiltonian systems with a KAM persistent tori of codimension 1 in the energy surface (see [Yoc92]).

Theorem 2 is a considerable improvement of the result from [KZZ09], where it is constructed a Hamiltonian of the form $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3} I_j^2 + \varepsilon H_1(\varphi, I)$ having an orbit accumulating to a positive measure set of KAM tori in a fixed energy level. An example of this form with an orbit accumulating to a fractal set of tori of maximal Hausdorff dimension is constructed in [KS12].

Lyapunov stability of KAM tori For the union of KAM tori $\mathrm{KAM}_{\eta,\tau}^{U}$ one of the basic questions, studied in this paper, is the question of *Lyapunov stability of these tori*. In particular, we show that

For a class of nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems all KAM tori in fixed Diophantine class $\mathrm{KAM}_{\eta,\tau}^{U}$ *are Lyapunov unstable.*

Lyapunov stability of a KAM torus is closely related to a question of Lyapunov stability of a totally elliptic fixed point. An example of a 4-dimensional map with a Lyapunov unstable totally elliptic fixed point was constructed by P. Le Calvez and R. Douady [LCD83]. For generic resonant elliptic points of 4-dimensional maps instability was established in [KMV04]. A class of examples of nearly integrable Hamiltonians having an unstable KAM torus was recently obtained by J. Zhang and C.-Q. Cheng [CZ13].

Douady [Dou88] proved that the stability or instability property of a totally elliptic point is a flat phenomenon for $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ mappings. Namely, if a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ symplectic mapping f_0 satisfies certain nondegeneracy hypotheses, then there are two mapping f and g such that

- $f_0 - f$ and $f_0 - g$ are flat mappings at the origin and
- the origin is Lyapunov unstable for f and Lyapunov stable for g.

This shows that Lyapunov stability is **not** an open property. Thus, the only chance to have robustness in the Main Theorem is to support perturbations of H_1 away from KAM tori. Moreover, the closer we approach to KAM tori the smaller the size of those perturbations has to be. This naturally leads us to a Whitney topology relative to the union of KAM tori.

1.2 Whitney KAM topology and an improvement of the main result

Denote $\mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{T}^3 \times B^2, \mathrm{KAM}_{\eta,\tau}^{U})$ — the space of $\mathcal{C}^s$ functions with the natural $\mathcal{C}^s$-topology such that they tend to 0 as (φ, I) approaches $\mathrm{KAM}_{\eta,\tau}^{U}$ inside the complement.

Let s be a positive integer. Let M be one of $U \setminus \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathbb{T}^3 \times (U \setminus \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau})$, or $\mathbb{T}^3 \times U \setminus \mathrm{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau}$. If f is a $\mathcal{C}^s$ real valued function on M, the $\mathcal{C}^s$-norm of f

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^s} = \sup_{x \in M,\ |\alpha| \le s} \|\partial^\alpha f(x)\|,$$

where the supremum is over the absolute values of all partial derivatives ∂^α of order $\le s$.

Introduce a strong $\mathcal{C}^s$-topology. We endow it with the strong $\mathcal{C}^s$-topology on the space of functions on a non-compact manifold or the $\mathcal{C}^s$ Whitney topology. A base for this topology consists of sets of the following type. Let $\Xi = \{\varphi_i, U_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be a locally finite set of charts on M, where M is as above. Let $K = \{K_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be a family of compact subsets of M, $K_i \subset U_i$. Let also $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be a family of positive numbers. A strong basic neighborhood $\mathcal{N}^s(f, \Xi, K, \varepsilon)$ is given by

$$\forall i \in \Lambda \qquad \|(f\varphi_i)(x) - (g\varphi_i)(x)\|_s \le \varepsilon_i \qquad \forall x \in K_i.$$

The strong topology has all possible sets of this form. Let ε_0 be small positive. Endow $\mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{T}^3 \times U, \mathrm{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau})$ with the strong topology.

Theorem 3. *(The Second Main result) Let $\eta, \tau > 0$. Then, for any $r \ge 2018$ and any $\mathcal{C}^{3r+9}$ smooth strictly convex Hamiltonian H_0 there exists a $\mathcal{C}^r$ dense set of perturbations $D \subset \mathcal{S}^r$ such that for any $H_1 \in D$ there is $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\eta, \tau, H_0, H_1) > 0$ with the property that there is a set W open in $\mathcal{C}^r$-Whitney KAM topology such that for any $\Delta H_1 \in W$ the Hamiltonian $H_0 + \varepsilon(H_1 + \Delta H_1)$ has an orbit $(I_\varepsilon(t), \varphi_\varepsilon(t), t)$ such that*

$$\mathrm{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau} \subset \overline{\cup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (I_\varepsilon(t), \varphi_\varepsilon(t), t)}.$$

Theorem 3 certainly implies Theorem 2.

1.3 Open problems:

Is there a strong form of diffusion for prevalent perturbations? The class of perturbations for which the main result holds is not generic. It is expected that the conclusion of Theorem 3 holds for a prevalent set of perturbations. Loosely speaking, choose any $\mathcal{C}^r$ perturbation εH_1 and add a potential perturbation ΔH_1 whose Fourier coefficients are chosen randomly inside a certain Hilbert cube with respect to the Lebesgue product measure. Then, one expects that for most coefficients there is an orbit accumulating to all tori from $\mathrm{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau}$[1].

Is there diffusion for prevalent perturbations? The question of prevalent diffusion is open even for "simple Arnold diffusion", i.e. diffusion along finitely many resonances, as all results [Mat03, Mat08, Che13, KZ12, KZ14a, KZ14b] study only "a cusp residual set of perturbations" (see e.g. Thm. 1 [KZ12]).

[1]For discussion of prevalence see e.g. [HK10] and references therein

Is there diffusion for analytic perturbations? All papers aforementioned in the previous item deal with smooth Hamiltonian systems. Proving diffusion for analytic Hamiltonian systems is a deep open problem.

Lyapunov stability of totally elliptic points Is a nonresonant totally elliptic fixed point of a prevalent 4-dimensional symplectic map is Lyapunov unstable? In [KMV04] this is shown for a generic resonant totally elliptic point in dimension 4[2].

Essential coexistence of zero and nonzero Lyapunov exponents In [CHP13] (resp. [HPT13]) volume preserving flows (resp. diffeomorphisms) of a 5-dimensional compact manifold are constructed such that there are positive and zero Lyapunov exponents both on a set of positive measure. One of interesting open problems is to construct such examples for nearly integrable systems.

1.4 Arnold diffusion

Recall that the quasi-ergodic hypothesis asks for the existence of a dense orbit in a typical energy surface of a typical Hamiltonian system. Arnold diffusion asks a much weaker question: whether a typical nearly integrable Hamiltonian has orbits whose actions make a drift with size independent of the perturbative parameter.

The study of Arnold diffusion was initiated by Arnold in his seminal paper [Arn64], where he obtained a concrete Hamiltonian system with an orbit undergoing a small drift in action. In the last decades there has been a huge progress in the area. The works of Arnold diffusion can be classified in two different groups, the ones which deal with *a priori unstable systems* and the ones dealing with *a priori stable systems* (as defined in [CG94]). The first ones are those whose first order presents some hyperbolicity. They have been studied both by geometric methods [DdlLS06, GdlL06, DdlLS08, DH09, GT08, Tre04, Tre12, DdlLS13] and variational methods [Ber08, CY04, CY09]. *A priori stable systems* are those who are close to a completely integrable Hamiltonian system. That is systems close to a Hamiltonian system whose phase space is foliated by quasiperiodic invariant tori. The existence of Arnold diffusion in *a priori stable systems* was only known in concrete examples [Bes96, Bes97, BCV01, Dou88, FM00, FM01, FM03, KL08a, KL08b, KLS14, Zha11] until the recent works [Mat03, BKZ11, KZ12, KZ14a, KZ14b, Che13].

The present paper relies on the techniques that have developed in the last decades in the field of Arnold diffusion. We follow the approach initiated in [BKZ11, KZ12] which mixes geometric and variational techniques. In the geometric part we rely on the theory of normally hyperbolic invariant manifolds [HPS77, Fen72] (see also [Moe96, DdlLS00, Ber10a]). In the variational part we rely on the theory developed by J. Mather [Mat91a, Mat91b, Mat04, Mat11], A. Fathi [Fat11], P. Bernard [Ber08] and Ch.-Q.Cheng–J.Yan [CY04, CY09].

[2] Even examples of analytic non-resonant totally elliptic Lyapunov unstable fixed points are unknown

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank Abed Bounemoura, Georgi Popov, Kostya Khanin, Hakan Eliasson, John Mather for useful conversations. Regular discussions with Ke Zhang are warmly acknowledged and highly appreciated. The authors thank the Institute for Advanced Study for its hospitality, where a part of the work was done. The first author is partially supported by the Spanish MINECO-FEDER Grant MTM2012-31714 and the Catalan Grant 2014SGR504. The second author acknowledges support of a NSF grant DMS-1157830.

2 Outline of the proof of Theorem 2

The proof of Theorem 2 has several parts, which we describe in this section. In the proof we establish some robust mechanism of diffusion and, in particular, derive Theorem 3. The proofs of each part are given in Sections 3 –8. We start by listing these parts. Recall that $U'_\eta \subset \nabla H_0(U)$ is the set of points whose η-neighborhoods belong to $\nabla H_0(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau} := \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau} \cap U'_\eta$. Fix $R_0 \gg 1$ (to be determined) and a sequence of radii $\{R_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, where $R_{n+1} = R_n^{1+2\tau}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Consider another "reciprocal" sequence $\{\rho_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, $\rho_n = R_n^{-3+5\tau}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. For each $k \in (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0) \times \mathbb{Z}$ denote $\Gamma_k = \{\omega \in U' : k \cdot (\omega, 1) = 0\}$ the corresponding resonant segment.

1. *A tree of Dirichlet resonant segments*:

   - We construct a sequence of grids of Diophantine frequencies in $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau} \subset \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ such that $3\rho_n$-neighborhood of $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ contains $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ and ρ_n-neighborhoods of points of $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ are pairwise disjoint.
   - To each grid $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ we associate a collection of pairwise disjoint Voronoi cells (see Figure 1): open neighborhoods $\{\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n)\}_{\omega_n\in\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}}$ such that $B_{\rho_n}(\omega_n) \subset \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n)$ and $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n) \cap \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau} \subset B_{3\rho_n}(\omega_n)$.
     We construct a collection of generations of resonant segments

     $$\mathbf{S} = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\mathbf{S}_n, \qquad \mathbf{S}_n = \cup_{k_n\in\mathcal{F}_n}\{\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}\},$$

     where $\mathcal{F}_n \subset (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0) \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a collection of resonances, $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} \subset \Gamma_{k_n}$. We choose this collection such that most of the intersections between the different resonant segments are empty and if nonempty we have quantitative estimates.
   - By construction each segment of generation n is contained in a Voronoi cell $\mathrm{Vor}_{n-1}(\omega_{n-1})$ for some $\omega_{n-1} \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1}_{\eta,\tau}$, i.e. $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} \subset \mathrm{Vor}_{n-1}(\omega_{n-1})$. We say that segments $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$ from $\mathbf{S}_n$ belong to the *generation* n.

   We call a resonance segment from $\mathbf{S}$ *a Dirichlet resonant segment.*



Figure 1: Voronoi cells

By construction Dirichlet segments accumulates to all the Diophantine frequencies in $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ and satisfy several properties (see Key Theorem 1 for details).

These Dirichlet segments have a tree structure except that segments of the same generation can have *multiple intersections* (junctions)! See Section 3. Notice that this part is *number theoretic* and independent of the Hamiltonian H_0!

2. *Global (Pöschel) normal form and molification:* Our main result does not have loss of derivatives. To compensate the loss of derivatives caused by the normal forms we mollify our Hamiltonian H_ε to H'_ε so that it is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ away from KAM tori $\mathrm{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau}$.

   In order to construct diffusion orbits, we consider the Hamiltonian H'_ε in the Pöschel normal form

$$N'(\varphi, I, t) = H'_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}(\varphi, I, t) = H'_0(I) + \varepsilon R(\varphi, I, t)$$

   where R vanishes on $\Phi^{-1}_{\mathrm{Pös}}(\mathrm{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau})$ (see Theorem 5).

   It is convenient to identify resonances in the action space. To each Dirichlet segment $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} \subset \mathbf{S}_n$ with $k_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ we associated a Diophantine frequency $\omega_n \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$. For each $\omega_n \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ denote $I_n := (\partial_I H'_0)^{-1}(\omega_n)$ and

$$\mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} := \{I \in U_\eta : \ \partial_I H'_0(I) \in \mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}\}.$$

   Similarly, we can define Voronoi cells in action space:

$$\mathrm{Vor}_n(I_n) = \{I \in U_\eta : \ \partial_I H'_0(I) \in \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n)\}.$$

   By construction we have $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} \subset \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n)$. In the proof we always perturb away from KAM tori $\mathrm{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ and this does not affect H'_0. It is convenient to

describe perurbations as well as diffusing conditions in Pöschel's coordinates. Notice that in Pöschel coordinates all KAM tori are flat, i.e.

$$\Phi_{\text{Pös}}(\text{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau}) = \{(\varphi, I, t) : \partial_I H'_0(I) \in \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}\}.$$

3. *Deformation of the Hamiltonian N^**: We modify the Hamiltonian N' to N^* by a small $\mathcal{C}^r$ perturbation supported away from KAM tori $\text{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ so that the resulting Hamiltonian N^* is non-degenerate (in a way that we specify later). This perturbation is done in Section 4. Notice that this modification procedure is also done by induction:

$$N^*(\varphi, I, t) = N'(\varphi, I, t) + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}} \Delta N^n(\varphi, I, t), \quad \text{where}$$

   - the 0-th generation perturbation ΔN^0 is supported in a $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$-neighborhood of all horizontal and vertical resonant lines $\mathcal{S}_k$ with $|k| \le R_0$.
   - the n-th generation perturbation ΔN^n is supported in n-th order Voronoi cells, i.e. $\Delta N^n(\varphi, I, t) = 0$ for any $I \notin \text{Vor}_n(I_n)$ for any I_n with $\partial_I H'_0(I_n) \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$.

   The zero step is done as in [KZ12] and it allows us to construct a net of normally hyperbolic invariant cylinders (NHIC from now on[3]) along the resonances in $\mathbf{I}_0$.

   In the n-th step we modify our Hamiltonian in Voronoi cells of order n close to Dirichlet resonant segments of order n so that it satisfies some non-degeneracy conditions, while non-degeneracy conditions of orders $k < n$ from previous steps still hold true. The result of the Deformation is given in Key Theorem 3. In particular, it gives existence of NHICs for the truncated Hamiltonian (20).

4. *Resonant Normal Forms*: Fix a generation n and a Dirichlet segment $\mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$ of this generation. In Section 5, we derive Resonant Normal Forms for N' for points (φ, I, t) such that I is close to $\mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$. We obtain normal forms of two different types:

   - A single resonant one

   $$N' \circ \Phi^{k_n}(\psi, J, t) = \mathcal{H}^{k_n}_0(J) + \mathcal{Z}^{k_n}(\psi^s, J) + \mathcal{R}^{k_n}(\psi^s, \psi^f, J, t) \tag{5}$$

   where $\mathcal{Z}^{k_n}$ only depends on the slow angle $\psi^s = k_n \cdot (\psi, t)$, (ψ^s, ψ^f, t) form a basis and $\mathcal{R}^{k_n}$ is small relatively to non-degeneracy of $\mathcal{Z}^{k_n}$. This normal form is similar to the normal form obtained in [BKZ11] (see Key Theorem 2).

[3]Note that in some cases we obtain normally hyperbolic invariant manifolds diffeomorphic to a cylinder minus a ball. In this case we call them normally hyperbolic invariant manifolds and abbreviate NHIMs

- A double resonant one

$$N' \circ \Phi^{k_n,k'}(\psi, J, t) = \mathcal{H}_0^{k_n,k'}(J) + \mathcal{Z}^{k_n,k'}(\psi, J) \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}^{k_n,k'}$ only depends on the two slow angles $\psi_1^s = k_n \cdot (\psi, t),\ \psi_2^s = k' \cdot (\psi, t)$. This normal form is valid near the double resonance

$$\nabla H_0'(I^*) \cdot k_n = \nabla H_0'(I^*) \cdot k' = 0.$$

Here we use the normal form procedure from [Bou10] (see Key Theorem 5).

We consider this normal form in the *cores of the double resonances* (see Fig. 3). Thanks to the deformation procedure we can completely remove the time dependence in the normal form in there. In [KZ12] the double resonant normal form Hamiltonian is a small pertubation of a two degree of freedom mechanical system. Here the "non-mechanical" remainder is not negligible and the leading order has the form (6). To study such systems we apply a generalized Mapertuis principle (see Appendix C) and use the technique developed in Appendix A of [KZ12].

5. *A tree of NHICs*: In Section 7, using the non-degeneracy from the Deformation step and the Resonant Normal Forms of the previous step, we build a tree of NHICs along all Dirichlet resonant segments.

   In the single resonant regime, we obtain NHICs along the resonant segments $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$. We denoted these cylinders by $\mathcal{C}_{k_n}^{\omega_n,i}$ (see Key Theorem 4). In the double resonance regime we obtain several NHICs by analogy with [KZ12] (see Key Theorems 6 and 7 and Corollary 3 for details).

6. *Localization of Aubry sets*: We prove the existence of certain Aubry sets localized inside the NHICs from the previous step. We also establish a graph property, which essentially says that these Aubry sets have the same structure as Aubry-Mather sets of twist maps (see Key Theorems 8 and 9 for details and Section 8 for proofs).

7. *Shadowing*: It turns out that to construct orbits accumulating to all KAM tori $\mathrm{KAM}_{\eta,\tau}^U$ it suffices to find diffusing orbits shadowing a chain of Aubry sets $\mathcal{A}(c)$'s with c's accumulating to every point of $\Omega^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^U)$, where $\Omega = H_0'$ is the frequency map in Pöschel coordinates, see in (11). It is sufficient to choose c's from the tree of Dirichlet resonant segments $\Omega^{-1}(\mathbf{S})$[4].

   To shadow a chain of Aubry sets we study so-called c-equivalence proposed by Bernard. It turns out that c-equivalence is an equivalence relation. Moreover,

[4] near θ-strong double resonance resonances, defined in 7, we need to be more careful with choice of c's. See Key Theorem 9 and Section 2.5.2 for details

all sets within an equivalence class can be shadowed by an orbit (see Proposition 4). To prove c-equivalence we study three regimes: a priori unstable (diffusion along one NHIC), bifurcations (jump from one NHIC to another in the same homology), a turn — a jump from a NHIC with one homology to a NHIC with a different one. The first two regimes are essentially done in [BKZ11]. The last one is similar to [KZ12] (see Key Theorem 10 and Section 2.6 for details).

Now we describe each step and, in particular, state all ten Key Theorems.

2.1 A tree of Dirichlet resonances

The first step is to construct a tree of generations of special resonant segments

$$\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{S}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}, \qquad \mathbf{S}_n = \{\mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}\}_{k_n\in\mathcal{F}_n}$$

in the frequency space $U' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the following properties hold

- Each segment $\mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ belongs to the intersection of the resonant segment and the corresponding Voronoi cell $\mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n} \subset \Gamma_{k_n} \cap \mathrm{Vor}_{n-1}(\omega_{n-1}) \cap B_{3\rho_{n-1}(\omega_{n-1})}$, i.e. there are $k_n \in (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0)\times\mathbb{Z}$ and $\omega_{n-1} \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^{n-1}$ such that this inclusion holds.

- Any segment $\mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ of generation n intersects only segments of the generations $n-2$ and $n-1$ and the segments of generation $n+1$ and $n+2$ associated to frequencies $\mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^{n+1} \cap \mathrm{Vor}_{n-1}(\omega_{n-1})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^{n+2} \cap \mathrm{Vor}_{n-1}(\omega_{n-1})$ respectively. However, it does not intersect any segment of any prevous generation generation $n+k$ or $n-k$, $k \geq 3$.

- We have quantitative information about properties of intersections of segments of generation n inside of the same Voronoi cell.

- The union $\cup_n \cup_{k_n\in\mathcal{F}_n} \mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ is connected.

- The closure $\overline{\cup_n \cup_{k_n\in\mathcal{F}_n} \mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}}$ contains all Diophantine frequencies in $\mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^{U}$ (see (4)).

We shall call these segments Dirichlet resonant segments. We define them to satisfy quantitative estimates on speed of approximation. Recall that an elementary pigeon hole principle show that for any bounded set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and any any $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in U$ there is a sequence $k_n(\omega) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0)\times\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$|k_n(\omega)\cdot(\omega,1)| \leq |k_n(\omega)|^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad |k_n(\omega)| \to \infty \text{ as } n\to\infty.$$

We choose our segments so that

- For each $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} \subset \Gamma_{k_n}$ there is a Diophantine number $\omega_n \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ such that

$$|k_n \cdot (\omega, 1)| \leq |k_n|^{-2+3\tau},$$

- If $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\omega'_n}_{k'_n} \neq \emptyset$, then we have an upper bound on ratio $|k_n|/|k'_n|$ as well as a uniform lower bound for the angle of intersection between Γ_{k_n} and $\Gamma_{k'_n}$.

The tree of Dirichlet resonances is obtained in two steps.

- We fix a Diophantine $\omega^* \in \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ and construct a connected "zigzag" approaching ω^* (see Section 3.1).
- We define Dirichlet resonant segments and show how to deal with all frequencies in $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ simultaneously (see Section 3.3).

It turns out that we cannot just construct the "zigzag" for each frequency and then consider the union over all frequencies in $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$, because we need estimates on the complexity of the intersection among resonant segments[5]. Now we define the sequence of discrete sets $\{\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$.

Consider that $R_0 \gg 1$ (to be determined) and we denote

$$R_{n+1} = R_n^{1+2\tau},\ \rho_n = R_n^{-(3-5\tau)}, \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \tag{7}$$

and $B_r(\omega)$ be an r-ball centered at ω. Note that the sequence ρ_n also satisfies $\rho_{n+1} = \rho_n^{1+2\tau}$. Define a sequence of discrete sets $\mathcal{D}^n = \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau} \subset \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ with $n \geq 1$.

By the Vitali covering lemma from any finite cover of $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ by ρ_n-balls, there is a subcover $\mathcal{B}_n$ having the following two properties:

1. Replacing ρ_n-balls of subcover $\mathcal{B}_n$ by concentric $3\rho_n$-balls we cover $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$.
2. The ρ_n-balls of $\mathcal{B}_n$ are pairwise disjoint.

Moreover, by the Besicovitch covering theorem from the cover by balls of radius $3\rho_n$, one can choose a finite subcover such that each point is covered by at most κ balls for some κ which depends only on dimension. In [Sul94] it is shown that in the 2-dimensional case $\kappa = 19$. Denote the set of the centers of the balls of this cover by $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$. For each point $\omega_n \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ consider all neighbors, i.e. points $\leq 6\rho_n$ away from ω_n. Define the set of points that are closer to ω_n than to any other neighbor and at most $3\rho_n$ away from ω_n. Denote this set by $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n)$ and, following the standard terminology, call it *Voronoi cells*. The properties of the coverings by balls and the Voronoi cells, are summarized in the following

[5]Too many segments, too many intersections to control.

Lemma 1. *Consider the set of frequencies $U'_\eta \subset U'$. Then, there exists a sequence of discrete sets $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau} \subset \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau} \subset U'_\eta$, $n \geq 1$, satisfying*

$$\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau} = \overline{\bigcup_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}}$$

such that for the Voronoi cell $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega^*) \subset U'$ associated to each frequency ω^* of the set $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ we have

$$\omega^* \in B_{\rho_n}(\omega^*) \subset \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega^*) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega^*) \cap \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau} \subset B_{3\rho_n}(\omega^*).$$

Moreover, each $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ belongs to at least one and at most 19 balls $B_{3\rho_n}(\omega^*)$, and $B_{\rho_n}(\omega^*) \cap B_{\rho_n}(\omega') = \emptyset$ for any $\omega^* \neq \omega' \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$.

We use this sequence of discrete sets $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ to construct a tree of resonances. The key theorem of this first step is the following.



Figure 2: A branch of a resonant tree. The solid black (resp. blue) lines correspond to resonant segments associated to ω_{n-1} (resp. of ω_n^k's). The dashed lines correspond to boundaries of the Voronoi cells $\mathrm{Vor}_{n-1}(\omega_{n-1})$ and $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n^k)$'s. Recall that resonant segments of the same generation may intersect

Key Theorem 1. *Consider the sequence of sets $\{\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}\}_{n\leq 1}$ and the associated Voronoi cells $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n^*)$, $\omega_n^* \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$. Then, there are constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, $R^* \gg 1$ and $0 < \tau^* \ll 1$, such that, for any $R_0 \in [R_*, +\infty)$ and $\tau \in (0, \tau^*)$ and taking $R_{n+1} = R_n^{1+2\tau}$, $\rho_n = R_n^{-(3-5\tau)}$, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\omega_n^* \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$, there exists $k_n^* \in (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0) \times \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfies the following properties:*

1. *The vectors belong to the following annulus* $\frac{R_n}{4} \leq |k_n^*| \leq R_n$.

2. *The vectors satisfy* $\eta R_n^{-(2+\tau)} \leq |k_n^* \cdot (\omega^*, 1)| \leq R_n^{-(2-3\tau)}$.

3. *The associated resonant segment* $\mathcal{S}_{k_n^*}^{\omega_n^*}$ *satisfies* $\mathcal{S}_{k_n^*}^{\omega_n^*} \cap B_{\rho_n}(\omega_n^*) \neq \emptyset$.

4. *Let* $\omega_{n-1}^* \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^{n-1}$ *be a frequency in the previous generation so that* $\omega_n^* \in \mathrm{Vor}_{n-1}(\omega_{n-1}^*)$ *and* k_{n-1}^* *the associated resonant vector, i.e.* $\mathcal{S}_{k_{n-1}^*}^{\omega_{n-1}^*} \cap \mathrm{Vor}_{n-1}(\omega_{n-1}^*) \neq \emptyset$. *Then, the angle* $k_n^* \nparallel k_{n-1}^*$ *satisfies* $\measuredangle(k_n^*, k_{n-1}^*) \geq \dfrac{\pi}{10}$.

5. *The resonances* $\Gamma_{k_n^*}$ *and* $\Gamma_{k_{n-1}^*}$ *intersect in* $\mathrm{Vor}_{n-1}(\omega_{n-1}^*)$ *at only one point.*

6. *Let* $\mathcal{S}_{k_n^*}^{\omega_n^*} \subset \Gamma_{k_n^*}$ *be the minimal segment, which contains the above intersection and also all the intersections of* $\Gamma_{k_n^*}$ *with the resonant lines associated to all frequencies in* $\mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^{n-1} \cap \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n^*)$. *Then,* $\mathcal{S}_{k_n^*}^{\omega_n^*} \subset B_{\rho_{n-1}}(\omega_{n-1}^*)$.

7. *Any other resonant line* Γ_k *intersecting* $B_{3\rho_n}(\omega_n^*)$, *satisfies* $\frac{|k_n|}{|k|} \leq R_n^{c_1\tau}$.

8. *Let* $\mathcal{F}_n \subset (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0) \times \mathbb{Z}$ *be the set of integer vector* k_n*'s selected above. Let* ω' *be an intersection point of* $\mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ *and* $\mathcal{S}_{k_n'}^{\omega_n'}$ *for some* $\omega_n, \omega_n' \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^n$ *and* $k_n, k_n' \in \mathcal{F}_n$. *Then, there are at most* $\rho_n^{c_2\tau}$ *resonant lines* $\mathcal{S}_{k_n''}^{\omega_n''}$ *from the* n *generation passing through* ω'.

This Theorem is proved in Section 3.

As a consequence of this Theorem we obtain a set of Dirichlet resonant vectors and a tree of resonances in the frequency space. Nevertheless the tree of resonances formed by the Dirichlet resonant segments, obtained in Key Theorem 1, is not connected since the segments associated to the first generation belong to different Voronoi cells and therefore they do not intersect. To connect them, we consider a 0-generation.

Definition 4. Fix $R_0 \gg 1$ and $\rho_0 = R_0^{-(3-5\tau)}$. Define sets $\mathcal{F}_n \subset (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0) \times \mathbb{Z}$ as follows.

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{k \in (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z} : k \cdot (1,0,0) = 0 \text{ or } k \cdot (0,1,0) = 0,\ \gcd(k) = 1^6,\ |k| \leq R_0\},$$

which corresponds to vertical and horizontal lines in frequency space. The associated net of resonances in frequency space $\mathbf{S}_0 \subset U_\eta' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is given by

$$\mathbf{S}_0 = \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{F}_0} \left\{\omega \in U_\eta' \subset \mathbb{R}^2 : (\omega, 1) \cdot k = 0\right\}.$$

[6] where $\gcd(k)$ is the greatest common divisor of absolute values of components of k.

For $n \geq 1$ we define the set $\mathcal{F}_n$ as the set of Dirichlet resonant vectors $k \in (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}$ obtained in Key Theorem 1 and

$$\mathbf{S}_n = \bigcup_{k_n \in \mathcal{F}_n} \mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}, \qquad \mathbf{S} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{S}_n. \tag{8}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ are the resonant segments obtained in Key Theorem 1.

By the definition of $\mathbf{S}_0$ and Key Theorem 1 we have constructed a net of resonances $\mathbf{S}$ which satisfies the needed conditions. They are summarized in the next corollary of Key Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *The resonance net $\mathbf{S} \subset U'_\eta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is connected, $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau} \subset \overline{\mathbf{S}}$. Moreover, each $\mathbf{S}_n = \{\mathcal{S}_{k_n^*}^{\omega_n^*} : k \in \mathcal{F}_n\}$, $n \geq 1$, satisfies all the properties of Key Theorem 1.*

2.2 Deformation of the Hamiltonian and a tree of NHICs

Step 2 of the proof is to deform the Hamiltonian. The deformation has two goals.

- Recover the loss of regularity due to the normal form procedures.
- Obtain a properly non-degenerate Hamiltonian.

Using these non-degeneracies we prove the existence of a tree of NHICs in Step 3. In this section we explain both Steps 2 and 3.

Fix small $\eta, \tau > 0$. Denote $a_\tau := a + \mathcal{O}(\tau)$, where $|\mathcal{O}(\tau)| < C\tau$ for some $C > 0$ independent of ε, ρ_n and n. Since τ is small, the notation is used if a multiple in front of τ is irrelevant.

Consider the nearly integrable Hamiltonian (2). Assume it satisfies conditions (1) and (3). We construct a small $\mathcal{C}^r$-perturbation ΔH, so that for small enough ε the Hamiltonian

$$H_0 + \varepsilon H_1 + \varepsilon \Delta H$$

satisfies the required properties. This perturbation consists of four parts:

$$\Delta H = \Delta H^{\text{mol}} + \Delta H^{\text{sr}} + \Delta H^{\text{dr}} + \Delta H^{\text{shad}}. \tag{9}$$

To perform these deformations consider the net of resonances given by Key Theorem 1.

1. *Mollification* ΔH^{mol}: Throughout the proof of Theorem 2 we need to perform normal forms, which decrease regularity. To stay in the $\mathcal{C}^r$ regularity class, we mollify the Hamiltonian H_ε so that it is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$. See Section 4.

2. *Perturbation* ΔH^{sr}: It is supported near single resonances. In Section 5 we construct NHICs along single resonances. The normal forms show that, to construct such NHICs, it is sufficient to have hyperbolicity of the first order approximation. Using the deformation we indeed attain required hyperbolicity. See Section 4.1.

3. *Perturbation* ΔH^{dr}: It is supported in the neighborhoods of double resonances. Analogous to the previous one but for the double resonances. In double resonances, we need also some hyperbolicity properties to construct certain NHICs. This third deformation is done simultanenously with ΔH^{sr} in Section 4.1.

4. *Perturbation* ΔH^{shad}: It is supported near chosen resonant lines. This deformation allows us to shadow a chosen collection of (Aubry) invariant sets. See Section 2.6.

2.2.1 The Pöschel normal form and molification of the Hamiltonian

We start by mollifying H_1 and performing the Pöschel normal form.

Lemma 2. *Fix any $\gamma \ll 1$ independent of ε. There exists a $\mathcal{C}^r$ small perturbation ΔH^{mol} such that*

$$\|\Delta H_1^{\mathrm{mol}}\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq \gamma \quad \textit{and} \quad \|H_1 + \Delta H_1^{\mathrm{mol}}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{r+\kappa}} < \infty \quad \textit{for any } \kappa > 0.$$

All estimates in the proof will depend on the parameter γ. Since it is a fixed parameter we do not keep track of this dependence. After this lemma, the regularity of the Hamiltonian $H'_\varepsilon = H_0 + \varepsilon H_1 + \varepsilon \Delta H_1^{\mathrm{mol}}$ is the same as the original regularity of H_0, that is $\mathcal{C}^{3r+9}$.

Now we apply the Pöschel normal form. It was obtained in [Pös82] for autonomous Hamiltonian Systems. In Section A, it is explained how to adapt it to the non-autonomous setting.

Theorem 5. *Let $\eta, \tau > 0$ and $\{\rho_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be the sequence defined in Key Theorem 1. There exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that there exists a $\mathcal{C}^{3r-2\tau}$ change of coordinates $\Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}$ so that the Hamiltonian $N' = (H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\mathrm{mol}}) \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}$ is of the form*

$$N'(\varphi, I, t) = H'_0(I) + R(\varphi, I, t) \tag{10}$$

where H'_0 satisfies

- $D^{-1}\mathrm{Id} \leq \partial_I^2 H'_0(I) \leq D\,\mathrm{Id}$ *with the constant D introduced in* (1)*, and*
- *R vanishes on the set*

$$E_{\eta,\tau} = \left\{(\varphi, I, t) : \partial_I H'_0(I) \in \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}\right\}, \text{ i.e. } R|_{E_{\eta,\tau}} \equiv 0.$$

Moreover, if $U_\lambda(E_{\eta,\tau})$ is the set of points λ-close to $E_{\eta,\tau}$, for each $n \geq 1$, we have the following estimates

$$\|R\|_{\mathcal{C}^j(U_{\rho_n}(E_{\eta,\tau}))} \leq C\,\varepsilon\,\rho_n^{3r-2\tau-j}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of ε and ρ_n and $j = 0, \ldots, \lfloor 3r - 2\tau \rfloor$.

Note that $E_{\eta,\tau} = \Phi_{\text{Pös}}^{-1}(\text{KAM}_{\eta,\tau}^U)$ is the union of KAM tori $\text{KAM}_{\eta,\tau}^U$ with fixed Diophantine frequency. In the Pöschel coordinates all these tori are flat, $I = \text{constant}$. Moreover, R being zero at $E_{\eta,\tau}$ implies that it is flat at these points. Indeed, each such a point is a Lebesgue density point.

In Step 1 we constructed a tree of resonances segments in the frequency space. Now we need to pull it back to the action space. We do it using the frequency map associated to the integrable Hamiltonian H_0' obtained in Theorem 5. We define the frequency map

$$\Omega(I) = \partial_I H_0'(I). \tag{11}$$

By Theorem 5 , H_0' is strictly convex and Ω is a global diffeomorphism on U. As given in Definition 4, we have two type of resonances:

- horizontal and vertical resonant segments of low order from $\mathcal{F}_0$,
- the resonances from $\mathcal{F}_n$, $n \geq 1$, approaching the Diophantine frequencies.

In both cases we pull back these segments to the frequency space by Ω. For each $k \in \mathcal{F}_0$ we define

$$\mathcal{I}_k = \{I \in U_\eta : \Omega(I) \in \mathcal{S}_k\}.$$

For each $\omega_n \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^n$, we define the resonant segment $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n} = \left\{I \in U_\eta : \Omega(I) \in \mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}\right\}$. Analogously, we can define

$$\mathbf{I}_n = \{I \in U_\eta : \Omega(I) \in \mathbf{S}_n\} \quad , \quad \mathbf{I} = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathbf{I}_n. \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbf{S}_n$ is the union of Dirichlet resonant segments defined in (8).

2.2.2 Different regimes along resonances

To prove the existence of NHICs along the resonant segments $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ in the action space, we need to divide the tree of resonant segments in two different regimes. We call these regimes *single resonance zones* and *core of double resonances*. The difference between them is whether the points are very close to intersections of resonant lines of comparable order or are not close to such intersections.

Fix a generation n, a segment $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ and $\theta > 0$. Define the θ-strong resonances in $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$.

Definition 6. Let $k', k'' \in (\mathbb{Z}^2 \times 0) \times \mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$\langle k', k'' \rangle = \{k \in (\mathbb{Z}^2 \times 0) \times \mathbb{Z} : \ k = pk' + qk'', \ p, q \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

the lattice generated by integer combinations of k' and k'' and call

$$\mathcal{N}(k', k'') = \min\{|K| : \ K \neq 0, \ K \in \langle k', k'' \rangle\}$$

the order of the double resonance. We also define $\langle k \rangle = \langle k, 0 \rangle$.

Definition 7. A double resonance $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n} \cap \Gamma_{k'}$ is called θ-strong if there exists $k'' \in \mathcal{N}(\langle k_n, k' \rangle \setminus \langle k_n \rangle)$ such that

$$|k'| \leq |k|^{\theta}.$$

Otherwise, the double resonance is called θ-weak.

The parameter θ will be fixed later on. It depends on other parameters which appear throughout the proof. The list of all these parameters is given in Appendix E.

Consider the sequence $\{\rho_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ defined in Key Theorem 1. By Lemma 10, we can cover the segment $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ by μ_n balls $B_{\mu_n}(I_i)$ where

$$C^{-1} \rho_n^{(2\theta-1)\frac{1+2\tau}{3-5\tau}} \leq \mu_n \leq C \rho_n^{(2\theta-1)\frac{1+2\tau}{3-5\tau}}$$

for some constant $C > 0$, and $I_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ is a θ-strong double resonance.



Figure 3: Different regimes along a resonances:
The larger balls have radii μ_n and are centered at θ-strong double resonances.
The smaller balls represent the core of these double resonances $\mathrm{Cor}_n(k, k')$.
The rectangles are the single resonance zones $\mathrm{Sr}_n(k_n, k', k'')$ along single resonances.

Now we define the single resonance zones and core of the double resonances. The core of the double resonance is defined as

$$\mathrm{Cor}_n(k, k') = \mathbb{T}^2 \times B_{C\rho_n^m}(I_0) \times \mathbb{T}, \quad \text{where} \quad m = \theta + 1. \tag{13}$$

Lemma 9 implies that two consecutive θ-strong double resonances are $\sim \rho^{\frac{2}{3}_\tau \theta + 1_\tau}$ far away from each other. Thus the choice of the parameter m implies two consecutive

cores of double resonance do not overlap. This implies that there exists a single resonance zone in between.

Let $I_0', I_0'' \in \mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ be two consecutive θ-strong double resonances corresponding to (k_n, k') and (k_n, k''). Then, the single resonance zone in between is given by

$$\mathrm{Sr}_n(k_n, k', k'') = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \left\{ I \in \mathcal{A}_n(k_n, k') \cup \mathcal{A}_n(k_n, k'') : \mathrm{dist}(I, \mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}) \leq c\rho_n^{m+\frac{1}{3}\theta+1} \right\} \times \mathbb{T}, \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_n(k_n, k')$ is the annulus around the core of the double resonance,

$$\mathcal{A}_n(k_n, k') = \{ I \in U : c\rho_n^m \leq |I - I_0| \leq \mu_n \}. \tag{15}$$

The parameter c is chosen so that $c > C$ to have overlap with (13).

In each of these zones we will obtain different NHICs.

- $\mathrm{Cor}_n(k, k')$: the dynamics is essentially the same as in the double resonances in [KZ12]. Nevertheless, it turns out that in this regime, the systems are not close to a a mechanical system as in [KZ12]. Thus, we have to deal with more general 2 degree of freedom Hamiltonian systems. This requires modifying the proof in [KZ12]. See Section 5.3 and Appendix C.

- $\mathrm{Sr}_n(k_n, k', k'')$: the dynamics is essentially the same as in the single resonance zones studied in [BKZ11, KZ12].

In the next two sections we deal with the two different regimes. In both cases we apply a normal form procedure to the Hamiltonian (10). The normal form leads to new Hamiltonian which now can be treated as a perturbation of a new first order and is "easier" to analyze.

2.3 Analysis of the single resonance zones

We consider a single resonance zone (14), that is a tubular neighborhood along the resonant segment $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ such that it overlaps the core of two consecutive double resonances (see Figure 3). Then, we prove that in such neighborhood there is a NHIC. It suffices to

- perform a change of coordinates and show that our system is a small perturbation of a system which depends on actions and on the slow angle $k_n \cdot (\varphi, t)$ only.

- perturb the Hamiltonian so that this first order has sufficient nondegeneracy to imply the existence of a NHIC (see Key Theorem 3).

- use an isolating block argument, as in [BKZ11], to prove that the full system has a NHIC (see Key Theorem 4).

2.3.1 Normal forms along single resonances

The next Theorem shows the existence of the normal form. A more precise statement is done in Section 5.2. Recall that m has been defined in (13) (see also Appendix E for the values of the considered constants) and θ is used in the definition of θ-strong double resonances, which turn is used in the definition of the single resonant zones (14).

Key Theorem 2. *Fix $q = 252$, $\theta = 3q + 1$, $m = \theta + 1$ and $r \geq m + 5q$. Let $N' = (H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\mathrm{mol}}) \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}$ be the Hamiltonian defined in (10), $k_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and $\mathrm{Sr}_n = \mathrm{Sr}_n(k_n, k', k'')$ be a single resonance zone defined in (14). Then, there exists constants $C, D \geq 1$ independent of ρ_n and a symplectic change of coordinates $\Phi_n := \Phi^{k_n}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{k_n}(\psi, J, t) &= N' \circ \Phi_n(\psi, J, t) \\ &= \mathcal{H}_0^{k_n}(J) + \mathcal{Z}^{k_n}(k_n \cdot (\psi, t), J) + \mathcal{R}^{k_n}(\psi, J, t) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with the following properties

- *$\mathcal{H}_0^{k_n}$ is strictly convex: $D^{-1}\mathrm{Id} \leq \partial_I^2 \mathcal{H}_0^{k_n} \leq D\,\mathrm{Id}$.*
- *$\mathcal{Z}^{k_n}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{k_n}$ satisfy*

$$\|\mathcal{Z}^{k_n}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2(\Phi_n^{-1}(\mathrm{Sr}_n))} \leq C\rho_n^{\frac{4}{3}r-2m}, \qquad \|\mathcal{R}^{k_n}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2(\Phi_n^{-1}(\mathrm{Sr}_n))} \leq C\rho_n^{q(r+1)-2m}.$$

- *Φ_n satisfies $\|\Phi_n - \mathrm{Id}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \leq C\rho^{2r-2m}$.*

2.3.2 NHICs for the truncated system

Thanks to the normal form given in Key Theorem 2, one can look at the Hamiltonian (16) as a perturbation of the truncated Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0^{k_n} + \mathcal{Z}^{k_n}$. Now we deform the Hamiltonian (10) by a small $\mathcal{C}^r$ perturbation so that the truncated Hamiltonian has a NHIC. Then, in Section 2.3.3 we show that it persists for Hamiltonian (16).

To analyze the truncated system, we consider slow-fast variables. The slow-fast angles are defined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi^s \\ \varphi^f \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \widetilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \\ t \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} k^* \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

where e_i are the standard coordinate vectors (if this change is singular, replace some of the coordinate vectors). To have a symplectic change of coordinates, we perform the change of coordinates

$$\begin{pmatrix} J^s \\ J^f \\ E \end{pmatrix} = \widetilde{A}^{-T} \begin{pmatrix} J_1 \\ J_2 \\ E \end{pmatrix} \tag{18}$$

to the conjugate actions. E is the variable conjugate to time, which is not modified when the change (53) is performed. We call $L^{\rm sf}$ to this *symplectic* linear change of variables and denote $J = (J^s, J^f)$. As shown in Section 7.1 it satisfies $\|L^{\rm sf}\|, \|(L^{\rm sf})^{-1}\| \leq C\rho^{-\frac{1}{3}-\tau}$. After this change of coordinates, we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{k_n} = N' \circ \Phi_n \circ L^{\rm sf} = \mathcal{H}^{k_n} \circ L^{\rm sf} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0^{k_n} + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{k_n} + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{k_n} \tag{19}$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{k_n}$ is the Hamiltonian (16). Now, the truncated system is just

$$\mathcal{H}^{\rm trunc}(\psi, J) = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0^{k_n}(J) + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{k_n}(\psi^s, J). \tag{20}$$

and the resonance $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ can be parameterized as a graph $J^s = J_*^s(J^f)$ with

$$\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n} = \{(J^s(J^f), J^f) : \ J^f \in [b_{k_n}^-, b_{k_n}^+]\}. \tag{21}$$

Key Theorem 3. *Let $d = 14$. With the notation of Key Theorem 2, there exists $C, D > 1$ and a $\mathcal{C}^r$ function $\Delta H^{\rm sr}$ supported in the Sr_n and satisfying $\|\Delta H^{\rm sr}\|_{\mathcal{C}^r(\mathrm{Sr}_n)} \leq C\rho_n^{1+4\tau}$ such that the conclusion of Key Theorem 2 applied to*

$$N'' = (H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\rm mol} + \Delta H^{\rm sr}) \circ \Phi_{\rm Pös}$$

holds along with the following properties. Consider $b_{k_n}^- < b_{k_n}^+$, so that $J^f \in [b_{k_n}^-, b_{k_n}^+]$ parameterizes the single resonance $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n} \cap \mathrm{Sr}_n$. Then, there exists a sequence of action values $\{J_i^f\}_{i=1}^N \subset [b_{k_n}^-, b_{k_n}^+]$, such that for each $J_*^f \in [b_{k_n}^-, b_{k_n}^+]$, the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{\rm trunc}(\psi^s, J^s, J_*^f)$, defined by (19) with N' replaced by N'', satisfies the following conditions:

- *has one degree of freedom, is Tonelli,*
- *for each $J_*^f \in (J_i^f, J_{i+1}^f)$, there is a unique critical point (ψ_*^s, J_*^s) (as defined in (75)) which is of saddle type. The same is true for $[b_{k_n}^-, J_1^f)$ and $(J_N^f, b_{k_n}^+]$.*
- *for $J^f = J_i^f$, there are exacly two critical points (ψ_*^s, J_*^s) and $(\bar{\psi}_*^s, \bar{J}_*^s)$ of saddle type.*
- *In both cases, eigenvalues of the hyperbolic periodic orbits λ, $-\lambda$, where*

$$\lambda \geq C\rho_n^{\frac{dr+1}{2}}.$$

The family of critical points discussed here are minimal in a proper sense (see (75)). Notice also that properties such as hyperbolicity of periodic orbits are independent of coordinate system and, therefore, apply to the Hamiltonian N''.

We fix $d = 14$ throughout the proof. Nevertheless, we keep the notation d to simplify the exposition. Recall that in Appendix E we list all the involved constants and the relations between them.

Key Theorem 3 implies that the truncated Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{\rm trunc}$, given by (20), has a sequence of NHICs

$$\left\{(\psi, J, t) : (\psi^s, J^s) = (\psi^s_*(J^f), J^s_*(J^f)), J^f \in (J^f_i, J^f_{i+1})\right\}. \tag{22}$$

Thanks to hyperbolicity these NHICs can be actually slightly extended to intervals $[J^f_i - \delta, J^f_{i+1} + \delta]$ for small (ρ_n-dependent) $\delta > 0$. For the first and last one can take the intervals $[b^-_{k_n}, J^f_1 + \delta]$ and $[J^f_N - \delta, b^+_{k_n}]$ respectively.

2.3.3 NHICs along single resonances

Now we show that the NHIC (22) persists for the Hamiltonian (16). The normal hyperbolicity of the NHIC (22) is very weak since the eigenvalues of the saddle of $\mathcal{H}^{\rm trunc}(\psi^s, J^s, J^f_*)$ are of size $\rho_n^{(dr+1)/2}$. The weak hyperbolicity is the reason why we need to reduce the size of the perturbation $\mathcal{R}$ to $\|\mathcal{R}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq \rho_n^{q(r+1)}$ to ensure that these NHICs persist for the full system. We state the persistence of the NHICs in the intervals $[J^f_i - \delta, J^f_{i+1} + \delta]$ to simplify the statement. The same Theorem holds for the first and last interval.

Key Theorem 4. *With notations introduced in Key Theorem 3 fix any $i = 1, \dots N$, then there exists a $\mathcal{C}^1$ map*

$$(\Psi^s_i, \mathcal{J}^s_i)(\psi^f, J^f, t) : \mathbb{T} \times [J^f_i - \frac{\delta}{2}, J^f_{i+1} + \frac{\delta}{2}] \times \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$$

such that the NHIC

$$\mathcal{C}^{\omega_n, i}_{k_n} = \{(\psi^s, J^s) = (\Psi^s_i, \mathcal{J}^s_i)(\psi^f, J^f, t); \quad (\psi^f, J^f, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times [J^f_i - \frac{\delta}{2}, J^f_{i+1} + \frac{\delta}{2}] \times \mathbb{T}\}$$

is weakly invariant with respect to the vector field associated to the Hamiltonian (19)*, in the sense that the vector field is tangent to $\mathcal{C}^{\omega_n, i}_{k_n}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}^{\omega_n, i}_{k_n}$ is contained in the set*

$$\begin{aligned} V_i := \big\{(\psi, J); J^f \in [J^f_i - \frac{\delta}{2}, J^f_{i+1} + \frac{\delta}{2}], \\ |\psi^s - \psi^s_*(J^f)| \leq C\rho_n^{m-1+2dr}, \quad |J^s - J^s_*(J^f)| \leq C\rho_n^{2m-1+2dr}\big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of ρ_n and contains all the full orbits of (16) *contained in V_i. We also have the estimates*

$$|\Psi^s_i(\psi^f, J^f) - \psi^s_*(J^f)| \leq C\rho_n^{m-1+5dr} \quad , \quad |J^s_i(\psi^f, J^f, t) - J^s_*(J^f)| \leq C\rho_n^{2m-1+5dr},$$

and

$$\left|\frac{\partial \Psi^s}{\partial J^f}\right| \leq C\rho_n^{\frac{3}{2}dr - \frac{3m+1}{2}}, \quad \left|\frac{\partial \Psi^s}{\partial \psi^f}\right| \leq C\rho_n^{\frac{3}{2}dr - \frac{m+1}{2}}.$$

This theorem is proved in Section 7. Notice that

Remark 8. The eigendirections of the minimal saddle (ψ^s_*, J^s_*) are twisted in the phase space. Thus, the localizations on the angle and action components are not independent.

To drift along these cylinders later in Section 2.6 we need to have a good localization of these cylinders in Pöschel coordinates. This can be deduced from Key Theorems 2 and 4. To simplify the statement we consider again the slow-fast variables. Call $L^{\rm sf}$ the change given by (17)– (18). The next corollary gives estimates for the cylinder for the Hamiltonian

$$(H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\rm mol} + \Delta H^{\rm sr}) \circ \Phi_{\rm Pös} \circ L^{\rm sf}.$$

Note that the resonant segment $\mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$ can be still parameterized by $I^s = I^s_*(I^f)$, $I^f \in [a^-_{k_n}, a^+_{k_n}]$, where this interval is just the rescaling by $J = \rho^m I$ of the former interval $[b^-_{k_n}, b^+_{k_n}]$. We call $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^N$ the bifurcation values.

Corollary 2. *Take any $i = 1, \dots N$, where N has been introduced in Key Theorem 3. There exist $\mathcal{C}^1$ maps*

$$(\widetilde{\Psi}^s_i, \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}^s_i)(\varphi^f, I^f, t) : \mathbb{T} \times \left[I^f_i - \frac{\delta}{2}, I^f_{i+1} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \times \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$$

such that the NHICs

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\omega_n, i}_{k_n} = \left\{ (\varphi^s, I^s) = (\Psi^s_i, \mathcal{J}^s_i)(\varphi^f, I^f, t); \quad (\varphi^f, I^f, t) \in \mathbb{T} \times \left[I^f_i - \frac{\delta}{2}, I^f_{i+1} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \times \mathbb{T} \right\}$$

are weakly invariant with respect to the vector field associated to the Hamiltonian

$$(H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\rm mol} + \Delta H^{\rm sr}) \circ \Phi_{\rm Pös} \circ L^{\rm sf},$$

in the sense that the vector field is tangent to $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\omega_n, i}_{k_n}$. The NHICs $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\omega_n, i}_{k_n}$ are contained in the set

$$\begin{aligned} V_i := \Big\{ (\varphi, I); I^f \in \left[I^f_i - \frac{\delta}{2}, I^f_{i+1} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right], \\ |\varphi^s - \psi^s_*(J^f)| \le C\rho^{2r-2m}_n, \quad |I^s - I^s_*(J^f)| \le C\rho^{2r-2m}_n \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of ρ and they contains all the full orbits of (16) *contained in V_i.*

Note that in this corollary the slow angle and action localization worsens with respect to Key Theorem 4. The reason is that we perform the change of coordinates Φ_n given by Key Theorem 2. Note that nevertheless we still have slow angle localization since $2r - 2m \ge 10q$ (see Apendix E).

2.4 Analysis of the core of double resonances

2.4.1 Normal forms in the core of double resonances

To study the core of the double resonances we need to proceed as in the single resonance zone analysis and first perform a normal form. We follow [Bou10] (see Section 5.3). This Theorem involves the constants θ, m and r whose values can be found in Appendix E.

Key Theorem 5. *Consider the constants θ, m and r as in Key Theorem 2. Let*

$$N'' = (H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\mathrm{mol}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{sr}}) \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}$$

be the Hamiltonian from Key Theorem 3, $k_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$, ρ_n defined in Key Theorem 1. Let (k_n, k') form a θ-strong double resonance, i.e. $|k'| \leq |k|^\theta$ and $\mathrm{Cor}_n = \mathrm{Cor}_n(k_n, k')$ be the core of the double resonance (13).

Then, there exists constants $C, D > 1$ independent of ρ_n, a $\mathcal{C}^r$ function ΔH^{dr} supported in a ρ^m neighborhood of Cor_n satisfying

$$\|\Delta H^{\mathrm{dr}}\|_{\mathcal{C}^r(\mathrm{Cor}_n)} \leq C\rho_n \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Delta H^{\mathrm{dr}}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2(\mathrm{Cor}_n)} \leq C\rho_n^{2q(r+1)}$$

and a symplectic change of coordinates $\Phi_n = \Phi^{k_n,k'}$ satisfying

$$\|\Phi_n - \mathrm{Id}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \leq C\rho^{2r-3m-\frac{2}{3}\tau(1+\theta)},$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{k_n,k'}(\psi, J, t) &= (H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\mathrm{mol}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{sr}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{dr}}) \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}} \circ \Phi_n(\psi, J, t) \\ &= \mathcal{H}_0^{k_n,k'}(J) + \mathcal{Z}^{k_n,k'}(\psi, J) =: N^{\mathrm{dr}} \circ \Phi_n(\psi, J, t). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_0^{k_n,k'}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{k_n,k'}$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} D^{-1}\rho_n^{\frac{2}{3}\tau(1+\theta)}\mathrm{Id} \leq \partial_J^2 \mathcal{H}_0^{k_n,k'} \leq D\rho_n^{-\frac{2}{3}\tau(1+\theta)}\mathrm{Id}, \\ \left\| \mathcal{Z}^{k_n,k'} \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^r(\mathrm{Cor}_n)} \leq C\rho_n^{2r+\frac{1}{3}\tau(r-2)-3m-\frac{2}{3}\tau(1+\theta)} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where the first set of inequalities is in the sense of quadratic forms.

Moreover, (25) *satisfies hypotheses [H0]-[H3] and [A1]-[A2], defined in the next section.*

Our choice of parameters (see Appendix E) implies that the whole Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{k_n,k'}$ is convex with respect to the actions.

Remark 9. The perturbation ΔH^{dr} is nonzero in the overlapping zone between the core of the double resonances $\mathrm{Cor}_n(k_n, k')$ and the single resonance zones $\mathrm{Sr}_n(k_n, k', k'')$. Nevertheless, using smallness of the $\mathcal{C}^2$ norm, one can see that Key Theorems 2 and 4 remain true.

The new Hamiltonian (25) is autonomous and, therefore, has two degrees of freedom. In particular, its energy is conserved. We study dynamics within energy levels

$$\mathcal{S}_E = \left\{(\psi, J) : \mathcal{H}^{k_n,k'}(\psi, J) = E\right\}.$$

2.4.2 Cylinders in the core of double resonances

Fix $D > 1$ and a small $\rho > 0$. Consider the class of Hamiltonians

$$\mathcal{H}(\psi, J) = \mathcal{H}_0(J) + \mathcal{Z}(\psi, J) \qquad \psi \in \mathbb{T}^2, J \in \mathbb{R}^2 \tag{27}$$

satisfying (26). Notice that all these Hamiltonians are Tonelli.

A property of a Tonelli Hamiltonian is Mañé's $\mathcal{C}^r$ generic if there is a $\mathcal{C}^r$ generic set of potential $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{C}^r(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{U}$ satisfies this property.

Let $\alpha_\mathcal{H}(0)$ be the critical energy level defined in Appendix C. Shifting $\mathcal{H}$ by a constant assume $\alpha_\mathcal{H}(0) = 0$. As in [KZ12] we study two regimes:

- *high energy:* $E \in (E_0, E_*)$ for small $E_0 > 0$ and large E_*,
- *low energy:* $E \in (0, 2E_0)$.

Mañé's generic properties of the high energy regime In the high energy regime we obtain similar results to the ones obtained in [KZ12]. Nevertheless note that now we do not deal with a mechanical system as in that paper since now the potential also depends on the actions. The proof in [KZ12] relies on identifying certain periodic orbits of the Hamiltonian with orbits of the geodesic flow on $\mathbb{T}^2$ given by the Mapertuis metric associated to the mechanical system restricted to a fixed (regular) energy level. This is not possible in the present case. However, following [CIPP98], one can obtain a Finsler metric δ_E associated to the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ in the regular energy levels $\mathcal{S}_E$. We call ℓ_E the length associated to the metric δ_E. This metric allows us to obtain similar results to those in [KZ12] (see Appendix C).

We fix a homology class $h \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ and we look for the shortest geodesics in this homology class with respect to the length ℓ_E.

Key Theorem 6. *For any Mañé's generic Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ of the form* (27)*, satisfying (26), we have*

[H1] On the critical energy level $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_\mathcal{H}(0)}$ there is a unique minimal critical point of saddle type with distinct eigenvalues $-\lambda_1 < -\lambda_2 < 0 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_1$.

Fix $E_0 = E_0^*(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \|\mathcal{H}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}) > 0$ small, $E_* > 0$ large, and a homology class $h \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then, there exist a monotone sequence of energies $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^N \subset (E_0, E_*)$ such that

[H2] *For each $E \in \cup_{j=0}^{N}(E_j, E_{j+1}) \cup (E_N, E_*)$, there exists a unique shortest geodesic γ_h^E with respect to the length ℓ_E. Moreover, it is non-degenerate in the sense of Morse, i. e. the corresponding periodic orbit is hyperbolic.*

[H3] *For $E = E_j$, there exists two shortest geodesics γ_h^E and $\overline{\gamma}_h^E$ with respect to the length ℓ_E. Moreover, they are non-degenerate in the sense of Morse, i. e. the corresponding periodic orbit is hyperbolic. Moreover they satisfy*

$$\left.\frac{d(\ell_E(\gamma_h^E))}{dE}\right|_{E=E_j} \neq \left.\frac{d(\ell_E(\overline{\gamma}_h^E))}{dE}\right|_{E=E_j}.$$

In Appendix C, this Theorem is reduced to a similar theorem in [KZ12]. Thanks to the hyperbolicity of the periodic orbits, γ_E^h has a unique smooth local continuation to a energy interval $[E_j - \delta, E_{j+1} + \delta]$ for small $\delta > 0$. For the energies outside of $[E_j, E_{j+1}]$ the orbit is still hyperbolic but it is not the shortest geodesic anymore. We consider the union

$$\mathcal{M}_h^{E_j, E_{j+1}} = \bigcup_{E \in [E_j - \delta, E_{j+1} + \delta]} \gamma_h^E, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N. \tag{28}$$

For the boundary intervals we take $[E_0, E_1 + \delta]$ and $[E_N - \delta, E_*]$. The hyperbolicity of γ_h^E implies that $\mathcal{M}_h^{E_j, E_{j+1}}$ is a NHIC of $\mathcal{H}$.

Mañé's generic properties of the low energy regime Now we deal with the low energy regime, that is, energy close to critical energy $E = 0$. Let (ψ^*, J^*) be the minimal saddle which belongs to $\mathcal{S}_0$. Without loss of generality, assume that $(\psi^*, J^*) = (0, 0)$. The Finsler metric δ_0 is singular at $\psi = 0$. Let γ_h^0 be the shortest geodesic in the homology h with respect to the Finsler metric induced on $\mathbb{T}^2$ from $\mathcal{S}_0$. The result for mechanical systems was proven by Mather in [Mat03].

Lemma 3. *The geodesic γ_h^0 satisfies one of the following statements:*

- *$0 \notin \gamma_h^0$ and γ_h^0 is not self-intersecting. We call such homology class h simple non-critical and the corresponding geodesic a simple loop.*
- *$0 \in \gamma_h^0$ and γ_h^0 is self-intersecting. We call such homology class h non-simple and the corresponding geodesic a non-simple.*
- *$0 \in \gamma_h^0$ and γ_h^0 is a regular geodesic. We call such homology class h simple critical.*

As explained in [KZ12] in the mechanical systems setting, there are at least two homology classes which are simple non-critical, that is, with associated geodesics which contain the saddle and are non-intersecting. In the phase space, such geodesics are homoclinic orbits to the saddle at $(0, 0)$. The next lemma describes what happens generically in the self-intersecting case. It was proved by Mather in the mechanical systems setting in [Mat08] (see also Appendix C).

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ satisfy (26) and condition [H0] of Key Theorem 6. Let δ_0 be the Jacobi-Finsler metric on the critical energy level. Consider $h \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the associated geodesic γ_h^0, of δ_0, is non-simple. Then for a Mañé generic Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, there exist homology classes $h_1, h_2 \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$, integers n_1, n_2 with $h = n_1 h_1 + n_2 h_2$ such that the associated geodesics $\gamma_{h_1}^0$ and $\gamma_{h_2}^0$ are simple critical.*

Recall that the condition [H0] is open.

For $E > 0$, the geodesic has no self-intersections. Therefore, this lemma implies that there is a unique way of writing γ_h^0 as a concatenation of $\gamma_{h_1}^0$ and $\gamma_{h_2}^0$. Denote $n = n_1 + n_2$.

Lemma 5. *There exists a sequence $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n$, unique up to cyclical permutation, such that*

$$\gamma_h^0 = \gamma_{h_{\sigma_1}}^0 * \gamma_{h_{\sigma_2}}^0 \ldots * \gamma_{h_{\sigma_n}}^0.$$

These lemmas describe what happens at the critical energy level. From this information we describe what happens for small energy. Assume the Hamiltonian (27) satisfies [H0]. In a neighborhood of the minimal saddle $(0, 0)$ there is a local system of coordinates $(s, u) = (s_1, s_2, u_1, u_2)$ such that the u_i axes correspond to the eigendirections of λ_i and the s_i axes correspond to the eigendirections of $-\lambda_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Now we need to impose some assumptions on the geodesics γ_h^0. They are different depending on the properties stated in Lemma 3 satisfying the geodesic. We denote by $\gamma^+ = \gamma_{h,+}^0$ be a homoclinic to $(0, 0)$ of homology h and $\gamma^- = \gamma_{h,-}^0$ the symmetric one with respect to the involution $I \mapsto -I$, $t \mapsto -t$. Assume that

[A1] The homoclinics $\gamma^{\pm}$ are not tangent to the u_2 or s_2 axis at $(0, 0)$.

Since the eigenvalues are all different, this implies that they are tangent to the u_1 and s_1 axis. Assume without loss of generality, that γ^+ approaches $(0, 0)$ along $s_1 > 0$ in forward time and along $u_1 > 0$ in backward time. Using reversibility, γ^- approaches $(0, 0)$ along $s_1 < 0$ in forward time and along $u_1 < 0$ in backward time.

For the nonsimple case we assume the following. We consider two homoclinics γ_1 and γ_2 which are in the same direction instead of being in the opposite direction.

[A1′] The homoclinics γ_1 and γ_2 are not tangent to the s_2 and u_2 axis at $(0, 0)$. Both approach $(0, 0)$ along $s_1 > 0$ in forward time and along $u_1 > 0$ in backward time.

In the case the homology h is simple and $0 \notin \gamma_h^0$ we assume the following.

[A1″] The closed geodesic γ_h^0 is hyperbolic

Fix any $d > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, d)$. Let B_d be the d-neighborhood of $(0, 0)$ and let

$$\Sigma_{\pm}^s = \{s_1 = \pm\delta\} \cap B_d, \;\; \Sigma_{\pm}^u = \{u_1 = \pm\delta\} \cap B_d$$

be four local sections transversal to the flow of (25). We define the local maps

$$\Phi^{++}_{\rm loc} : U^{++} \subset \Sigma^s_+ \to \Sigma^u_+, \qquad \Phi^{-+}_{\rm loc} : U^{-+} \subset \Sigma^s_- \to \Sigma^u_+$$
$$\Phi^{+-}_{\rm loc} : U^{+-} \subset \Sigma^s_+ \to \Sigma^u_-, \qquad \Phi^{--}_{\rm loc} : U^{--} \subset \Sigma^s_- \to \Sigma^u_-$$

These maps are defined by the first time the flow of (25) hits the sections $\Sigma^u_\pm$. These maps are not defined in the whole sets $U^{\pm\pm}$, since some orbits might escape. For such points we consider that the maps are undefined.

For the simple case, by assumption [A1], we know that for δ small enough, γ^+ intersects Σ^u_+ and Σ^s_+ and γ^- intersects Σ^u_- and Σ^s_-. Let p^+ and q^+ (respectively p^- and q^-) the intersection of γ^+ (resp. γ^-) with Σ^u_+ and Σ^u_+ (resp. Σ^u_- and Σ^u_-). Then, for small neighborhoods $V^\pm \ni q^\pm$ there are well defined Poincaré maps

$$\Phi^\pm_{\rm glob} : V^\pm \longrightarrow \Sigma^s_\pm.$$

In the nonsimple case, [A2′] implies that γ^i, $i = 1, 2$, intersect Σ^u_+ at q_i and Σ^s_+ at p_i. The associated global maps are denoted

$$\Phi^i_{\rm glob} : V^i \longrightarrow \Sigma^s_+ \;\; i = 1, 2.$$

Analyzing the composition of these maps at energy surfaces of small energy, we show that there exist periodic orbits shadowing the homoclinics and concatenation of homoclinics.

We now assume that the global maps are "in general position". We will only phrase our assumptions [A3] for the homoclinic γ^+ and γ^-. The assumptions for γ^1 and γ^2 are identical, only requiring different notations and will be called [A3′]. Let W^s and W^u denote the local stable and unstable manifolds of $(0, 0)$. Note that $W^u \cap \Sigma^u_\pm$ is one-dimensional and contains $q^\pm$. Let $T^{uu}(q^\pm)$ be the tangent direction to this one dimensional curve at $q^\pm$. Similarly, we define $T^{ss}(p^\pm)$ to be the tangent direction to $W^s \cap \Sigma^s_\pm$ at $p^\pm$.

[A3] Image of strong stable and unstable directions under $D\Phi^\pm_{\rm glob}(q^\pm)$ is transverse to strong stable and unstable directions at $p^\pm$ on the energy surface $S_0 = \{\mathcal{H} = 0\}$. For the restriction to S_0 we have

$$D\Phi^+_{\rm glob}(q^+)|_{TS_0} T^{uu}(q^+) \pitchfork T^{ss}(p^+), \quad D\Phi^-_{\rm glob}(q^-)|_{TS_0} T^{uu}(q^-) \pitchfork T^{ss}(p^-).$$

This condition is equivalent to $W^s(0) \pitchfork W^u(0)$.

[A3′] Suppose condition [A3] hold for both γ_1 and γ_2.

In the case that the homology h is simple and $0 \notin \gamma^0_h$, we assume

[A3″] The closed geodesic γ^0_h is hyperbolic.



Figure 4: The cylinders by Corollary 3 in the low energy regime in the core of double resonances. They are foliated by the periodic orbits obtained in Key Theorem 7. The two cylinders are tangent to the plane span by the weak stable and unstable directions of the saddle $(0,0)$. Such cylinders are called kissing cylinders in [KZ12].

Key Theorem 7. *Consider a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ of the form (27) satisfying conditions (26).*

1. *Fix one homology class $h \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2,\mathbb{Z})$ (resp. two classes h_1, h_2). Then for Mañé $\mathcal{C}^r$ generically $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies [H0] and assumptions [A1-A3].*

   For Hamiltonians satisfying these assumptions we have:

2. *Consider the geodesics $\gamma^\pm$ associated to the Hamiltonian (27). Then, there exists $e_0 > 0$ such that*

   - *For each $E \in (0, e_0]$, there exists a unique periodic orbit γ_+^E corresponding to a fixed point of the map $\Phi_{\text{glob}}^+ \circ \Phi_{\text{loc}}^{++}$ restricted to the energy surface $\mathcal{S}_E$.*
   - *For each $E \in (0, e_0]$, there exists a unique periodic orbit γ_-^E corresponding to a fixed point of the map $\Phi_{\text{glob}}^- \circ \Phi_{\text{loc}}^{--}$ restricted to the energy surface $\mathcal{S}_E$.*
   - *For each $E \in [-e_0, 0)$, there exists a unique periodic orbit γ_c^E corresponding to a fixed point of the map $\Phi_{\text{glob}}^- \circ \Phi_{\text{loc}}^{+-} \circ \Phi_{\text{glob}}^+ \circ \Phi_{\text{loc}}^{-+}$ restricted to the energy surface $\mathcal{S}_E$.*

3. *Consider the geodesics γ^1 and γ^2 associated to the Hamiltonian (27). Then, there exists $e_0 > 0$ such that for each $E \in (0, e_0]$, the following hold. For any $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in \{1,2\}^n$, there is a unique periodic orbit, denoted by γ_σ^E, corresponding to a unique fixed point of the map*

$$\Pi_{i=1}^n \left(\Phi_{\text{glob}}^{\sigma_i} \circ \Phi_{\text{loc}}^{++}\right)$$

restricted to the energy surface $\mathcal{S}_E$. (Product stands for composition of maps.)

The second part of this Theorem is proved in [KZ12], Theorem 6. Namely, if conditions [A1-A3] holds then conclusions 2 and 3 hold. A proof that condition 1 is Mañé generic is Theorem 4, [KZ12].

As a corollary of Key Theorem 7, we have NHICs.

Corollary 3. *Consider a Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}$ of the form (27) satisfying (26).*

1. *Fix a simple noncritical homology class $h \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. Consider the homoclinic orbits $\gamma_\pm$ and family of periodic orbits γ_+^E, γ_-^E, γ_c^E obtained in Key Theorem 7. Then, the set*

$$\mathcal{M}_h^{e_0} = \bigcup_{0<E\leq e_0} \gamma_+^E \cup \gamma^+ \bigcup_{-e_0\leq E<0} \gamma_c^E \cup \gamma^- \bigcup_{0<E\leq e_0} \gamma_-^E$$

is a $\mathcal{C}^1$ normally hyperbolic invariant manifold (NHIM) with boundaries $\gamma_\pm^{e_0}$ and $\gamma_c^{e_0}$ for the flow of Hamiltonian (26).

2. *Fix a nonsimple homology class $h \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. Consider the periodic orbits γ_σ^E obtained in Key Theorem 7, where σ is the sequence determined by Lemma 4. Then, for any $0 < e < e_0$, the set*

$$\mathcal{M}_h^{e,e_0} = \bigcup_{e<E\leq e_0} \gamma_\sigma^E$$

is a $\mathcal{C}^1$ NHIM with boundary for the flow of Hamiltonian (26).

All cylinders associated to simple homology classes are tangent along a two dimensional plane at the original formed by the weak hyperbolic directions (s_1, u_1). For this reason, in [KZ12], the cylinders $\mathcal{M}_h^{e_0}$ are called *kissing cylinders*.

Taking the constants E_0 and e_0 introduced in Key Theorems 6 and 7 respectively, such that $E_0 < e_0$ implies that there is overlapping between the low and the high energy regime. Take a simple non-critical homology class h. Then, $\mathcal{M}_h^{E_0,E_1}$, the last of the cylinders given in Key Theorem 6 can be continued by $\mathcal{M}_h^{e_0}$ thanks to Corollary 3 and the uniqueness given by Key Theorem 7. That is,

$$\mathcal{M}_h^{E_0,E_1} \cup \mathcal{M}_h^{e_0} \cup \mathcal{M}_{-h}^{E_0,E_1} \quad \text{is a NHIM.}$$

Once we have defined these cylinders we have to put them into the framework of this paper. Fix $\omega_{n-1} \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^{n-1}$ and a Dirichlet resonant segment $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n} \subset \mathrm{Vor}_{n-1}(\omega_{n-1})$. Select a θ-strong double resonance (k_n, k') in $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ given in action space by a point $I_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n} \cap \mathcal{I}_{k'}^{\omega_n}$. For all $k^* \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that $I_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n} \cap \mathcal{I}_{k*}^{\omega_n}$ we have an associated homology class $h_n = h_n(k^*, k_n, k')$. Then, we can define the sequence of NHICs

$$\mathcal{M}^{k_n^*,k_n} = \mathcal{M}_{h_n}^{E_n^0,E_n^*} \cup \mathcal{M}_{h_n}^{e_n,e_n^0}. \tag{29}$$

where the second object can be omitted if h_n is simple. All the limit energies depend on the properties of the averaged system.

2.5 Localization of the Aubry sets

In this section we localize the Aubry sets of certain cohomologies and show that they belong to the NHICs obtained in Section 2.2. First in Section 2.5.1 we deal with the single resonance zones $\mathrm{Sr}(k_n, k', k'')$ and then, in Section 2.5.2, we deal with the core of double resonances $\mathrm{Cor}(k_n, k')$ (see (14) and (13) for the definitions).

2.5.1 Aubry sets in the single resonance zones

We need to improve the estimates of [BKZ11] since the hyperbolicity of the NHIC $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\omega_n,i}_{k_n}$ given by Corollary 2 is very weak. First we state it for the Hamiltonian obtained in Key Theorem 2 by a normal form procedure. Then we deduce analogous results for the Hamiltonian

$$N^{\mathrm{dr}} := \left(H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\mathrm{mol}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{sr}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{dr}}\right) \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{P\ddot{o}s}} \tag{30}$$

The Aubry $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ and the Mañé sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ are defined in Appendix D.

Fix a resonant segment $\mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$, given as a parametrized curve in (21). Consider the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{k_n}$ obtained in Key Theorem 2 and the cylinders $\mathcal{C}^{\omega_n,i}_{k_n}$ obtained in Key Theorem 4.

Theorem 10. *With these notations for each cohomology class $c = (c^s, c^f) = (J^s_*(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-, \widetilde{a}_+]$, the Aubry $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c)$ and the Mañé $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c)$ sets satisfy the following.*

- *There exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of ρ_n and c and $b > 0$ which might depend on ρ such that*

  1. *If $c = (J^s_*(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [J_i + b, J_{i+1} - b]$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c) \subset \mathcal{C}^{\omega_n,i}_{k_n}$ and*

  $$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c) \subset B_{C\rho^{\frac{9}{4}dr}}(\psi^s_*(c^f)) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times B_{C\rho^{\frac{2}{3}r+m}}(c).$$

  2. *If $c = (J^s_*(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [J_{i+1} - b, J_{i+1} + b]$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c) \subset \mathcal{C}^{\omega_n,i}_{k_n} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\omega_n,i+1}_{k_n}$ and*

  $$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c) \subset \left(B_{C\rho^{\frac{9}{4}dr}}(\psi^s_*(c^f)) \cup B_{C\rho^{\frac{9}{4}dr}}(\overline{\psi}^s_*(c^f))\right) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times B_{C\rho^{\frac{2}{3}r+m}}(c).$$

- *Let $\pi_{(\psi^f,t)}$ be the projection onto the fast and time components (ψ^f, t). Then, we have that $\pi_{(\psi^f,t)}\big|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c)}$ is one-to-one and the inverse is Lipschitz.*

The proof of this Theorem is in Section 8. It follows similar lines as the results obtained in [BKZ11] but additional estimates are required to deal with very small hyperbolicity. Note that close to bifurcation we do not have localization of Mañé sets since it can contain heteroclinic orbits between orbits belonging to both cylinders.

From this Theorem we can deduce an analogous result for the Hamiltonian N^{dr} in (30). It is enough to use the following Theorem from [Ber07].

Theorem 11. *Let $L : TM \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Tonelli Lagrangian and $G : T^*M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the associated Hamiltonian. If $\Psi : T^*M \longrightarrow T^*M$ is an exact symplectomorphism, then*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{G\circ\Psi}(c) = \Psi^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_G(c) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{H\circ\Psi}(c) = \Psi^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_G(c)$$

If we apply this Theorem to the results of Theorem 10, we obtain the following

Key Theorem 8. *Fix a resonant segment $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ and consider the Hamiltonian $N^{\#} = N^{\mathrm{dr}} \circ L^{\mathrm{sf}}$, where N^{dr} has been defined in (30) and L^{sf} is the linear symplectic change of coordinates given in (17), (18). Consider also the cylinders $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k_n}^{\omega_n,i}$ obtained in Corollary 2. For each cohomology class $c = (c^s, c^f) = (I_*^s(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-, \widetilde{a}_+]$, the Aubry $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\#}}(c)$ and the Mañé set $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N^{\#}}(c)$ satisfy the following.*

- *There exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of ρ_n and c and a constant b which might depend on ρ such that*

  1. *If $c = (J_*^s(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [I_i + b, I_{i+1} - b]$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\#}}(c) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N^{\#}}(c) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k_n}^{\omega_n,i}$ and*

  $$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\#}}(c) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c) \subset B_{C\rho^{2r-2m}}(\psi_*^s(c^f)) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times B_{C\rho^{\frac{2}{3}r+m}+C\rho^{2r-2m}}(c).$$

  2. *If $c = (J_*^s(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [I_{i+1} - b, I_{i+1} + b]$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\#}}(c) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k_n}^{\omega_n,i} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k_n}^{\omega_n,i+1}$ and*

  $$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\#}}(c) \subset \left(B_{C\rho^{2r-2m}}(\psi_*^s(c^f)) \cup B_{C\rho^{r-\frac{5}{2}m}}(\overline{\psi}_*^s(c^f)\right) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times B_{C\rho^{\frac{2}{3}r+m}+C\rho^{2r-2m}}(c).$$

- *Let $\pi_{(\varphi^f,t)}$ be the projection onto the fast and time components (φ^f, t). Then, we have that $\pi_{(\varphi^f,t)}\big|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\#}}(c)}$ is one-to-one and the inverse is Lipschitz.*

As happened in Corollary 2, the localization worsens with respect to Key Theorem 8 since we perform the change of coordinates Φ_n given by Key Theorem 2.

Note that the change of coordinates given by Key Theorem 2 is a canonical transformation which is close to the identity. Thus, the graph property is still true when we go back to Pöschel coordinates.

2.5.2 Aubry sets in the core of the double resonances

In the double resonance regime, after a normal form procedure, we deal with the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(\psi, J) = \mathcal{H}_0(J) + \mathcal{Z}(\psi, J), \quad \psi \in \mathbb{T}^2,\ J \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

satisfying (26). In Corollary 3 we have constructed a variety of Normally Hyperbolic Invariant Manifolds. Now, we need to see that certain Aubry sets belong to those NHIMs. We proceed as in [KZ12]. Nevertheless, recall that now, at first order, we do not have a mechanical system.

The cylinders obtained in Key Theorem 6 and Corollary 3 are related to the integer homology classes whereas the Aubry sets are related to the cohomology classes. Therefore, the first step is to relate them. Each minimal geodesic γ_h^E corresponds to a minimal measure of the system associated to Hamiltonian (25). Moreover, it has associated a cohomology class. Assume that γ_h^E is parameterized so that it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation and call $T = T(\gamma_h^E)$ to its period. Then, the probability measure supported on γ_h^E is a minimal measure and its rotation number is T^{-1}. Then, the associated cohomology class is the convex subset

$$\mathcal{LF}_\beta(h/T(\gamma_h^E)),$$

of $H^1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R})$, where $\mathcal{LF}_\beta$ is the Legendre-Fenchel transform of the β-function defined in Appendix D. Recall that in Key Theorems 6 and 7 we have seen that for $E \in (0, E_0)$ or $E \in (E_j, E_{j+1})$ $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$, there exists a unique minimal geodesic γ_h^E for energy E. In this case, we define

$$\lambda_h^E = \frac{1}{T(\gamma_h^E)}.$$

For the bifurcation values $E = E_j$ there are two minimal geodesics γ_h^E and $\overline{\gamma}_h^E$. We write $\lambda_h^E = 1/T(\gamma_h^E)$, where the choice of geodesic is arbitrary. In [KZ12] it is shown that the set $\mathcal{LF}_\beta(\lambda_h^E h)$ is independent of the choice of geodesic in the setting of mechanical systems. This fact is still true for Hamiltonians of the form (27) satisfying (26). The set $\mathcal{LF}_\beta(\lambda_h^E h)$ is a well defined set function of E.

We call the union

$$\bigcup_{E>0} \mathcal{LF}_\beta(\lambda_h^E h)$$

the channel associated to the homology h and we choose a curve of cohomologies along this channel. For cohomologies in such curve, the Aubry sets will belong to the NHICs obtained in Key Theorem 6 and Corollary 3. Note that if the Hamiltonian formulation the reparameterized geodesic γ_h^E becomes a two dimensional torus. Indeed, since we are in the non-autonomous setting and, therefore, we need to add the time component. We denote this torus by $\mathbb{T}^2_{\gamma_h^E} \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$. Analogously the critical point $\psi = 0$ now becomes a periodic orbit, which we denote by $\mathbb{T}_0$.

Key Theorem 9. *(see Prop. 4.1 [KZ12]) Consider the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ of the form (27) satisfying (26). There exists a continuous function $\overline{c}_h : [0, \overline{E}] \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\overline{c}_h(E) \in \mathcal{LF}_\beta(\lambda_h^E h)$ with the following properties*

1. *For $E \in (0, E_0)$ or $E \in (E_j, E_{j+1})$, $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\overline{c}_h(E)) = \mathbb{T}^2_{\gamma_h^E}$.*
2. *For $E = E_j$, $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\overline{c}_h(E_j)) = \mathbb{T}^2_{\gamma_h^E} \cup \mathbb{T}^2_{\overline{\gamma}_h^E}$.*
3. *If h is simple critical, then, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\overline{c}_h(0)) = \mathbb{T}^2_{\gamma_h^0}$ and for $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda \overline{c}_h(0)) = \mathbb{T}_0$.*

4. *If h is simple non-critical, then, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\overline{c}_h(0)) = \gamma_h^0 \cup \mathbb{T}_0$ and for $\lambda \in [0,1)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda \overline{c}_h(0)) = \mathbb{T}_0$.*

2.6 Diffusion mechanism, shadowing, equivalent forcing classes

We construct diffusing orbits using variational methods. The method is the same as in [BKZ11] and [KZ12] and inspired by Mather [Mat93, Mat96]. The general idea is to shadow a chain of Aubry sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c)$'s parametrized by cohomologies $c \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R})$. In [Ber08] definitions of forcing and c-equivalence of two cohomology class are proposed. They have the following important property. For two cohomologies $c, c' \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R})$ if c forces c' and c' forces c, denoted $c \vdash c'$, and $c' \vdash c$, then c and c' are c-equivalent. It turns out that c-equivalence is an equivalence relation (see Proposition 4 in Appendix D). Moreover, if $c \dashv\vdash c'$, then there are two heteroclinic orbits going from $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c)$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c')$ and from $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c')$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c)$ (see Proposition 4). Forcing relation and its dynamical consequences are explained in Appendix D.

For "Diophantine" cohomologies we have the following

Lemma 6. *(see e.g. [Sor11]) For the Hamiltonian N in the Pöschel normal form (10) for every c such that $\partial H_0'(c) = \omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^U$ the Aubry set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_N(c)$, the Mañé set $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_N(c)$, and the KAM torus $\mathcal{T}_\omega$ of the corresponding rotation vector ω coincide.*

Consider the tree of Dirichlet resonances $\mathbf{S} = \cup_n \mathbf{S}_n$, defined in (8). Using c-equivalence it suffices to show that for any h and h' in $\mathbf{S}$ close enough and $c \in \mathcal{LF}_N(h)$ and $c' \in \mathcal{LF}_N(h')$ we have that c and c' are c-equivalent. We show that for each h away from θ-strong resonances and $c \in \mathcal{LF}(h)$ we have that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c)$ belongs to a NHIC. It turns out that away from junctions at θ-strong resonances there are two essentially different cases:

- diffuse along one homology class and
- jump from one homology class to another.

Consider a Hamiltonian $N^{\mathrm{dr}} = (H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\mathrm{mol}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{sr}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{dr}}) \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}$ introduced in Key Theorem 5. Consider the collection of Dirichlet resonances $\mathbf{S}$ and their preimage in the action space (12)

$$\mathbf{I} = \Omega^{-1}(\mathbf{S}) \subset U.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$, let k' be such that (k_n, k') form a θ-strong resonance, i.e. $|k'| \le |k_n|^\theta$ (see definition 7). Consider the core of this resonance $\mathrm{Cor}_n(k_n, k')$, given by (13). Denote the projection onto the action space of the $C\rho_n^m$-neighborhood of this double resonance by $\mathrm{Cor}_n^I(k_n, k')$.

Define

$$\mathbf{I}^* = \mathbf{I} \setminus \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cup_{k_n \in \mathcal{F}_n} \cup_{k'} \mathrm{Cor}_n^I(k_n, k'),$$

where the last union is taken over k' such that (k_n, k') form a θ-strong resonance.

Since N^{dr} satisfies Key Theorem 4, we have the existence of NHICs $\mathcal{C}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$ away from θ-strong resonances and by Corollary 3 existence of NHI Manifolds $\mathcal{M}^{k',k_n}$ as those resonances (see also (29)).

Key Theorem 10. *With the above notations suppose N^{dr} satisfies conditions of Key Theorem 5, then there is a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ small perturbation ΔH^{shad} supported away from pull backs of all NHICs $\mathcal{C}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$ and of all NHIMs $\mathcal{M}^{k',k_n}$, such that for*

$$N^* = (H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\mathrm{mol}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{sr}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{dr}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{shad}}) \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}$$

all cohomology classes $c \in \mathbf{I}^*$ are contained in a single forcing class and the closure $\mathcal{LF}_{N^*}(\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}) \subset \overline{\mathbf{I}}^*$.

Moreover, the same conclusion holds for $N^\bullet$ which are close to N^* in Whitney KAM topology. In particular, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in each Dirichlet resonance $\mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$ away from θ-strong double resonances, the single resonant normal form

$$\mathcal{H}^{k_n}_0(J) + \mathcal{Z}^{k_n}(\psi_n, J) + \mathcal{R}^{k_n}(\psi, J, t)$$

from Key Theorem 2, and at each θ-strong double resonance (k_n, k'), the normal form

$$\mathcal{H}^{k_n,k'}_0(J) + \mathcal{Z}^{k_n,k'}(\psi, J)$$

from Key Theorem 5 obtained from $N^\bullet$ and of N^* are $\mathcal{C}^r$-close.

This theorem implies that there are orbits which drift along the single resonance segment and jump from one segment to the other. In Section 2.6 we explain how to adapt the ideas from [BKZ11, KZ12] to our setting. We need to pay attention to both single and double resonance regimes. Notice that the set $\mathbf{I}^*$ is not connected: it only becomes connected if we add the cores of double resonances. The reason is that the choice of the cohomology path at θ-strong double resonances which belongs to a single forcing class and connects different single resonant segments is somewhat involved (see Key Theorem 9). Thus, to simplify the exposition we do not describe these paths in this theorem and we defer its description to Section 2.6. Thus, even if Key Theorem 10 may makes an illusion that proving c-equivalence for c close but on "different" sides of the core of a θ-strong double resonance is straightfoward, it is certainly not the case: it is fairly involved and occupies chapters 6,11,12 in [KZ12] (see Section 2.6).

2.6.1 Key Theorem 10 implies Theorem 2

For every c such that $\omega = \partial H'_0(c) \in \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ by Lemma 6 the corresponding Aubry set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^*}(c)$ coincides with the Mañé $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N^*}(c)$. Therefore, for any neighborhood of V of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^*}(c)$ in the phase space and any $c_n \to c$ we have that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^*}(c_n)$ belongs to a

neighborhood V for n sufficiently large (see e.g. Thm 1, [Ber10b]). Since dynamics on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N^*}(c) = \mathcal{T}_\omega$ is minimal, the limit $\lim_{c_n \to c} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^*}(c_n) = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^*}(c)$. Therefore, finding orbits shadowing invariant sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^*}(c_n)$ for any sequence $c_n \to c$ implies that such orbits accumulate to the KAM torus $\mathcal{T}_\omega$ and contain it in its closure.

By Key Theorem 10 we know that all c from the pullbacks $\mathbf{I}^*$ of the Dirichlet resonant segments $\mathbf{S}$ without the cores of the θ-strong double resonances are c-equivalent. In particular, for any Diophantine $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ we have a "zigzag" $\mathcal{S}^\omega_{k_n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ accumulating to ω. Pull backs $\mathcal{I}^\omega_{k_n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ contain c's which accumulate to $c = \Omega^{-1}(\omega)$.

Now it suffices to prove c-equivalence for all c's in $\mathbf{I}^*$, which by Proposition 5.1 implies existence of shawoding orbits. It turns out that the proof of the property of c-equivalence depends on local properties of the underlying Hamiltonian and is robust with respect to $\mathcal{C}^2$-small perturbations. Therefore, the "moreover" part holds.

Now we start the detailed description of the proof and, in particular, prove all Key Theorems. Recall that Key Theorems 6, 7, and 9 are proven in [KZ12]. Therefore, we need to prove only seven Key Theorems.

3 First step of the proof: Selection of resonances

In this section we prove Key Theorem 1. That is, we construct a net of resonances $\{\mathcal{S}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in the frequency spaces U'_η such that the following properties hold

- each segment $\mathcal{S}_n$ belongs to a resonant segment

$$\mathcal{S}_n \subset \Gamma_{k_n} = \{\omega \in U'_\eta : k_n \cdot (\omega, 1) = 0\}$$

  for some $k_n \in (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0) \times \mathbb{Z}$.

- The union $\cup_n \mathcal{S}_n$ is connected
- The closure $\overline{\cup_n \mathcal{S}_n}$ contains all Diophantine frequencies in $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ (see (4)).

Moreover, we need these resonances satisfy quantitative estimates on speed of approximation. We choose our segments so that

- for each $\mathcal{S}_n \subset \Gamma_{k_n}$ there is a Diophantine number $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}$ such that

$$|k_n \cdot (\omega, 1)| \le |k_n|^{-2+3\tau}.$$

- if $\mathcal{S}_n \cap \mathcal{S}_{n'} \neq \emptyset$, then we have a bound on ration $|k_n|/|k_{n'}|$ as well as angle of intersection.

3.1 Approximation of Diophantine vectors

In this section we explain how to construct a sequence of resonant segments approaching one single Diophantine frequency. Later, in Section 3.3 we explain how to deal with all (η, τ)-Diophantine frequencies at the same time and we prove Key Theorem 1.

Take $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. For each $k \in (\mathbb{Z}^2 \times 0) \times \mathbb{Z}$ denote $\Gamma_k = \{\omega : (\omega, 1) \cdot k = 0\}$ the resonant line. We state the results in the frequency space. Therefore all results are indepenent of the Hamiltonian.

Fix a (η, τ)-Diophantine frequency $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}$. We construct a zigzag of curves $\{\Gamma_n^\omega\}_{n\geq 1}$ approximating ω and satisfying certain quantitative estimates.

Theorem 12. *Fix $\omega^* \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}$ and a sequence of radii $\{R_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ defined as*

$$R_{n+1} = R_n^{1+2\tau},\ R_0 \gg 1, \kappa \geq 1.$$

Then, there exist $\xi > 0$ and $c > 0$ and a sequence of $(\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 0) \times \mathbb{Z}$-vectors $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that for each positive integer n we have

1. *The n-th vector k_n belong to the following annulus $\frac{R_n}{4} \leq |k_n| \leq R_n$.*
2. *The vectors satisfy*
$$\eta R_n^{-(2+\tau)} \leq |k_n \cdot \omega| \leq 16\eta^{-2} R_n^{-(2-2\tau)}.$$
3. *Consecutive vectors satisfy $k_n \nparallel k_{n+1}$ and the angles between them satisfy*
$$\measuredangle(k_n, k_{n+1}) \geq \frac{\pi}{6}.$$
4. *Let*
$$\mathbb{D}_n(\omega^*) = \left\{|\omega^* - \omega| \leq \xi\eta^{-7/2} R_n^{-(3-4\tau)}\right\}. \tag{31}$$
Then $\omega_{n+1} := \Gamma_{k_n} \cap \Gamma_{k_{n+1}} \subset \mathbb{D}_n(\omega^*)$. Denote $\Gamma_n^{\omega^*} \subset \Gamma_{k_n}$ the segment between ω_n and ω_{n+1}. Then $\Gamma^{\omega^*}(\omega_n, \omega_{n+1}) \subset \mathbb{D}_n(\omega^*)$.
5. *Any other resonance Γ_k intersecting $\mathbb{D}_n(\omega^*)$, satisfies*
$$\frac{|k_n|}{|k|} \leq c_2\eta^{-2} R_n^{2\tau}.$$

This Theorem is proved in Section 3.2. From item 2 there, we obtain upper and lower bounds for the distance between ω^* and any point in $\Gamma_n^{\omega^*}$.

Corollary 4. *For any point $\omega \in \Gamma_n^{\omega^*}$ we have*

$$\eta R_n^{-3-\tau} \leq |\omega - \omega^*| \leq \xi\eta^{-7/2} R_n^{-(3-4\tau)}$$

Proof. The second estimate is given in the Theorem. For the first one, it is enough to use the Diophantine property 4 to obtain

$$|\omega - \omega^*| \geq \frac{|k_n \cdot \omega^*|}{|k_n|} \geq \frac{\eta}{|k_n|^{3+\tau}} \geq \eta R_n^{-3-\tau}.$$

□

3.2 Proof of Theorem 12: approaching one Diophantine frequency

The key point of the proof of Theorem 12 is a non-homogeneous Dirichlet Theorem, which can be found in [Cas57, Chapter 5, Theorem VI]. We state a simplified version, which is sufficient for our purposes. In this section, the norm $\|\cdot\|$ measures the maximal distance to the integers, where the maximum is also taken over each component, i.e. for $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote

$$\|v\| := \min_{(m_1,\ldots,m_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{1\leq j\leq n} |v_j - m_j|.$$

Theorem 13. *Let $L(x)$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a linear form and fix $C, X > 0$. Suppose that there does not exist any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus 0$ such that*

$$\|L(x)\| \leq A \quad \textit{and} \quad |x_i| \leq X.$$

Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the equations

$$\|L(x) - \alpha\| \leq A_1 \quad \textit{and} \quad |x_i| \leq X_1.$$

have an integer solution, where

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2}(h+1)A, \quad X_1 = \frac{1}{2}(h+1)X \quad \text{and} \quad h = X^{-n}A^{-1}.$$

This Theorem allows us to prove Theorem 12

Proof of Theorem 12. Let $\omega^* \in \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$, i.e. $|(\omega^*, 1) \cdot k| \geq \eta |k|^{-2-\tau}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus 0)$. Consider the sequence of $\{R_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We prove Theorem 12 by induction. Assume that we have k_n and we need to obtain k_{n+1} satisfying items 1–5.

Consider a point $\widetilde{k}$ at distance $R_{n+1}/2$ in the direction perpendicular to k_n along the plane $T_{\omega^*} = \{x : \ x \cdot \omega^* = 0\}$. Take a ball of radius $R_{n+1}/4$ around it. We want to find a good approximating vector in this ball. This vector must be of the form $k_{n+1} = \widetilde{k} + x$ with $|x| \leq R_{n+1}/4$. This implies that for any k_{n+1} from this ball k_n and k_{n+1} make an angle at least $\pi/6$ and item 3 holds.

Define the linear form $L(x) = \omega^* \cdot x$ and $\alpha = \omega^* \cdot \widetilde{k}$. Then,

$$\omega^* \cdot k_{n+1} = L(x) + \alpha.$$

Now we apply Theorem 13 taking

$$X = \left(\frac{\eta R_{n+1}}{4}\right)^{1/(1+\tau)}.$$

Then, using the Diophantine condition we know that taking

$$A = \frac{\eta}{X^{2+\tau}},$$

the equation $\|L(x)\| \leq A$ has no solution for $|x| \leq X$. Thus, we get,

$$h = \eta^{-1} X^{\tau}.$$

Then, using the formula for X, we get a vector x satisfying $|x| \leq X_1$ with

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{2}(h+1)X \leq \eta^{-1} X^{1+\tau} = \frac{R_{n+1}}{4}$$

which is solution of

$$|k_{n+1} \cdot \omega^*| = \|L(x) - \alpha\| \leq hA \leq \frac{1}{X^2} \leq \frac{16}{\eta^2 R_{n+1}^{2-2\tau}}.$$

Moreover, $|k_{n+1}| = |\widetilde{k} + x| \geq R_{n+1}/4$. Thus, k_{n+1} satisfies items 1 and 2.

In order to obtain the first k_1, it is enough to apply the classical Dirichlet Theorem. This k_1 satisfies better estimates.

Now, to prove that the resonant segment $\Gamma^{\omega^*}_{k_{n+1}}$ intersects $\Gamma^{\omega^*}_{k_n}$. We start by pointing out that, using the already obtained estimates from item 4

$$\operatorname{dist}\left(\Gamma^{\omega^*}_{k_n}, \omega\right) = \frac{|k \cdot \omega^*|}{|k|} \leq \eta^{-7/2} R_{n+1}^{-(3-4\tau)}.$$

Then, taking into account that we have a uniform bound of the angle between k_n and k_{n+1}, one can take ξ large enough independent of R_{n+1} so that the two resonant segments intersect.

It only remains to see that any other resonance crossing $\Gamma^{\omega^*}_{k_n}$ is of almost the same order. Assume that there is a resonance Γ_k intersects the disk

$$\mathbb{D}\left(\omega^*\right) = \left\{|\omega - \omega^*| \leq \xi \eta^{-7/2} R_{n+1}^{-(3-4\tau)}\right\},$$

This implies that the distance to ω^* has to satisfy

$$\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma_k, \omega^*) = \frac{|k \cdot \omega^*|}{|k|} \leq \xi \eta^{-7/2} R_{n+1}^{-(3-4\tau)}.$$

which, using the Diophantine condition (4) implies

$$|k| \geq \xi^{-1} \eta^{7/2} R_{n+1}^{3-4\tau} |k \cdot \omega^*| \geq \xi^{-1} \eta^{9/2} R_{n+1}^{3-4\tau} |k|^{-(2+\tau)}$$

and then

$$|k|^{3+\tau} \geq \xi^{-1} \eta^{9/2} R_{n+1}^{3-4\tau}.$$

Then, taking τ small enough, one can get the last estimate of Theorem 12. □

3.3 Net of resonances: proof of Key Theorem 1

In Section 3.1 we have obtained a sequence of Dirichlet resonances approaching a single Diophantine frequency $\omega^* \in \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$. Now we generalize this result to obtain sequences of resonances approaching all frequencies in $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ and we prove Theorem 1. Since the number of Dirichlet resonant segments grows we need to control the complexity of possible intersections. We modify the strategy of the proof of Theorem 12.

In Section 2.1, we have defined the sequence of sets of Diophantines frequencies $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$, which are $3\rho_n$-dense subsets of $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$, such that no two points in $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ are closer than ρ_n. In Lemma 1 we have given some properties of these sets. Consider $\omega_n \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ and its Voronoi cell $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n)$ (see Section 2.1). Assume that at the stage n we have obtained a resonance Γ_{k_n} which intersects $B_{\rho_n}(\omega_n) \subset \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n)$ and we call $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma^{\omega_n}_{k_n})$ a segment of it. Now, at the following step, we consider the frequencies of $\mathcal{D}^{n+1}_{\eta,\tau}$ which are contained in $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n)$,

$$\{\omega^j_{n+1}\}_{j\in\mathcal{F}^*} = \mathcal{D}^{n+1}_{\eta,\tau} \cap \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n),$$

which have associated Voronoi cells $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega^j_{n+1})$. From Lemma 1 and using the definition of the sequence $\{\rho_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ one can easily deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Take $\rho_0 > 0$ small enough. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\omega_n \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$. The set of points in $\omega^* \in \mathcal{D}^{n+1}_{\eta,\tau}$ such that $B_{3\rho_{n+1}}(\omega^*) \cap \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n) \neq \emptyset$ contains at most $K\rho_n^{-4\tau}$ points, for some constant $K > 0$ independent of ρ_n.*

Now at each step n we need to place resonant segments that intersect the corresponding Voronoi cells. We place them using the results in Section 3.1 in such a way that the placed resonant segments do not intersect resonant segments which do not belong to the neighboring generations. This, jointly with Lemma 7, will give a good bound of the possible multiple intersections of Dirichlet resonant lines.

Now we are ready to prove Key Theorem 1. Recall that we are looking for Dirichlet resonant lines $\Gamma_{k^{*,j}_{n+1}}$ such that

- $\Gamma_{k^{*,j}_{n+1}}$ intersects $B_{\rho_{n+1}}(\omega^{*,j}_n) \subset \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega^{*,j}_{n+1})$.
- $\Gamma_{k^{*,j}_{n+1}}$ intersects the previous resonant segment $\Gamma_{k^*_n}$ inside $B_{3\rho_n}(\omega^*_n)$.
- Does not intersect resonant segments which do not belong to the neighboring generations.

Proof of Key Theorem 1. As in the proof of Theorem 12, the norm $\|\cdot\|$ measures the distance to the integers. We prove this Theorem inductively. Assume that we have placed the Dirichlet resonant segments for the frequencies of the first n generations and fix a frequency $\omega_n \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$. Now we place the Dirichlet resonant

segments associated with the frequencies $\mathcal{D}^{n+1}_{\eta,\tau} \cap \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n)$. Assume, by inductive hypothesis, that all the already placed Dirichlet resonant lines satisfy the properties stated in Theorem 1.

Now, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 12, for each $\omega^{*,j}_{n+1} \in \mathcal{D}^{n+1}_{\eta,\tau} \cap \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n)$ we can obtain a Dirchlet resonant integer satisfying

$$\frac{R_{n+1}}{4} \leq |k^{*,j}_{n+1}| \leq R_{n+1}$$

and

$$\eta R_n^{-(2+\tau)} \leq |k^{*,j}_{n+1} \cdot \omega^{*,j}_{n+1}| \leq 16\eta^{-2} R_n^{-(2-2\tau)}.$$

Thus, taking R_0 large enough (independently of n), we obtain the first two properties of the vectors $k^{*,j}_{n+1}$. Statements 4 and 5, 6 and 7 are also proved as in Theorem 12.

Now we prove the last statement. We prove that that the resonant line Γ_{k_n} can only intersect segments which belong to the previous, next to previous and future generations. We first show that Γ_{k_n} cannot intersect any ρ_m ball around any frequency of $\mathcal{D}^m_{\eta,\tau}$ with $m \geq n+2$. Take $\omega^*_m \in \mathcal{D}^m_{\eta,\tau}$. Then, the distance

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{dist}(\Gamma_{k_n}, \omega^*_m) &= \frac{|k_n \cdot \omega^*_m|}{|k_n|} \geq \frac{\eta}{|k_n|^{3+\tau}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{R_n^{3+\tau}} \geq \rho_n^{1+3\tau} > \rho_{n+2}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathrm{dist}(\Gamma_{k_n}, \omega^*_m) > \rho_m$ for any $m \geq n+2$. Since a Dirichlet resonant segment $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_m}_{k_m}$ associated to a frequency ω_m of the generation $m \geq n+2$ satisfies $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_m}_{k_m} \subset B_{\rho_m}(\omega_{m-1})$, where ω_{m-1} is such that $\omega_m \in \mathrm{Vor}_{m-1}(\omega_{m-1})$, it cannot intersect $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$.

Recall that, by statement 6, we know that $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} \subset B_{\rho_n}(\omega^*_{n-1})$. Reasoning analogously, the resonant lines associated to frequencies in $\mathcal{D}^m_{\eta,\tau}$ with $m \leq n-3$ cannot intersect $B_{\rho_n}(\omega^*_{n-1})$ and therefore, they cannot intersect $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$ either. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Analyzing the resonances belong to a generation $n \geq 1$, we can see that in suitable neighborhoods of the intersections of resonances, there cannot exist other intersections. This fact will be crucial then, in the analysis of the resonances. It is stated in the next two lemmas.

Lemma 8. *Consider $\mathcal{S}_{k'}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{k''}$, two of the resonant segments obtained in Theorem 1 which intersect at a point $\omega' = \mathcal{S}_{k'} \cap \mathcal{S}_{k''}$. Assume k belongs to the n-th generation and k' belongs to the $n+1$ generation. Then, any other resonant segment $\mathcal{S}_k$ of those obtained in Theorem 1 intersecting the ball $B_{\rho_n^2}(\omega')$ must also contain ω'.*

Lemma 9. *Fix $\theta > 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $\mathcal{S}_{k'}$ one of the resonant segments of the n generation obtained in Theorem 1. Assume that a resonant line Γ''_k with $|k''| \leq R_n^\theta$ intersects $\mathcal{S}_{k'}$ and call ω' to the intersection point. Then,*

- *Any other resonant line* Γ_k *with* $|k| \leq R_n^\theta$ *intersecting the ball* $B_{\rho_n^{(1+2\theta)/(3-5\tau)}}(\omega')$ *must also contain* ω'.

- *Any other resonant segment* $\mathcal{S}_k$ *of those obtained in Theorem 1 intersecting the ball* $B_{\rho_n^{(1+2\theta)/(3-5\tau)}}(\omega')$ *must also contain* ω'.

We devote the rest of the sections to prove Lemmas 8 and 9.

Proof of Lemma 8. Let $\omega' \in \mathcal{S}_{k'} \cap \mathcal{S}_{k''}$ be a θ-strong double resonance. Let $|\omega'| \geq \nu$.

By definition $\omega' \cdot k' = \omega' \cdot k'' = 0$. Therefore, $\omega \parallel k' \times k''$, where $\times$ is the wedge product. Let k^* be a resonance such that Γ_{k^*} passes through the ρ_n^2-neighborhood of ω' but does not contain ω'. Then, k^* satisfies $|\omega \cdot k^*| \leq \rho_n^2 |k^*|$. On the other hand, since k^* is not a linear combination of k' and k'' (otherwise Γ_{k^*} would contain ω', we have that $(k' \times k'') \cdot k^* \neq 0$. Since they are integer vectors, this implies that $|(k' \times k'') \cdot k^*| \geq 1$. Then,

$$|\omega' \cdot k^*| \geq \frac{\nu |(k' \times k'') \cdot k^*|}{|k' \times k''|} \geq \frac{\nu}{|k' \times k''|} \geq \nu R_n^{-(2+2\tau)}.$$

Thus, recalling that $|\omega \cdot k^*| \leq \rho_n^2 |k^*|$ and the definition of ρ_n, we obtain

$$\nu R_n^{-(2+2\tau)} \leq \rho_n^2 |k^*| \leq R_n^{-(6-10\tau)} |k^*|,$$

which implies that $|k^*| \geq \nu R_n^{4-12\tau}$ and therefore, it cannot belong to the generations n or $n+1$. Assume that it belongs to a generation $m \geq n+2$ and we reach a contradiction.

If k^* belongs to the m generation, we have that $R_m \geq \nu R_n^{4-12\tau}$. Then, using the relation between R_m and ρ_m, we can ensure that there is $\omega_m \in D_{\eta,\tau}^m$ which satisfies $\operatorname{dist}(\Gamma_{k^*}, \omega_m) \leq \rho_m \leq \rho_n^{4-13\tau}$. This implies that $\omega' \in \mathcal{S}_{k'} \cap \mathcal{S}_{k''}$ satisfies $\operatorname{dist}(\omega', \omega_m) \leq 2\rho_n^2$. Therefore, $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{S}_{k'}, \omega) \leq 2\rho_n^2$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{S}_{k''}, \omega) \leq 2\rho_n^2$. Nevertheless, this violates the Diophantine condition satisfied by ω_m and therefore we have reached a contradiction. □

Proof of Lemma 9. It follows the same lines as the proof of Lemma 8. We take ν such that $|\omega'| \geq \nu$ and Γ_{k^*} which passes through the $\rho_n^{1+\theta}$-neighborhood of ω' but does not contain ω'. Then, proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 8, one can see that k^* must satisfy

$$\operatorname{dist}(\omega', \Gamma_{k^*}) \geq \frac{\nu}{R_n^{1+\theta} |k^*|} \geq \frac{\nu}{R_n^{1+2\theta}} \geq \nu \rho_n^{(1+2\theta)/(3-5\tau)},$$

This proves the first statement of the lemma. The second one can be proved as in Lemma 8. □

4 The deformation procedure

We devote this section to explain the different deformations that we need to perform to the Hamiltonian H_1 in (2). These deformations have been explained in Section 2.

The first deformation is to mollify H_1, as stated in Lemma 2.

We devote the rest of this section to explain the other deformations.

4.1 Perturbation along resonant segments

As explained in Section 2, the perturbation along resonances has two different terms. One supported in the neighborhoods of single resonances and the other one supported in the neighborhood of double resonances. In fact, this perturbation is constructed as two infinite sums

$$\Delta H^{\rm sr} + \Delta H^{\rm dr} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta H_n^{\rm sr} + \Delta H_n^{\rm dr}. \tag{32}$$

The support of $\Delta H_0^{\rm sr}$ is localized along the single resonances in $\mathcal{I}_k$, $k \in \mathcal{F}_0$ (see Definition 4). The support of $\Delta H_0^{\rm dr}$ is localized in the neighborhoods of the double resonances which appear along the resonances in $\mathbf{I}_0$. The supports of $\Delta H_n^{\rm sr}$, $n \geq 1$ are localized in action space in a neighborhood of the Dirichlet resonances $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ of the n-th generation selected in Key Theorem 1. The supports of $\Delta H_n^{\rm dr}$ are localized in action space in the neighborhoods of θ-strong double resonances. By construction supports of $\Delta H_n^{\rm sr}$ (resp. $\Delta H_n^{\rm dr}$) and $\Delta H_m^{\rm sr}$ (resp. $\Delta H_m^{\rm dr}$) are disjoint if $|n-m| > 1$.

Recall that we are dealing with the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ Hamiltonian

$$H'_\varepsilon = H_0 + \varepsilon H_1 + \varepsilon \Delta H^{\rm mol},$$

given by Lemma 2.

4.1.1 The perturbation along the resonance of $\mathbf{I}_0$

The analysis of the step 0 resonances is done in [BKZ11] and [KZ12]. The step 0 perturbation $\Delta H^{(0)} = \Delta H_0^{\rm sr} + \Delta H_0^{\rm dr}$ is designed to construct diffusion along the zero generation of resonant segments $\{\mathcal{I}_k\}_{k\in\mathcal{F}_0}$. Let $\mathbf{I}_0 = \{\mathcal{I}_k\}_{k\in\mathcal{F}_0}$ be all single resonant segments of the zero generation. Then

$$\Delta H_0^{\rm sr} = \sum_{k\in\mathcal{F}_0} \Delta H_0^k \circ \Phi_k^{-1}, \tag{33}$$

where Φ_k is a canonical change of variables which is obtained in [BKZ11] and is defined in $\mathbb{T}^2 \times B_{\varepsilon^{1/4}}(\mathbf{I}_0) \times \mathbb{T}$. As explained in [BKZ11], this change is chosen such that

$$H'_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_k = H_0 + \varepsilon \mathcal{Z}_k + \delta\varepsilon \mathcal{R}_k$$

for some small $\delta > 0$ and $\|\mathcal{Z}_k\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C$, $\|\mathcal{R}_k\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C$, for some C independent of ρ and ε.

Let $I^{ij} = \mathcal{I}_{k_j} \cap \mathcal{I}_{k_i},\ k_i, k_j \in \mathcal{F}_0$ be all double resonances given by non-empty intersections of resonant segments of the first generation with other first generation resonant segments, or other resonant segments creating double strong resonances, as defined in [BKZ11, KZ12]. Let $E = E(H_0, H_1)$ be a large number. Then

$$\Delta H_0^{\mathrm{dr}} = \sum_{k_i, k_j \in \mathcal{F}_0} \Delta H_0^{ij} \circ \Phi_{ij}^{-1}, \tag{34}$$

where Φ_{ij} is obtained in [KZ12]. It is the composition of a canonical change of variables and a rescaling and is defined in $\mathbb{T}^2 \times B_{E\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(\omega^{ij}) \times \mathbb{T}$. As explained in [KZ12], is constructed so that

$$H'_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_{ij} = K_{ij} + \varepsilon \mathcal{Z}_{ij} + \varepsilon^{3/2} \mathcal{R}_{ij},$$

where K_{ij} is a positive definite quadratic form, $\mathcal{Z}_{ij}$ is a potential depending on a 2-dimensional slow angle φ_{ij}^s, and $\|\mathcal{R}_{ij}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C$.

After this step 0 deformation, we have the Hamiltonian

$$H_\varepsilon^{(0)} := H'_\varepsilon + \Delta H_0^{\mathrm{dr}} + \Delta H_0^{\mathrm{sr}}. \tag{35}$$

4.1.2 The perturbation along resonances of $\mathbf{I}_n$ for $n \geq 1$

The rest of the perturbations

$$\Delta H^{(n)} = \Delta H_n^{\mathrm{sr}} + \Delta H_n^{\mathrm{dr}} \tag{36}$$

are designed to construct diffusion along the generations of resonant segments $\{\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}\}_{k_n \in \mathcal{F}_n}$, $n \geq 1$. Each such segment is a Dirichlet resonance of the n generation. We construct these deformations inductively. Assume that one has already modified the Hamiltonian along the Dirichlet resonant segments belonging to the generations $1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. We denote by $H_\varepsilon^{(n-1)}$ the Hamiltonian after adding the generation $1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ deformations. Now we show how to construct the generation n deformation.

Since the Voronoi cells constructed in Lemma 1 are pairwise disjoint, we restrict our discussion to one Voronoi cell $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n^*)$ with $\omega_n^* \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^n$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_n(\omega_n^*)$ the set of resonant vectors of the n generation such that the associated resonant segments intersect the Voronoi cell $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n^*)$. That is,

$$\mathcal{F}_n(\omega_n^*) = \left\{ k_n \in \mathcal{F}_n : \mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n} \cap \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n^*) \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Let $\theta > 1$ be specified later. Then we define

$$\Delta H_n^{\mathrm{sr},\omega^*} = \sum_{k_n \in \mathcal{F}_n(\omega^*)} \Delta H_n^{k_n} \circ \Phi_{k_n}^{-1}, \tag{37}$$

where Φ_{k_n} is a change of variables defined for the single resonance zone $\mathrm{Sr}_n(k_n, k', k'')$. The functions $\Delta H_n^{k_n}$ are obtained in Section 6 and the change Φ_{k_n} is obtained through a normal form procedure in Section 5.2. Now we define

$$\overline{H}_\varepsilon^{(n)} := H_\varepsilon^{(n-1)} + \sum_{\omega^* \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^n} \Delta H_n^{\mathrm{sr},\omega^*}.$$

Let $W_n^{\omega^*} = \{\omega^j\}_{j\in\mathcal{J}_n}$ be the set of all θ-strong double resonances inside the Voronoi cell $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega^*)$ except the ones involving Dirichlet resonant segments belonging to the previous generations. Define

$$\Delta H_n^{\mathrm{dr},\omega^*} = \sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}_n} \Delta H_n^j \circ \Phi_j^{-1} \tag{38}$$

where the function ΔH_n^j is obtained in Appendix C. The change of variables Φ_j is defined for $(\varphi, I, t) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times B_{C\rho_n^m}(\omega^j) \times \mathbb{T})$ for some $C \geq 0$ independent of ρ_n. Recall that $m = \theta + 1$ (see (13) and Appendix E for the value of the constants θ and m). The change of coordinates Φ_j is given by Bounemoura Normal Form and is obtained in Section 5.3 (see Theorem 15, which is based on [Bou10]). As explained in that section, the change Φ_j is constructed such that

$$\bar{H}^{(n)} \circ \Phi_j = K_j + \mathcal{Z}_j + \mathcal{R}_j.$$

where K_j is Hamiltonian which only depends on the actions, $\mathcal{Z}_j$ is function which only depends on the actions and the 2-dimensional slow angle φ_j^s, and $\|\mathcal{R}_j\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C\rho_n^{q(r+1)}$ (see Section 5.3). The deformation is constructed so that $\mathcal{R}_j$ is eliminated. Lemma 9 implies that the sets $\mathbb{T}^2 \times B_{C\rho_n^m}(\omega^j) \times \mathbb{T}$ are disjoint and thus we can deform the core of all the double resonances without overlapping the deformations (the deformations along single resonances and in double resonances certainly overlap).

Thus, now we consider the Hamiltonian

$$H_\varepsilon^{(n)} := \bar{H}_\varepsilon^{(n)} + \sum_{\omega^* \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^n} \Delta H_n^{\mathrm{sr},\omega^*} + \sum_{\omega^* \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^n} \Delta H_n^{\mathrm{dr},\omega^*}. \tag{39}$$

which is the Hamiltonian after the generation n deformation.

5 Different regimes & normal forms approaching Diophantine ω's

In this section we want to analyze the Hamiltonian (10) when we approach the Diophantine frequencies through the resonant segments obtained in Theorem 1. To this end, we fix some $\omega^* \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^n$ for some $n \geq 1$ and we analyze a small neighborhood

of it. Recall that the analysis of the generation 0 resonances is done in [BKZ11] and [KZ12].

As we have explained in Section 2 (see also Section 3), we approach the Diophantine frequencies through Dirichlet resonant segments, which intersect at double resonances. To analyze them, we cover the resonant segments with balls centered at *θ-strong double resonances* (see Definition 7). Then, in each of these balls we will perform certain normal forms.

First in Section 5.1, we consider one of the resonant vectors k_n obtained in Theorem 1. Then, we show that the associated resonant segment $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ in action space can be covered by neighborhoods of double resonances of sufficiently low order. We divide these neighborhoods into two parts where we perform different normal forms.

In Section 5.2 we analyze the so-called single resonance zones (14). We proceed analogously as the single resonance zones in [BKZ11, KZ12]. Nevertheless, here we need more accurate normal forms. In Section 5.3 we analyze what we call the core of the double resonances (13), which are small balls around θ-strong double resonances.

Thanks to these normal form procedure, we obtain good first orders of the Hamiltonian system in the neighborhoods of those double resonances. Later, in Section 7, we use these normal forms and new first orders to prove the existence of NHICs along the resonances in the different regimes.

5.1 Covering the Dirichlet segments by strong double resonances

We need to analyze the Hamiltonian (10) in a neighborhood of the Dirichlet resonant segments $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ obtained in Theorem 1 (recall that $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ is a resonant segment in action space and $\mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ is the same resonant segment in frequency space). To analyze this segment we follow the approach in [KZ12].

In this section, we fix $k = k_n$ and consider the associated resonant segment $\mathcal{I}_k = \mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$. Recall $\mathcal{I}_k$ belongs to the ball $B_{3\rho_{n-1}}(\omega_{n1}^*)$ centered at a frequency of the previous generation $\mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}^{n-1}$. To simplify notation, we define $\rho = \rho_{n-1}$.

First we analyze the density of θ-strong double resonances in $\mathcal{I}_k$. The measure of how separated they are has been given in Lemma 9.

Lemma 10. *Consider the resonant segment $\mathcal{I}_k$ and consider the double resonant points*

$$P_{k'} = \mathcal{I}_k \cap \{k' \cdot \omega = 0\}$$

for $|k'| \leq K$. Then, for each such point $P_{k'}$ there exists another point $P_{k''}$ such that

$$|P_{k'} - P_{k''}| \leq CK^{-2}|k|$$

for some constant C independent of k and K.

Proof. To prove this lemma we make first a change of variables in the frequency space to put Γ_k as a horizontal line. We define the new frequencies $v_1 = k \cdot \omega$, $v_2 = \omega_2$ and $v_3 = \omega_3 = 1$. Now, the new frequency $v = (v_1, v_2, 1) \in \Gamma_k$ if $v_1 = 0$. A double resonance corresponds to $v_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Now we can apply Dirichlet Theorem to ensure that for any $v_2 \in \Gamma_k$ there exist integers q and p with $|q| \leq K$ such that

$$\left| v_2 - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{K^2}.$$

Thus, in the v coordinates, we obtain that the double resonances are at a distance at most $2K^{-2}$. Undoing the change of coordinates and measuring the distance of the double resonances in the original frequency space we obtain the wanted estimates. Recall that the frequency map Ω has estimates indepedent of ε and ρ and therefore, the estimates in frequency and action space are equivalent. □

Denote a ball centered at a θ-strong double resonance point $P_{k'}$ of radius μ_n as

$$B_{\mu_n}(P_{k'}) = \{|I - P_{k'}| \leq \mu\}.$$

Recall the Definition 7 of θ-strong double resonance. Then, taking $K = R_n^\theta = R_{n-1}^{\theta(1+2\tau)} = \rho^{-\theta\frac{1+2\tau}{3-5\tau}}$, Lemma 10 ensures that, for some constant $C_3 > 0$, the curve $\mathcal{I}_k$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{I}_k \subset \bigcup_{|k'| \leq R_n^\theta} B_{\mu_n}(P_{k'})$$

with

$$\mu_n = C_3 \rho^{(2\theta-1)\frac{1+2\tau}{3-5\tau}}. \tag{40}$$

As explained in Section 2, we divide these balls into two different parts: the single resonance zones and the core of the double resonance. The single resonance zone is defined in (14) and it is analyzed in Section 5.2. The core of double resonances is defined in (13) and is studied in Section 5.3.

5.2 Normal form along single resonance: proof of Key Theorem 2

Following [BKZ11, KZ12], we study the Hamiltonian (10) in the single resonance zones $\mathrm{Sr}_n(k_n, k', k'')$ defined in (14). We perform several steps of normal form instead of just one, since we need the remainder to be smaller than in those papers.

First we add a variable E conjugate to time to Hamiltonian (10) to have an autonomous Hamiltonian system, as done in [BKZ11]. We define the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi, I, t, E) = N'(\varphi, I, t) + E.$$

We abuse notation and take $I = (I_1, I_2, E)$ and $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, t)$. By Theorem 5, this Hamiltonian can be split as $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_1$ where

$$\mathcal{H}_0(I) = H_0'(I_1, I_2) + E\,, \qquad \mathcal{H}_1(\varphi, I) = R(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, I_1, I_2, t).$$

We perform a translation to assume that the double resonance is located at $I = 0$ and we perform the following rescaling to the action variables

$$\Phi^{\mathrm{sc}} : J \longrightarrow I = \rho^m J \tag{41}$$

where m has been introduced in (13). After a time rescaling, the system associated to the Hamiltonian (10) becomes Hamiltonian with respect to

$$\mathcal{H}(J, \varphi) = \mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J) + \mathcal{H}_1(\varphi, \rho^m J). \tag{42}$$

Denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sr}}_n(k_n, k', k'')$ the rescaled single resonance zone. We perform several steps of normal form to this Hamiltonian as in [KZ12]. In $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sr}}_n(k_n, k', k'')$, the Hamiltonian (42) satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{H}_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^{3r-2\tau}} \leq C \qquad , \qquad \|\mathcal{H}_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-2\tau-m/2}} \leq C\rho^{r+m/2}.$$

Theorem 14. *Consider the Hamiltonian* (42)*. There exists a change of coordinates* $\Phi : \widetilde{\mathrm{Sr}}_n(k_n, k', k'') \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^3$ *such that* $\mathcal{H} \circ \Phi$ *is of the form*

$$\mathcal{H} \circ \Phi(\varphi, J) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) + \mathcal{Z}(k_n \cdot \varphi, J) + \mathcal{R}(\varphi, J) \tag{43}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) = \mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)$ *and the other terms satisfy*

$$\|\mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C\rho^{\frac{4}{3}r} \qquad , \qquad \|\mathcal{R}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C\rho^{q(r+1)},$$

for some constant C *independent of* ρ*.*

Moreover,

$$\|\Phi - \mathrm{Id}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \leq C\rho^{r-m/2}.$$

As explained in [BKZ11], the normal form procedure done in Theorem 14 always keeps the form $H(\varphi, J, t) + E$. Thus, the obtained Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} \circ \Phi(\varphi, J)$ can be written as a non-autonomous Hamiltonian.

To obtain the normal form in Key Theorem 2 it suffices to undo the rescaling (41). Note that the inverse change has $\mathcal{C}^j$ norm of size ρ^{-jm}. Then, one recovers the estimates in Key Theorem 2.

5.2.1 Proof of Theorem 14: Normal form in the single resonance zones

The proof of this Theorem follows [BKZ11, KZ12]. Nevertheless we perform several steps of normal form instead of just one.

We define the projections associated to low, high and resonant harmonics harmonics

$$(\pi_< f)(J,\varphi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3,\, |k|\le R_n^\theta,\, k\notin\langle k_n\rangle} f^{[k]}(J)e^{2\pi ik\cdot\varphi}$$
$$(\pi_\geq f)(J,\varphi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3,\, |k|\ge R_n^\theta} f^{[k]}(J)e^{2\pi ik\cdot\varphi}$$
$$(\pi_{k_n} f)(J,\varphi) = \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z},\, |\ell|\le R_n^\theta/|k_n|} f^{[\ell k_n]}(J)e^{2\pi i\ell k_n\cdot\varphi}$$

(see the definition of θ in Appendix E). These projections satisfy that $f = \pi_< f + \pi_\geq f + \pi_{k_n} f$. To obtain estimates for these projections we use this lemma, proved in [BKZ11]. To state it, we define $[k] = \max\{|k_i|\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^m \setminus \{0\}$ and $[(0,\dots,0)] = 1$.

Lemma 11. *[BKZ11] For $g(\varphi, I) \in \mathcal{C}^r(\mathbb{T}^m \times B)$, we have*

- *If $\ell < r$, $\|g_k(I)e^{2\pi ik\cdot\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} \le [k]^{\ell-r}\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}^r}$,*
- *Let $g_k(I)$ be a series of functions such that the inequality $\|\partial_I^\alpha g_k\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} \le M[k]^{-|\alpha|-m-1}$ holds for each multi-index α with $|\alpha| \le \ell$, for some M. Then, we have $\|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^m} g_k(I)e^{2\pi ik\cdot\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} \le \kappa M$,*
- *Let $(\Pi_K^+ g)(\varphi, I) = \sum_{|k|>K} g_k(I)e^{2\pi ik\cdot\varphi}$. Then, for $\ell \le r-m-1$, $\|\Pi_K^+ g\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} \le \kappa K^{m-r+\ell+1}\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}^r}$,*

where κ is a constant which only depends on m.

Now we perform the normal form to reduce the size of the non-resonant harmonics. We see that at step j we have a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^j$ of the form

$$\mathcal{H}^j(\varphi, J) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) + \mathcal{Z}^j(\varphi, J) + \mathcal{R}^j(\varphi, J) + \mathcal{R}^j_\geq(\varphi, J)$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) = \mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)$, $\mathcal{Z}^j$ only contains resonant harmonics, $\mathcal{R}^j_\geq$ only contains high harmonics and $\mathcal{R}^j$ may contain all harmonics but will be increasingly small. The normal form removes the low harmonics of $\mathcal{R}^j$. *A posteriori* we will show that the high harmonics are small due to the high regularity. In the first step, we take $\mathcal{Z}_0 = 0$, $\mathcal{R}^0 = \mathcal{H}_1$, $\mathcal{R}^0_\geq = 0$.

We prove inductively that at the j step, the terms in the Hamiltonian satisfy

$$\|\mathcal{Z}^j\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5j}} \le C\rho^{r+m/2}$$
$$\|\mathcal{R}^j_<\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5j}} \le C\rho^{r+m/2+j(r-m/2)} \quad , \quad \|\mathcal{R}^j_\geq\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5j}} \le C\rho^{r+m/2}.$$

In the step 0, these estimates are trivially satisfied.

To reduce the size of $\mathcal{R}^j$, we perform a change of coordinates defined by the time one map of the flow associated to the Hamiltonian

$$\Gamma^j(J,\varphi) = \sum_{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3,\\ 0<|k|\leq R_n^\theta, k\notin\langle k\rangle}} \frac{\mathcal{R}^{j,k}(J)}{\partial_J \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(J)\cdot k} e^{2\pi i k\cdot\varphi}.$$

To bound this Hamiltonian we first bound the small divisors $(\partial_J\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(J)\cdot k)^{-1}$. We only need to bound the small divisors for $k\notin\langle k_n\rangle$ and $|k|\leq R_n^\theta$. We write k as $k=(\widetilde{k},k')$ where $\widetilde{k}\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and analogously $k_n=(\widetilde{k}_n,k_n')$. Then, we can decompose $\widetilde{k}$ as an orthogonal decomposition $\widetilde{k}=\alpha k_n+k_n^\perp$, where $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $k_n^\perp$ is orthogonal to k_n. We know that

$$\alpha=\frac{|\widetilde{k}|}{|k_n|}\leq\frac{2R_n^\theta}{R_n/4}\leq 8R_n^{\theta-1}\leq 8\rho^{-\frac{1}{3\tau}(\theta-1)}.$$

Then, we can write k as

$$k=\alpha k_n+\widehat{k},\qquad \text{where}\qquad \widehat{k}=(\widetilde{k}^\perp,k'-\alpha k_n').$$

Thus, recalling that $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(J)=\mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)$, we have

$$\left|k\cdot\partial_J\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(J)\right| = |k\cdot\partial_J[\mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)]|\geq\left|\widehat{k}\cdot\partial_J[\mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)]\right|-|\alpha|\,|k_n\cdot\partial_J[\mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)]|\,.$$

We bound each term. For the second term, we use the fact that we are looking for estimates in the rescaled single resonance zone and therefore we look at $J\in\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\mathrm{dist}(J,\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{k_n}^{\omega_n})\leq c\rho^{\frac{1}{3}\theta+1}$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ is the resonant segment $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ in the resonant variables. Then,

$$|k_n\cdot\partial_J[\mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)]|\lesssim\rho^m\mathrm{dist}(J,\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{k_n}^{\omega_n})|k_n|\lesssim\rho^{m+\frac{1}{3\tau}\theta+1}R_n\leq\rho^{m+\frac{1}{3\tau}\theta+\frac{2}{3\tau}}.$$

The ρ^m comes from the relation between the frequencies and the rescaled actions.

Now we look for a lower of bound the first term $\left|\widehat{k}\cdot\partial_J[\mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)]\right|$. In frequency space $\Gamma_{\widehat{k}}$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{S}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$. In the actions I, the single resonance zone only contains point at a distance bigger than ρ^m from θ-strong resonances and points at a distance of order one in the rescaled actions J. Then,

$$|\widehat{k}\cdot\partial_J[\mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)]|\gtrsim\rho^m\mathrm{dist}(J,\Gamma_{\widehat{k}})|\widehat{k}|\gtrsim\rho^m.$$

where we have used $|\widehat{k}|\geq 1$.

The estimates of $|\alpha|$, $|k_n\cdot\partial_J[\mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)]|$ and $|\widehat{k}\cdot\partial_J[\mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)]|$ imply

$$|k\cdot\partial_J[\mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)]|\gtrsim\rho^m.$$

One can easily also see that

$$\left|\partial_J^\ell \left(k\cdot\partial_J[\mathcal{H}_0(\rho^m J)]\right)^{-1}\right| \lesssim \rho^{-m}.$$

where $|\ell| = 1\dots 2r - m/2 - 2\tau$.

We use these estimates and Lemma 11, to bound Γ^j.

$$\|\Gamma^j\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5j-4}} \leq C\rho^{-m}\|\mathcal{R}^j_<\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5j}} \leq C\rho^{r+m/2+j(r-m/2)-m}.$$

We define Φ^j_t the flow associated to the Hamiltonian Γ^j and the time one map $\Phi^j = \Phi^j_1$. Using the Faa di Bruno formulas, one can see that

$$\|\Phi^j - \mathrm{Id}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5(j+1)}} \leq C\|\Gamma^j\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5j-4}} \leq C\rho^{r+m/2+j(r-m/2)-m}.$$

Now, we analyze the new Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{j+1} = \mathcal{H}^j\circ\Phi^j$. Define $F^j_t = \mathcal{Z}^j + \mathcal{R}^j_\geq + (\mathcal{R}^j - \pi_<\mathcal{R}^j) + t\pi_<\mathcal{R}^j = \mathcal{Z}^j + \mathcal{R}^j_\geq + (\pi_{k_n}\mathcal{R}^j + \pi_\geq\mathcal{R}^j) + t\pi_<\mathcal{R}^j$. Then, $\mathcal{H}^j$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}^{j+1}(J,\varphi) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \mathcal{Z}^j + \mathcal{R}^j_\geq + \pi_{k_n}\mathcal{R}^j + \pi_\geq\mathcal{R}^j + \int_0^1 \{F^j_t,\Gamma^j\}\circ\Phi^j_t dt.$$

We define then,

$$\mathcal{Z}^{j+1} = \mathcal{Z}^j + \pi_{k_n}\mathcal{R}^j, \quad \mathcal{R}^{j+1} = \int_0^1 \{F^j_t,\Gamma^j\}\circ\Phi^j_t dt, \quad \mathcal{R}^{j+1}_\geq = \mathcal{R}^j_\geq + \pi_\geq\mathcal{R}^j.$$

We use the estimates for $\mathcal{Z}^j$, $\mathcal{R}^j$, $\mathcal{R}^j_\geq$ and Γ_j to bound the Poisson Bracket appearing in these terms. One can see that $\|F_t\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5j-4}} \leq C\rho^{r+m/2}$. Then,

$$\left\|\{F^j_t,\Gamma^j\}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5(j+1)}} \leq C\left\|F^j_t\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5j-4}}\left\|\Gamma^j\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5j-4}} \leq C\rho^{r+m/2)+(j+1)(r-m/2)}.$$

Then, applying the Faa di Bruno formula, one obtains

$$\|R^{j+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5(j+1)}} \leq \left\|\{F^j_t,\Gamma^j\}\circ\Phi^j_t\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2r-m/2-2\tau-5(j+1)}} \leq C\rho^{r+m/2)+(j+1)(r-m/2)}.$$

To obtain the bounds for $\mathcal{Z}^{j+1}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{j+1}_\geq$ it is enough to use Lemma 11.

To complete the lemma, we perform $N = \lfloor\frac{1}{5}(r - \frac{m}{2} - 2\tau)\rfloor$ steps of normal form. Then,

$$\|\mathcal{Z}^N\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq C\rho^{r+\frac{m}{2}}$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}^N\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq C\rho^{r+\frac{m}{2}+N(r-\frac{m}{2})} \leq C\rho^{\frac{1}{5}(r-\frac{m}{2})(r-\frac{m}{2}-2\tau)} \quad , \quad \|\mathcal{R}^N_\geq\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq C\rho^{r+\frac{m}{2}}.$$

Now, we estimate the $\mathcal{C}^2$ norm. Note that the only ones which change the norm are $\mathcal{Z}^N$ and $\mathcal{R}^N_\geq$ since they only contain high harmonics. Applying Lemma 11,

$$\|\mathcal{Z}^N\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C\rho^{r+\frac{m}{2}+\frac{1}{3\tau}(r-2)} \leq C\rho^{\frac{4}{3}r}$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}^N\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C\rho^{\frac{1}{5}(r-\frac{m}{2})(r-\frac{m}{2}-2\tau)}$$

$$\|\mathcal{R}^N_\geq\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C\rho^{(r+\frac{m}{2})+\frac{1}{3\tau}\theta(r-6)} \leq C\rho^{(1+\frac{1}{3\tau}\theta)r-2\tau+\frac{m}{2}}.$$

Since $\theta = 3(q+1)$ (see Appendix E) and $r \geq m + 5q$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}^N_{\geq}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C\rho^{(q+2\tau)r-2\tau+\frac{m}{2}} \leq C\rho^{q(r+1)}.$$

and, since $r \geq m + 5q$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}^N\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C\rho^{\frac{1}{5}(r-\frac{m}{2})(r-\frac{m}{2}-2\tau)} \leq C\rho^{q(r+1)}.$$

Taking $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^N$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^N + \mathcal{R}^N_{\geq}$, we obtain the Hamiltonian stated in Theorem 14.

5.3 Normal Form in the cores of double resonances: proof of Key Theorem 5

5.3.1 Bounemoura normal form

Consider μ-neighborhoods of a double resonance of period T such that $T\mu < 1$. We derive a normal form describing behavior near a double resonance, proposed by Bounemoura [Bou10].

We state first a result of [Bou10], which deals with perturbations of linear Hamiltonian systems. Denote by

$$\mathcal{D}_R = \mathbb{T}^2 \times B_R(I^*) \times \mathbb{T}, \qquad B_R(I^*) \subset \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Call a frequency $\omega^\# \in \mathbb{R}^2$ rational if

$$T = \inf\{t > 0 : \ t(\omega^\#, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus 0\} < \infty$$

is well defined. By definition T is the period of the corresponding periodic orbit of the unperturbed flow.

Theorem 15. *Consider a Hamiltonian $h(\varphi, I) = \ell(I) + f(\varphi, I)$ defined in $\mathcal{D}_R$, where $\ell(I) = \omega^\# \cdot I$ is linear, $\omega^\#$ is a T periodic frequency and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^k} \leq \mu$. Assume $T\mu \leq C$ and $|\omega| \leq C$ for some constant $C > 0$ independent of μ and T. Then, there exists a constant C' independent of μ and T and $\mathcal{C}^p$ symplectic change of coordinates $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_{R/2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_R$ with $\|\Phi - \mathrm{Id}\|_{\mathcal{C}^p} \leq C'(T\mu)$ such that*

$$h \circ \Phi = \ell + g + \widetilde{f}$$

with $\{g, \ell\} = 0$ and the estimates

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}^p} \leq C'\mu \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^p} \leq C'(T\mu)^{k-p}\mu.$$

This Theorem is proven in [Bou10] with $p = 2$. It is easy to check that it works for any $p \leq k$.

To apply this Theorem to our setting we rescale the Hamiltonian $N^{\rm dr} = (H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\rm mol} + \Delta^{\rm sr}) \circ \Phi_{\rm Pös}$ and we make it autonomous by adding a variable conjugate to time. We consider the scaling

$$\Phi^{\rm sc} : (\varphi, J) \longrightarrow (\varphi, I) = (\varphi, \rho^m J). \tag{44}$$

Then, the Hamiltonian $N^{\rm dr} = (H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\rm mol} + \Delta^{\rm sr}) \circ \Phi_{\rm Pös}$ becomes a Hamiltonian of the form

$$\mathcal{H}(J, \varphi) = \ell(J) + \mathcal{H}_1(J, \varphi)$$

where $\ell(J) = \omega^\# \cdot J$ is linear and $\mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies $\|\mathcal{H}_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{3r-2\tau-\alpha}} \lesssim \rho^\alpha$. We take $\alpha = 2r-m$. Thus,

$$\|\mathcal{H}_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{r+m-2\tau}} \lesssim \rho^{2r-m}.$$

Note that we are abusing notation and φ now includes t and J includes the variable conjugate to time. Now, we apply Theorem 15 with $k = r + m - 1$ and $p = r$. Since the double resonance is θ-strong, we have that $\rho^{-(1+2\tau)/3} < |k'| < \rho^{-(1+2\tau)\theta/3}$. Thus, the period of the unperturbed flow $\ell(J)$ satisfies

$$T \leq |k| \cdot |k'| < R_n^{1+\theta} \leq \rho^{-(1+\theta)(1+2\tau)/3}.$$

Note that after rescaling, the core of the double resonance corresponds to $\mathcal{D}_C$. We apply Theorem 15 not to the $\mathcal{D}_C$, but to the larger set $\mathcal{D}_{2C}$. It gives a change of coordinates $\Phi^{\rm dr} : \mathcal{D}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{2C}$ such that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(J, \varphi, t) = \mathcal{H} \circ \Phi^{\rm dr}(J, \varphi) = \ell(J) + \mathcal{Z}(J, \varphi) + \mathcal{R}(J, \varphi, t)$$

with

$$\|\mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq C' \rho^{2r-m} \qquad , \qquad \|\mathcal{R}\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq C' (\rho^{2r-m-(1+\theta)(1+2\tau)/3})^{m-1} \rho^{2r-m}.$$

and

$$\|\Phi^{\rm dr} - {\rm Id}\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq C' \rho^{2r-m-(1+\theta)(1+2\tau)/3}.$$

for some $C' > 0$ independent of ρ.

Notice that $\{\omega^\# I, \mathcal{Z}\} = 0$ implies that, expanding $\mathcal{Z}$ in a Fourier series, it contains only *resonant* harmonics, namely, integers $k \in (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}$ given by $k \cdot \omega^\# = 0$. By Key Theorem 1, any vector k such that $\omega^\# \cdot k = 0$ satisfies $|k| \geq R_n^{1-c\tau}$. Then, since $\|\mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq C\rho^m$, $\rho = \rho_n = R_n^{-(3-5\tau)}$ we have that its Fourier coefficients h_k decay as $|h_k| \leq c\rho^m |k|^{-r}$. Therefore,

$$\|\mathcal{Z}\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq c\, \rho^{2r-m}\, |k|^{-(r-2)} \leq c\, \rho^{2r-m}\, R_n^{-(r-2)} = c\, \rho^{2r-m+\frac{r-2}{3-5\tau}}.$$

Warning: The resonant term $\mathcal{Z}$, in general, is not determined by resonant Fourier coefficients of $\mathcal{H}_1$. Indeed, after one step of averaging we have remainders of order

ρ^{2m}, while resonant Fourier coefficients of $\mathcal{H}_1$ are bounded by $c\,\rho^m\,R_n^{-1_\tau(3r-2\tau-m)}$. For our range of parameters the former dominates the latter.

Now, we want to remove completely the remainder $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ from the core of the double resonance. To this end we want to add a $\mathcal{C}^r$ small perturbation to the Hamiltonian. Consider a bump function $\chi : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\chi(J) = 1$ if $|J| \leq C$ and $\chi(J) = 0$ if $|J| \geq 2C$. Then, we define

$$\Delta H^{\rm dr} = \left(\chi\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}\right) \circ \left(\Phi^{\rm sc} \circ \Phi^{\rm dr}\right)^{-1}$$

where $\Phi^{\rm sc}$ is the scaling 44. Note that $\|(\Phi^{\rm sc})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq \rho^{-mr}$. Therefore, we can estimate the norms of $\Delta H^{\rm dr}$ as follows. Since we take $m = \theta + 1$ and $r \geq m + 5q$ (see Appendix E), for the $\mathcal{C}^r$ norm we have

$$\|\Delta H^{\rm dr}\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq (\rho^{2r-m-(1+\theta)(1+2\tau)/3})^{m-1}\rho^{2r-m-mr}. \leq C'\rho_n.$$

For the $\mathcal{C}^2$ norm, recalling also that $\theta = 3q + 1$, we have

$$\|\Delta H^{\rm dr}\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} \leq C'(\rho^{m-(1+\theta)(1+2\tau)/3})^{2r-2\tau-m}\rho^{-m} \leq C'\rho^{2q(r+1)}.$$

Once we add $\Delta H^{\rm dr}$, the Hamiltonian $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ becomes

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(J, \varphi, t) = \ell(J) + \mathcal{Z}(J, \varphi). \tag{45}$$

To complete the proof of Key Theorem 5 it only remains to perform the rescaling $(\Phi^{\rm sc})^{-1}$ and to make a change of variables to separate the slow and the fast angles. This is explained in the next section.

5.3.2 Slow-fast variables

Define

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1^s \\ \varphi_2^s \\ t \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \\ t \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } A = \begin{pmatrix} k \\ k' \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{46}$$

where $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)$. To have a symplectic change of coordinates, we perform the change of coordinates

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_1^s \\ J_2^s \\ E \end{pmatrix} = A^{-T} \begin{pmatrix} J_1 \\ J_2 \\ E \end{pmatrix} \tag{47}$$

to the conjugate actions, where E is the variable conjugate to time. To simplify notation, we call J to $J = (J_1^s, J_2^s)$. It can be seen that such matrix and its inverse, satisfy

$$\|A\|, \|A^{-1}\| \lesssim \rho^{-\frac{2}{3}\tau(1+\theta)} \tag{48}$$

and the same bounds are satisfied by their transposed matrices.

If we apply the symplectic change of coordinates (46), (47) to the Hamiltonian (45) it can be seen that now $\ell(I)$ only depends on E and therefore it disappears if one considers the non-autonomous setting. Then, we scale back the actions considering the inverse of the change (44) and we obtain a Hamiltonian of the form

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(I,\varphi) = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0(I) + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(I,\varphi^s) \tag{49}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0(I) = \langle \mathcal{Z} \rangle(\rho^{-m} I)$, where the average is taken with respect to φ^s, expressed in slow-fast variables. The Hamiltonian $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ is strictly convex, that is,

$$D^{-1}\rho^{\frac{2}{3_\tau}(1+\theta)}\,\mathrm{Id} \leq \partial_J^2 \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \leq D\rho^{-\frac{2}{3_\tau}(1+\theta)}\mathrm{Id}$$

for some $D > 1$ independent of ρ since it is close to the original $H_0' \circ \Phi^{\mathrm{sc}}$. The appereance of the factors ρ and ρ^{-1} comes from the change to slow-fast variables. The function $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}$ is just $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathcal{Z} - \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ expressed in slow-fast variables and rescaled actions. Thus, it satisfies

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq \rho^{2r+\frac{1}{3_\tau}(r-2)-\frac{4}{3_\tau}(1+\theta)-3m}$$

Using the choice of parameters in Appendix E we have that

$$2r + \frac{1}{3_\tau}(r-2) - \frac{4}{3_\tau}(1+\theta) - 3m \geq \frac{2}{3_\tau}(1+\theta)$$

Therefore, the Hamiltonian (49) is strictly convex with respect to the actions.

This completes the proof of Key Theorem 5.

6 Deformation of average potentials: proof of Key Theorem 3

We devote this section to deform the Hamiltonian N', defined in (10), so that the first order of the normal form along single resonance given by Theorem 14 is non degenerate. By Key Theorem 2 the leading order is given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi, J) = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(\varphi^s, J), \qquad \varphi = (\varphi^s, \varphi^f) \in \mathbb{T}^2,\ J \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We prove thus Key Theorem 3, which ensures the non-degeneracy of this first order. Note that we want to deform $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}$ so that the deformation, in the original variables, has small $\mathcal{C}^r$ norm. We denote this deformation $\Delta\mathcal{Z}$. It certainly depends on the single resonance $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$, where we have this normal form. Moreover, we choose $\Delta\mathcal{Z}$ supported in a small neighborhood of it. We do not write explicitly this dependence to avoid cluttering the notation.

The goal of this section is to prove the following. This Theorem is proved through a parameter exclusion procedure. The parameter exclusion procedure proposed here is similar to [HK07] and is closely related to notion of prevalence [HK10, Kal97].

Theorem 16. *Let $\omega^* \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ be a Diophantine number for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\rho = \rho_n$, $0 < 50\tau < 1$, let $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega_n)$ be its Voronoi cell. Let $\{\mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}\}_{k_n \in \mathcal{F}_n(\omega^*)}$ be Dirichlet resonant segments inside $\mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega^*)$ in action space, i.e. $\mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} \subset \mathrm{Vor}_n(\omega^*)$. Let $U_n(\omega^*)$ be the ρ^2-neighborhood of the union of all these segments. Let*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi, J) = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(\varphi^s, J)$$

be the first order given by the normal form of Theorem 14.

Then, there exists a $\rho^{1+4\tau}$-small in the $\mathcal{C}^r$ topology pertubation $\Delta Z(J, \varphi)$ such that for any $k \in \mathcal{F}_n(\omega^*)$, $\varphi^s = \varphi^s_k$ the single averaged potential

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{sr}}_k(\varphi^s, J^f) = \mathcal{Z}_k(\varphi^s, J^f) + \Delta\mathcal{Z}_k(\varphi^s, J^f)$$

satisfies the following conditions:

- *For each $J^f \in [a^k_-, a^k_+]$ each global maximum of $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{sr}}_k(\varphi^s_k, J^f)$ is $\rho^{d(r+1)}$ nondegenerate, i.e.*
$$\partial^2_{\varphi^s} \mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{sr}}_k(\varphi^s, J^f) \geq \rho^{d(r+1)}.$$
- *There are finitely many $J^f \in [a^k_-, a^k_+]$ such that $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{sr}}_k(\varphi^s, J^f)$ has exactly two global maxima, denoted $\varphi^1_{\min}(J)$ and $\varphi^2_{\min}(J)$ and we have*
$$|\partial_{Jf} \mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{sr}}_k(\varphi^1_{min}(J), J^f) - \partial_{Jf} \mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{sr}}_k(\varphi^2_{min}(J), J^f)| \geq \rho^{7d(r+1)/4},$$
in other words, values at the maxima change in J^f with speeds different by $\geq \lambda_*^{7/4}$.
- *There are no $J^f \in [a^k_-, a^k_+]$ such that $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{sr}}_k(\varphi^s_k, J^f)$ has more than two global maxima.*

Proof. Here we prove this Theorem using Theorem 17 (proven and stated below).

Denote by χ^ρ_j a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ bump function given by

$$\chi^\rho_j(J^f) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if dist } (J(J^f), \mathcal{S}(\Gamma_{k_j})) \leq \rho^2, \\ 0 & \text{if dist } (J(J^f), \mathcal{S}(\Gamma_{k_j})) \geq 2\rho^2. \end{cases}$$

In the $2\rho^2$ tube neighborhood we would like to perturb by trigonometric polynomials.

$$\mathcal{Z}^\sigma_j(\varphi_j, J^f) = \mathcal{Z}_j(\varphi_j, J^f) + \chi^\rho_j(J^f)\ (\sigma_1 \cos 2\pi\varphi_j + \sigma_2 \sin 2\pi\varphi_j + \sigma_3 \cos 4\pi\varphi_j +$$

$$+ + \sigma_4 \cos 4\pi\varphi_j + J^f\sigma_5 \cos 2\pi\varphi_j + J^f\sigma_6 \sin 2\pi\varphi_j).$$

Since the perturbation is $\mathcal{C}^r$-small, it suffices to have

$$|\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|, |\sigma_3|, |\sigma_4|, |\sigma_5|, |\sigma_6| \leq \rho^{2(1+4\tau)(r+1)}.$$

Denote by D_ν the 6-dimensional cube of these parameters. Recalling the relation between $R := R_n$ and ρ and $|k| < R$ we have

$$\rho^{2(1+4\tau)(r+1)} \le R^{-2(3-4\tau)(1+4\tau)(r+1)} \le |k|^{-2(1+4\tau)(3-4\tau)(r+1)}.$$

Denote by μ_ρ the Lebesgue probability measure supported on the product of two disks D_ν of radii $\nu = \rho^{2(1+4\tau)(r+1)}$.

Apply Theorem 17. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\rho\big\{&(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4,\sigma_5,\sigma_6) \in D_\nu : \exists J^f \in [a_-^{k^*}, a_+^{k^*}] \text{ such that either } \mathcal{Z}_j^\sigma(\varphi_j, J^f)\\ &\text{has a } \lambda_*\text{–degenerate minimum or } \mathcal{Z}_j^\sigma(\varphi_j, J^f) \text{ has two global minima such}\\ &\text{that speed of change of values with respect to } J^f \text{ differ by at least } \lambda_*^{7/4}\\ &\text{or in the presence of two global minima of } \mathcal{Z}_j^\sigma(\varphi_j, J^f) \text{ values at}\\ &\text{a (possible) 3rd (local) minima and at a global minimum differ by at least } \lambda_*^{7/4}\big\}\\ &\le \frac{(3C_3+7)(1+|a_+-a_-|)\,C_3^2\,\sqrt{\lambda_*}}{8\,\pi^5\ \nu^3}.\end{aligned}$$

where C_3 is bounded by the $\mathcal{C}^4$-norm of $\mathcal{H}_0$.

Since $|J_+ - J_-|$ is bounded by ρ, for $\nu = \rho^{2(1+4\tau)(r+1)}$ we have that for any perturbation $\mathcal{Z}_j^\sigma(\varphi_j, J^f)$ with $\sigma \in D_{\rho^{2(1+4\tau)(r+1)}}$ localized in the ρ^2-neighborhood of a Dirichlet resonant segment $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ is $\mathcal{C}^r$-small. In order to assure that measure of the exceptional set being small it suffices to pick $\lambda_* = \rho^{14(r+1)}$. Then the upper bound on measure is $\frac{(3C_3+7)\,C_3^2\,\rho^{(1-24\tau)(r+1)}}{8\,\pi^5}$. □

6.1 Perturbation of families of functions on the circle

Let $f_t : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}, t \in [a_-, a_+]$ be a $\mathcal{C}^r$ smooth one-parameter family of periodic functions $\theta \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Consider the following 6-parameter extended family:

$$F_t(\theta,\sigma) = f_t(\theta) + \sigma_1 \cos 2\pi\theta + \sigma_2 \sin 2\pi\theta +$$

$$+\sigma_3 \cos 4\pi\theta + \sigma_4 \sin 4\pi\theta + t\sigma_5 \cos 2\pi\theta + t\sigma_6 \sin 2\pi\theta.$$

Fix $\nu > 0$. Denote

$$D_\nu := \{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4) :\ \sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2 \le \nu^2,\ \sigma_3^2+\sigma_4^2 \le \nu^2,\ \sigma_5^2+\sigma_6^2 \le \nu^2\}$$

the direct products of three disks and by μ_ν product of the Lebesgue probability measures on each.

Notice that the set of parameteres D_ν is invariant with respect to rotations. Indeed, using the sine and cosine sum formula for properly chosen $\{\sigma_i(\theta^*)\}_i$ we have

that

$$\begin{gathered}\sigma_1\cos 2\pi(\theta+\theta^*)+\sigma_2\sin 2\pi(\theta+\theta^*)+\sigma_3\cos 4\pi(\theta+\theta^*)+\sigma_4\sin 4\pi(\theta+\theta^*)+\\ t\sigma_5\cos 2\pi(\theta+\theta^*)+t\sigma_6\sin 2\pi(\theta+\theta^*)\\ =\sigma_1(\theta^*)\cos 2\pi\theta+\sigma_2(\theta^*)\sin 2\pi\theta+\sigma_3(\theta^*)\cos 4\pi\theta+\sigma_4(\theta^*)\sin 4\pi\theta+\\ t\sigma_5(\theta^*)\cos 2\pi\theta+t\sigma_6(\theta^*)\sin 2\pi\theta,\end{gathered}\tag{50}$$

where $\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2=\sigma_1^2(\theta^*)+\sigma_2^2(\theta^*)$, $\sigma_3^2+\sigma_4^2=\sigma_3^2(\theta^*)+\sigma_4^2(\theta^*)$, $\sigma_5^2+\sigma_6^2=\sigma_5^2(\theta^*)+\sigma_6^2(\theta^*)$.

Fix $\sigma\in D_\nu^1$. For $t\in[a_-,a_+]$ we study global minima of $F_t(\cdot,\sigma)$. Let

$$\theta_{\min}(t,\sigma):=\{\theta^*:\ F_t(\theta^*,\sigma)=\min_\theta F_t(\theta,\sigma)\}$$

be a global minimum. Let $\lambda(t,\sigma):=\min_{\theta_{\min}(t,\sigma)}\ \partial_\theta^2F_t(\theta,\sigma)|_{\theta=\theta_{\min}(t,\sigma)}$.

Call a value $t^*\in[a_-,a_+]$ *bifurcation* if there are at least two global minima $\theta^1_{\min}(t,\sigma)$ and $\theta^2_{\min}(t,\sigma)$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_\sigma\in[a_-,a_+]$ the set of bifurcation points of $F_t(\cdot,\sigma)$. Introduce the difference between speed of change of values at the global minima with respect to t:

$$d_1(t^*,\sigma)=\min\{|\partial_tF_t(\theta,\sigma)|_{\theta=\theta^1_{\min}(t)}-\partial_tF_t(\theta,\sigma)|_{\theta=\theta^2_{\min}(t)}|\},$$

where minimum is taken over all possible pairs of global minima. For a bifurcation value introduce we have two global minima $\theta^1_{\min}(t)$ and $\theta^2_{\min}(t)$.

$$d_2(t^*,\sigma)=\min_{\theta_{min}}\{F(\theta_{min},\sigma)-F(\theta^1_{\min}(t),\sigma)\},$$

where the minimum is taken over all local minima different from $\theta^1_{\min}(t)$ and $\theta^2_{\min}(t)$.

Denote

$$C_3=\max_{t\in[a_-,a_+],\ \theta\in\mathbb{T},\ \sigma\in D_\nu}\{|\partial_*F_t(\theta,\sigma)|,|\partial_*^2F_t(\theta,\sigma)|,|\partial_*^3F_t(\theta,\sigma)|\},$$

where partial derivates are taken with respect to σ an t only.

The goal of this section is to prove the following

Theorem 17. *Let $f_t(\theta)$ be a $\mathcal{C}^4$ smooth one-parameter family of periodic functions $t\in[a_-,a_+]$ with $\|f_t\|_{\mathcal{C}^3}\le C_3$. Then*

$$\mu_\nu\big\{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4,\sigma_5,\sigma_6)\in D_\nu:$$

$$\min_{t\in[a_-,a_+]}\lambda(t,\sigma)\le\lambda_*,\ \min_{t^*\in\mathcal{B}_\sigma}(d_1(t^*,\sigma)+d_2(t^*,\sigma))\le\lambda_*^{7/4}\ \big\}\le\frac{(3C_3+7)\,C_3^2\,\sqrt{\lambda}_*}{8\,\pi^5\,\nu^3}.$$

6.2 Condition on local minimum

In order to determine the set of almost degenerate minima define the following set:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Cr}(\lambda^*) =&\{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4,\sigma_5,\sigma_6)\in D_r:\ \exists t\in[a_-,a_+],\\ &\partial_\theta F_t(\theta^*,\sigma)=0,\ |\partial_\theta^2 F_t(\theta,\sigma)|\le\lambda_*,\ \theta^*\text{ is a local minimum}\}.\end{aligned}$$

We embed this set into a bigger set using the following trick and the discretization method from [HK07]. If θ^* is a local minimum of a $\mathcal{C}^4$ function g on $\mathbb{T}$ and $g''(\theta^*)$ is small, then $g^{(3)}(\theta^*)$ should also be small. Otherwise, it is not a local minimum. Here is the formal claim about relations between first, second, and third derivatives.

Let $\theta^*\in\mathbb{T}$ be a local minimum of $g(\theta)$ for some $\theta^*\in\mathbb{T}$. This implies that $g'(\theta^*)=0$. Consider the second and third derivative at the critical point $g''(\theta^*),\ g^{(3)}(\theta^*)$. Since θ^* is a local minimum, $g''(\theta^*)\ge 0$ and, in case, $g''(\theta^*)=0$ we have $g''(\theta^*)(\sigma)=0$.

Lemma 12. *Let $g\in\mathcal{C}^4$, $\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}^4}\le C$ and θ^* is a local minimum and $0\le g''(\theta^*)\le\lambda$, then $|g^{(3)}|\le 3(C+2)\sqrt{\lambda}$.*

Proof. Expand g near its local minimum θ^* using Taylor with the remainder in the Lagrange form. We have

$$g(\theta^*+\delta\theta)=g(\theta^*)+g'(\theta^*)\delta\theta+\frac{1}{2}g''(\theta^*)\delta\theta^2+\frac{1}{6}g^{(3)}(\theta^*+\xi)\delta\theta^3,$$

where $|\xi|<|\delta\theta|$. By the Intermediate Value Theorem we can rewrite

$$g(\theta^*+\delta\theta)=\frac{1}{2}\delta\theta^2\left(g''(\theta^*)+\frac{1}{3}g^{(3)}(\theta^*+\xi)\right)=$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\delta\theta^2\left(g''(\theta^*)+\frac{1}{3}g^{(3)}(\theta^*)\delta\theta+g^{(4)}(\theta^*+\eta)\,\xi\,\delta\theta\right)\ge 0.$$

Plug in $\delta\theta=-\text{sign }(g^{(3)}(\theta^*))\sqrt{\lambda}$. We have

$$g''(\theta^*)+\frac{1}{3}g^{(3)}(\theta^*)\delta\theta+g^{(4)}(\theta^*+\eta)\,\xi\,\delta\theta\le\lambda-(C+2)\lambda+C\lambda\le-\lambda<0.$$

This is a contradiction. □

Let $\lambda^\#=\lambda^*/C_3$ be small positive. Denote by $\mathbb{Z}^2_{\lambda^\#}$ the $\lambda^\#$-grid in $[a_-,a_+]\times\mathbb{T}$. To estimate measure of $\mathrm{Cr}(\lambda^*)$ we use the discretization trick (see e.g. [HK07]).

$$\mathrm{Cr}^d(\lambda^*)=\{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4,\sigma_5,\sigma_6)\in D_\nu:\ \ \exists(t^*,\theta^*)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\lambda^\#},$$

$$|\partial_\theta F_t(\theta^*,\sigma)|\le 2\lambda_*,\ |\partial_\theta^2 F_t(\theta^*,\sigma)|\le 2\lambda_*,\ \ |\partial_\theta^3 F_t(\theta^*,\sigma)|\le(3C_3+7)\lambda_*\}.$$

One of the key element of the proof is the following estimate

Lemma 13. *With the above notations we have*

$$\mu_\nu\{\mathrm{Cr}^d(\lambda^*) \cup \mathcal{B}(\lambda_*)\} \leq \frac{(3C_3+7)\, C_3^2\, (|a_+ - a_-|+1)\, \sqrt{\lambda_*}}{16\, \pi^5\, \nu^3}.$$

This lemma implies Theorem 17 through a simple approximation argument. Suppose $\sigma \in \mathrm{Cr}(\lambda^*)$. Then there exists $t' \in [a_-, a_+]$ and $\theta' \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\partial_\theta F_{t'}(\theta', \sigma) = 0,\ |\partial_\theta^2 F_{t'}(\theta', \sigma)| \leq \lambda_*$$

and θ' is a local minimum. Then by Lemma 12 we have

$$|\partial_\theta^2 F_{t'}(\theta', \sigma)| \leq 3(C+2)\sqrt{\lambda_*}.$$

Now we can approximate (t', θ', σ) by a $\mathbb{Z}^2_{\lambda_*/C_3}$-grid point with precision λ_*/C. Due to derivatives of order up to 4 being bounded by C_3 we can transfer the above bounds to a nearby grid point.

Proof. The proof proceeds as follows. We estimate a probability that given $(t^*, \theta^*) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\lambda^\#}$

$$\mu_\nu\{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \sigma_5, \sigma_6) \in D_\nu : |\partial_\theta F_{t^*}(\theta^*, \sigma)| \leq 2\lambda_*,$$
$$|\partial_\theta^2 F_{t^*}(\theta^*, \sigma)| \leq 2\lambda_*,\quad |\partial_\theta^3 F_{t^*}(\theta^*, \sigma)| \leq (3C_3+7)\lambda_*\}.$$

Rewrite the family using (50) as follows

$$F_{t^*}(\theta^* + \delta\theta, \sigma^* + \delta\sigma) = f_{t^*}(\theta^* + \delta\theta) + \sigma_1(\theta^*)\cos 2\pi\delta\theta + \sigma_2(\theta^*)\sin 2\pi\delta\theta$$

$$+\sigma_3(\theta^*)\cos 4\pi\delta\theta + \sigma_4(\theta^*)\sin 4\pi\delta\theta + t\sigma_5(\theta^*)\cos 2\pi\delta\theta + t\sigma_6(\theta^*)\sin 2\pi\delta\theta.$$

Compute the first derivatives

$$\partial_\theta F_{t^*}(\theta^* + \delta\theta, \sigma^* + \delta\sigma) = \partial_\theta f_{t^*}(\theta^* + \delta\theta) +$$

$$-2\pi\sigma_1(\theta^*)\sin 2\pi\delta\theta + 2\pi\sigma_2(\theta^*)\cos 2\pi\delta\theta -$$

$$4\pi\sigma_3(\theta^*)\sin 4\delta\theta + 4\pi\sigma_4(\theta^*)\cos 4\delta\theta - 2\pi t\sigma_5(\theta^*)\sin 2\pi\delta\theta + 2\pi t\sigma_6(\theta^*)\cos 2\pi\delta\theta.$$

For $\delta\theta = 0$. Fix any values of $(\sigma_1(\theta^*), \sigma_3(\theta^*), \sigma_4(\theta^*))$ we see that probability

$$\mathrm{Leb}\{\sigma_1(\theta^*) : |\partial_\theta F_{t^*}(\theta^* + \delta\theta, \sigma^* + \delta\sigma)| \leq 2\lambda_*\} \leq \frac{4\lambda^*}{2\pi}.$$

Compute the second derivatives

$$\partial_\theta^2 F_{t^*}(\theta^* + \delta\theta, \sigma^* + \delta\sigma) = \partial_\theta^2 f_{t^*}(\theta^* + \delta\theta) - 4\pi^2\sigma_1(\theta^*)\cos 2\pi\delta\theta - 4\pi^2\sigma_2(\theta^*)\sin 2\pi\delta\theta -$$

$$16\pi^2\sigma_3(\theta^*)\cos 4\delta\theta + 16\pi^2\sigma_4(\theta^*)\sin 4\delta\theta - 4\pi^2 t\sigma_5(\theta^*)\cos 2\pi\delta\theta - 4t\pi^2\sigma_6(\theta^*)\sin 2\pi\delta\theta.$$

For $\delta\theta = 0$. Fix any values of $(\sigma_2(\theta^*), \sigma_3(\theta^*), \sigma_4(\theta^*))$ we see that probability

$$\mathrm{Leb}\{\sigma_2(\theta^*) : |\partial_\theta^2 F_{t^*}(\theta^* + \delta\theta, \sigma^* + \delta\sigma)| \le 2\lambda_*\} \le \frac{4\lambda^*}{4\pi^2}.$$

Compute the third derivatives

$$\partial_\theta^3 F_{t^*}(\theta^* + \delta\theta, \sigma^* + \delta\sigma) = \partial_\theta^3 f_{t^*}(\theta^* + \delta\theta) + 8\pi^3\sigma_1(\theta^*)\sin 2\pi\delta\theta - 8\pi^3\sigma_2(\theta^*)\cos 2\pi\delta\theta$$

$$-64\pi^3\sigma_3(\theta^*)\sin 4\delta\theta + 64\pi^3\sigma_4(\theta^*)\cos 4\delta\theta + + 8\pi^3 t\sigma_5(\theta^*)\sin 2\pi\delta\theta - 8\pi^3 t\sigma_6(\theta^*)\cos 2\pi\delta\theta.$$

For $\delta\theta = 0$. Fix any values of $(\sigma_1(\theta^*), \sigma_2(\theta^*), \sigma_2(\theta^*))$ we see that probability

$$\mathrm{Leb}\{\sigma_4(\theta^*) : |\partial_\theta^3 F_{t^*}(\theta^* + \delta\theta, \sigma^* + \delta\sigma)| \le (3C_3 + 7)\sqrt{\lambda_*}\} \le \frac{2(3C_3 + 7)\sqrt{\lambda_*}}{64\pi^3}.$$

The number of grid points is $|a_+ - a_-|\lambda_\#^{-2} = C_3^2|a_+ - a_-|\lambda_*^{-2}$. Using the fact that the measure μ_ν is the product of two Lebesgue probability measures of the disks $\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 \le \nu^2$ and $\sigma_3^2 + \sigma_2^4 \le \nu^2$ we combine the above estimates and get the required bound. □

6.3 Bifurcation of global minima

Suppose $t^* \in \mathcal{B}$ be a bifurcation point, i.e. there are at least two global minima $\theta^1_{\min}(t, \sigma)$ and $\theta^2_{\min}(t, \sigma)$. In order to determine the set of almost having two global minima having nearly the same speed of change of values in t define the following set:

$$\mathcal{B}^1(\lambda^*) = \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4) \in D_\nu : \exists t \in [a_-, a_+],$$
$$|F(\theta^1_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma) - F(\theta^2_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma)| \le \lambda_*^{7/4},$$
$$|\partial_t F(\theta^1_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma) - \partial_t F(\theta^2_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma)| \le \lambda_*^{7/4}\}$$

As before to estimate measure of this set we discretize the set of parameters and of θ's. Consider $\lambda^\# = \lambda_*/C_3^2$ grid. We can find $\lambda^\#$-closest points of the grid to each global minima and denote them by $\bar\theta^1_{\min}(t, \sigma)$ and $\bar\theta^2_{\min}(t, \sigma)$. For parameters $\sigma \notin \mathrm{Cr}(\lambda_*)$ we have that

$$|\partial_\theta F_t(\theta^i_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma)| \le \lambda_* \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Now the discretized verions of the set $\mathcal{B}(\lambda_*)$ is

$$\mathcal{B}^{1,d}(\lambda^*) = \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4) \in D_\nu : \exists(\theta_1, \theta_2, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_{\lambda^\#}, |\partial_\theta F(\theta_1, \sigma)|, |\partial_\theta F(\theta_2, \sigma)| \le 2\lambda_*,$$

$$|\partial_\theta^2 F(\theta_1, \sigma)|, |\partial_\theta^2 F(\theta_2, \sigma)| \ge \lambda_*,\ |F(\theta^1_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma) - F(\theta^2_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma)| \le 2\lambda_*^{7/4},$$
$$|\partial_t F(\theta^1_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma) - \partial_t F(\theta^2_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma)| \le 2\lambda_*^{7/4}\}.$$

Show that $\mathcal{B}^1(\lambda^*) \subset \mathcal{B}^{1,d}(\lambda^*)$. We have

$$|F_t(\bar{\theta}^i_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma) - F_t(\theta^i_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma)| \le \lambda_*^2/C_3.$$

Therefore, if

$$|F_t(\theta^1_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma) - F_t(\theta^2_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma)| \le \lambda_*^{7/4}$$

implies

$$|F_t(\bar{\theta}^1_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma) - F_t(\bar{\theta}^2_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma)| \le \lambda_*^{7/4} + 2\lambda_*^2/C_3 \le 2\lambda_*^{7/4}$$

Also if

$$|\partial_t F_t(\theta^1_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma) - \partial_t F_t(\theta^2_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma)| \le \lambda_*^{7/4}$$

implies

$$|\partial_t F_t(\bar{\theta}^1_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma) - \partial_t F_t(\bar{\theta}^2_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma)| \le \lambda_*^{7/4} + 2\lambda_*^2/C_3 \le 2\lambda_*^{7/4}.$$

Choose a parameter σ such that

$$|\partial_\sigma^2 F_t(\bar{\theta}^1_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma)| \ge \lambda_* \quad \text{for all } t \in [a_-, a_+].$$

Then

$$|\theta^1_{\min}(t,\sigma) - \theta^2_{\min}(t,\sigma)| \ge \frac{\lambda_*}{C_3} \quad \text{and}$$

$$|\bar{\theta}^1_{\min}(t,\sigma) - \bar{\theta}^2_{\min}(t,\sigma)| \ge \frac{\lambda_*}{C_3} - 2\lambda^\# \le \frac{\lambda_*}{2C_3}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathrm{Leb}\{\sigma_4(\bar{\theta}^1_{\min}(t,\sigma)) : |F_t(\bar{\theta}^1_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma) - F_t(\bar{\theta}^2_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma)| \le 2\lambda_*^{7/4}\} \le 8C_3\lambda_*^{3/4}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Leb}\{\sigma_6(\bar{\theta}^1_{\min}(t,\sigma)) : |\partial_t F_t(\bar{\theta}^1_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma) - \partial_t F_t(\bar{\theta}^2_{\min}(t,\sigma),\sigma)| \le 2\lambda_*^{7/4}\} \le 8C_3\lambda_*^{3/4}.$$

Combining we get

$$\mu_\nu\{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4,\sigma_5,\sigma_6) \in D_\nu :\ \sigma \notin \mathrm{Cr}^d(\lambda_*),\ \min_{t^* \in \mathcal{B}_\sigma} d(t^*,\sigma) \le 2\lambda_*^{7/4}\ \} \le \frac{C_3^3\ \sqrt{\lambda}_*}{2\,\pi^2\ \nu^3}.$$

We now prove the following

Lemma 14. *With the above notations we have* $\mu_\nu\{\mathcal{B}^{1,d}(\lambda^*)\} \le \dfrac{C_3^3\,\sqrt{\lambda}_*}{2\,\pi^2\,\nu^3}$.

Proof. In order to study quantitative absence of three global minima we use a very similar strategy. The condition of having three global minima can be written as follows. If a function G has three global minima, then it has three critical points with the same value:

$$G(\theta_0) = G(\theta_1) = G(\theta_2), \quad G'(\theta_0) = G'(\theta_1) = G'(\theta_2) = 0.$$

We need a quantitative version of when this condition almost holds. We have

$$\mathcal{B}^2(\lambda^*) = \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4) \in D_\nu : \ \exists t \in [a_-, a_+], \ \max_{i=1,2,3} |\partial_\theta F(\theta^i_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma)| \leq \lambda_*$$

$$\max_{i \neq j \in \{1,2,3\}} |F(\theta^i_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma) - F(\theta^j_{\min}(t, \sigma), \sigma)| \leq \lambda_*^{7/4}\}.$$

By analogy with we rewrite the family

$$F_t(\theta, \sigma) = f_t(\theta) + \sigma_1(\theta_1) \cos 2\pi\theta + \sigma_2(\theta_1) \sin 2\pi\theta + \sigma_3(\theta_1) \cos 4\pi\theta +$$

$$+\sigma_4(\theta_1) \sin 4\pi\theta + t\sigma_5(\theta_1) \cos 2\pi\theta + t\sigma_6(\theta_1) \sin 2\pi\theta =: f_t(\theta) + P_\sigma(\theta; \theta_1).$$

Using independent parameters we evaluate measure of

$$|\partial_\theta F_t(\theta_i, \sigma)| \leq \lambda_*, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \ \text{ and}$$

$$|F_t(\theta_1, \sigma) - F_t(\theta_2, \sigma)| \leq \lambda_*, \qquad |F_t(\theta_1, \sigma) - F_t(\theta_3, \sigma)| \leq \lambda_*,$$

To keep the condition $\partial_\theta F_t(\theta_1, \sigma) = 0$ it suffices to have $\partial_\theta P_\sigma(\theta_1; \theta_1) = 0$.
To keep the condition $\partial_\theta F_t(\theta_2, \sigma) = 0$ it suffices to have $\partial_\theta P_\sigma(\theta_2; \theta_1) = 0$.
To keep the condition $\partial_\theta F_t(\theta_2, \sigma) = 0$ it suffices to have $\partial_\theta P_\sigma(\theta_3; \theta_1) = 0$.
To keep the condition $F_t(\theta_1, \sigma) - F_t(\theta_2, \sigma) = 0$ it suffices to have $P_\sigma(\theta_1; \theta_1) - P_\sigma(\theta_2; \theta_1) = 0$.
To keep the condition $F_t(\theta_1, \sigma) - F_t(\theta_3, \sigma) = 0$ it suffices to have $P_\sigma(\theta_1; \theta_1) - P_\sigma(\theta_3; \theta_1) = 0$.

We obtain five conditions and four variables. Linear algebra calculations complete the proof in the same way as before. □

6.4 Deformation of single averaged potential along Dirichlet resonances

Fix the collection of the Dirichlet resonances $\{k_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{F}_n}$. Denote this collection by $\mathcal{F}_n$. Each resonance $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ has the corresponding averaged potential of $\widetilde{H}_1(J, \varphi)$, given by

$$Z_k(\varphi^s, J) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{h}_{mk}(J) \ \exp(im\varphi^s), \quad \varphi^s \in \mathbb{T},$$

where $\tilde{h}_p,\ p \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ are the Fourier coefficients of $\widetilde{H}_1(J,\varphi)$. We add a perturbation

$$\widetilde{H}_1(J,\varphi) + \Delta H_1(J,\varphi)$$

so that for each $k \in \{k_j\}_{j\in\mathcal{F}_n}$ the averaged potential

$$Z'_{k_j}(\varphi^s, J) = Z_k(\varphi^s, J) + \chi_j^{\rho_n}(J)\Delta Z_{k_j}(\varphi^s, J), \quad \varphi^s \in \mathbb{T}$$

has at most two non-degenerate global minima and at each we have

$$\min_{Jf} \partial^2_{\varphi^s\varphi^s} Z'_k(\varphi^s, J^s(J^f), J^f) \geq \rho^{5(r+1)}.$$

The perturbation has the following form:

$$\Delta Z(\varphi, J_f) = \sum_{k_j\in\mathcal{F}_n} \chi_j^{\rho_n}(J)\left(\Delta h_k^1 \cos(k\cdot\varphi) + \Delta h_k^2 \sin(k\cdot\varphi) + \Delta h_k^3 \cos(2k\cdot\varphi) + \right.$$
$$\left. + \Delta h_k^4 \sin(2k\cdot\varphi) + J^f\,\Delta h_k^5 \sin(2k\cdot\varphi) + J^f\,\Delta h_k^6 \sin(2k\cdot\varphi)\right).$$

In order to have small $\mathcal{C}^r$ norm of the perturbation we have

$$|\Delta h_k^j| \leq l_n = R_n^{-r-1}\rho^{r+1} \sim \rho^{4(r+1)/3}.$$

We prove that for the 6-dimensional Lebesgue measure of each resonance

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mes}\{\ (\Delta h_k^1, \Delta h_k^2, \Delta h_k^3, \Delta h_k^4, \Delta h_k^5, \Delta h_k^6) : \nexists J_k \in [J_k^-, J_k^+] \text{ s.t.} \\ Z_k(\varphi^s, J^s(J^f), J^f) + \Delta Z_k(\varphi^s) \\ \text{has a } \rho_n^{9(r+1)/2}\text{–degenerate global minimum}\} \\ \leq C\ \frac{\rho_n^{9(r+1)/2}}{l_n^3} \leq C\rho^{(r+1)/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where C is a universal constant independent of Z. The reason we have l_n^3 in the demoninator, because we find three conditions to be almost fullfilled to have a locally degenerate minimum. Each condition, when measures with respect to normalized measure get additional l_n in the denominator. The volume of a 4-dimensional ball of radius δ is δ^4, so there exists a perturbation of size $\rho^{35(r+1)/24}$.

7 The NHICs along single resonances: proof of Key Theorem 4

In this section we prove Key Theorem 4 by proving the existence of NHICs in the single resonance zones along the Dirichlet resonant segment $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$ given by Theorem 12. We use the normal forms that we have obtained in Theorem 14. We follow the techniques developed in [BKZ11]. Nevertheless, the approach in that paper needs to be modified since now the different parameters involved satisfy different relations.

7.1 Change to slow-fast variables

First we perform a change of variables to Hamiltonian (43) separate the slow and fast angles. This change is ρ_n-dependent and therefore we need accurate estimates. To simplify notation, in this section we take $\rho = \rho_n$.

We define

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi^s \\ \varphi^f \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \widetilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \\ t \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} k^* \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{52}$$

where e_i are the standard coordinate vectors (if this change is singular, replace some of the coordinate vectors). To have a symplectic change of coordinates, we perform the change of coordinates

$$\begin{pmatrix} J^s \\ J_1^f \\ E \end{pmatrix} = \widetilde{A}^{-T} \begin{pmatrix} J_1 \\ J_2 \\ E \end{pmatrix} \tag{53}$$

to the conjugate actions. E is the variable conjugate to time, which is not not modified when the change (53) is performed. We call J to $J = (J^s, J^f)$. The matrix $\widetilde{A}$ and its inverse, satisfy

$$\|\widetilde{A}\|, \|\widetilde{A}^{-1}\| \lesssim \rho^{-\frac{1}{3}-\tau} \tag{54}$$

and the same bounds are satisfied by their transposed matrices. The next lemma gives estimates for the transformed Hamiltonian.

Lemma 15. *If we apply the symplectic change of coordinates* (52)–(53) *to the Hamiltonian* (43)*, we obtain a Hamiltonian of the form*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(J, \varphi) = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(J, \varphi^s) + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}(J, \varphi^s, \varphi^f, t), \tag{55}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}$ only depends on the slow angle, $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ depends on all three angles, and they satisfy the following bounds

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C_5 \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r - \frac{2}{3}\tau} \leq C_5 \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r - 1}$$

and

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \leq C_5 \rho^{q(r+1) - \frac{2}{3}\tau} \leq C_5 \rho^{q(r+1)-1}.$$

Moreover, $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2} D^{-1} \rho^{2m+1} \mathrm{Id} \leq \frac{1}{2} D^{-1} \rho^{2m + \frac{2}{3} + \tau} \mathrm{Id} \leq \partial_J^2 \mathcal{H}_0(J) \leq 2D \rho^{2m - \frac{2}{3} - \tau} \mathrm{Id} \leq 2D \rho^{2m-1} \mathrm{Id}$$

where D is the constant introduced in (1)*.*

In this system of coordinates the resonant vector has become $k_n = (1, 0, 0)$. We prove the existence of a NHIC along this resonance for the Hamiltonian (55). The resonance $\mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$ is now defined by

$$\partial_{J^s} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) = 0. \tag{56}$$

Since $\partial_{J_f} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) \neq 0$ along the resonance. The resonant segment $\mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$ can be parameterized as a graph as $J^s = J^s_*(J^f)$ for $J^f \in [b^-_{k_n}, b^+_{k_n}]$, for some $b^-_{k_n} < b^+_{k_n}$.

7.2 Existence of NHICs

Key Theorem 3 implies that the truncated Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{\text{trunc}}$ in (20) has a sequence of NHICs (see (22)). Now we show persistence of those cylinders for the Hamiltonian (55).

The key idea, used in [BKZ11], [KZ12], is to construct an isolating block around

$$\{\varphi^s_*(J^f)\} \times \{J^s(J^f)\} \times \mathbb{T} \times [b_- - \delta, b_+ + \delta] \times \mathbb{T} \ni (\varphi^s, J^s, \varphi^f, J^f, t).$$

We shall at some occasions lift the map φ^s_* to a $\mathcal{C}^2$ map taking values in $\mathbb{R}$ without changing its name. To simplify notations, we will be using the $\mathcal{O}(\cdot)$ notation, where $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$ means $|f| \leq Cg$ for a constant C independent of ρ, δ, and r. In particular, we will not be keeping track of the parameter D, which is considered fixed throughout the paper.

Theorem 18. *There exists a $\mathcal{C}^1$ map*

$$(\Theta^s, P^s)(\varphi^f, J^f) : \mathbb{T}^2 \times [a_-, a_+] \to \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$$

such that the cylinder

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(\varphi^s, \widetilde{J}^s) = (\Theta^s, P^s)(\varphi^f, \widetilde{J}^f); \quad (J^s_*(p^f), J^f) \in \mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}, \ \varphi^f \in \mathbb{T}^2\}$$

is weakly invariant with respect to the vector field associated to the Hamiltonian (55), in the sense that the vector field is tangent to $\mathcal{C}$. The cylinder $\mathcal{C}$ is contained in the set

$$\begin{aligned} V := \big\{&(\varphi, \widetilde{J}); \widetilde{J}^f \in [a_-, a_+], \\ &\|\varphi^s - \varphi^s_*(\widetilde{J}^f)\| \leq M' \rho^{m-1+2dr}, \quad \|J^s - J^s_*(J^f)\| \leq M' \rho^{m-1+2dr}\big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

for some constant M' independent of ρ and it contains all the full orbits of (55) contained in V. We have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta^s(\varphi^f, \widetilde{J}^f) - \varphi^s_*(\widetilde{J}^f)\| &\lesssim M' \rho^{m-1+5dr} \\ \|P^s(\varphi^f, \widetilde{J}^f, t) - \widetilde{J}^s_*(\widetilde{J}^f)\| &\lesssim M' \rho^{m-1+5dr}, \\ \left\| \frac{\partial \Theta^s}{\partial \widetilde{J}^f} \right\| \lesssim M' \rho^{\frac{3}{2}dr - \frac{m+1}{2}}, &\quad \left\| \frac{\partial \Theta^s}{\partial \varphi^f} \right\| \lesssim \rho^{\frac{3}{2}dr - \frac{m+1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This theorem implies Key Theorem 4. It only suffices to undo the scaling 41.

7.3 Proof of Theorem 18: existence of NHICs

Theorem 18 is a consequence of Theorem 4.1 in [BKZ11]. Nevertheless we need to slightly modify the system associated to the Hamiltonian to be able to apply that Theorem since the different parameters involved in [BKZ11] satisfy different relations. Moreover, recall that the change (53) makes convexity ρ-dependent.

We redo the proof in [BKZ11]. We start estimating different quantities and computing its ρ dependence. The Hamiltonian flow admits the following equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\varphi}^s &= \partial_{J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \partial_{J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} + \partial_{J^s}\mathcal{R} \\
\dot{J}^s &= -\partial_{\varphi^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} - \partial_{\varphi^s}\mathcal{R} \\
\dot{\varphi}^f &= \partial_{J^f}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \partial_{J^f}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} + \partial_{J^f}\mathcal{R} \\
\dot{J}^f &= -\partial_{\varphi^f}\mathcal{R} \\
\dot{t} &= 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

we denote this vector field by F.

First we look for a good first order. Since in the present setting is much smaller than the size of the angle dependent part of the Hamiltonian, the first order considered in [BKZ11] cannot be used. Instead, we consider a different one. This new first order will allow us to look for a system of coordinates suitable for the isolating block procedure.

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\varphi}^s &= \partial_{J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \partial_{J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \\
\dot{J}^s &= -\partial_{\varphi^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \\
\dot{\varphi}^f &= \partial_{J^f}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \\
\dot{J}^f &= 0 \\
\dot{t} &= 1
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Now, due to Key Theorem 3, for any fixed $J^f \in [J_i^f - \delta, J_{i+1}^f + \delta]$, the point $(\varphi^s_{*,i}(J^f), J^s_{*,i}(J^f))$ is a hyperbolic critical point for the system

$$\dot{\varphi}^s = \partial_{J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \partial_{J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}, \;\; \dot{J}^s = -\partial_{\varphi^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}.$$

Moreover, the differential associated to the the hyperbolic point is given by

$$M(J^f) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= \partial_{\varphi^s J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\varphi}^s_*(J^f), \widehat{J}^s_*(J^f)) \\
b &= \partial_{J^s J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0(\widehat{J}^s_*(J^f)) + \partial_{J^s J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\varphi}^s_*(J^f), \widehat{J}^s_*(J^f)) \\
c &= -\partial_{\varphi^s\varphi^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\varphi}^s_*(J^f), \widehat{J}^s_*(J^f))
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Thanks to Key Theorem 3, these coefficients satisfy

$$|a| \lesssim \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} 0 < \rho^{2m+1} \lesssim b \lesssim \rho^{2m-1} \\ 0 < \rho^{dr} \lesssim c \lesssim \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}. \end{array} \tag{61}$$

The eigenvalues of the hyperbolic critical points are given by $\pm\lambda$ where

$$\lambda = \sqrt{a^2 + bc} \tag{62}$$

Therefore, they satisfy $\rho^{\frac{dr+2m+1}{2}} \lesssim \lambda \lesssim \rho^{\frac{2}{3}r+m-1}$.

We diagonalize the matrix associated to these critical points. To this end we define the matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a + \sqrt{a^2+bc} & -b \\ c & a + \sqrt{a^2+bc} \end{pmatrix}$$

First, we give some estimates on the critical point $(\varphi_*^s(J^f), J_*^s(J^f))$ and the matrix S.

Lemma 16. *The hyperbolic critical point $(\varphi_*^s(J^f), J_*^s(J^f))$ and the matrix S satisfy the following estimates*

$$\left|\partial_{J^f}\varphi_*^s(J^f)\right|, \left|\partial_{J^f} J_*^s(J^f)\right| \lesssim \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-dr-3}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} |S| \lesssim \rho^{2m-1} & |S^{-1}| \lesssim \quad \rho^{-dr-2} \\ |\partial_{J^f} S| \lesssim \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-\frac{3}{2}dr-7} & |\partial_{J^f} S^{-1}| \lesssim \quad \rho^{\frac{20}{3}r-\frac{7}{2}dr}. \end{array}$$

Proof. The estimates for the critical point can be obtained applying implicit derivation, since one obtains

$$M \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{J^f}\varphi_*^s \\ \partial_{J^f} J_*^s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{J^f J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0 - \partial_{J^f J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \\ \partial_{J^f \varphi^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the right hand side is evaluated at the critcal points. Thus,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_{J^f}\varphi_*^s \\ \partial_{J^f} J_*^s \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{a^2+bc} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{J^f J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0 - \partial_{J^f J^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \\ \partial_{J^f \varphi^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \end{pmatrix}$$

We have that $\det M \gtrsim \rho^{2m+dr+1}$. Then, using also the estimates for a, b and c in (61), one obtains the desired bounds.

The estimate for $|S|$ is straightforward. For S^{-1}, one just needs to take into account that $\det S = 2a^2 + 2bc + 2a\sqrt{a^2+bc}$ satisfies $|\det S| \geq 2bc \gtrsim \rho^{2m+dr+1}$.

Now, we compute the bounds for the derivatives $\partial_{J^f} S$ and $\partial_{J^f} S^{-1}$. To this end, we need to compute the derivative for a, b, c and $\det S$. By the definiton of a in (60), we have that

$$|\partial_{J^f} a| \leq \left\|\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^3} (1 + |\partial_{J^f} J_*^s| + |\partial_{J^f}\varphi_*^s|) \lesssim \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-dr-5}$$

The computations for $\partial_{J^f} c$ is analogous. For $\partial_{J^f} b$ it is enough to recall also that

$$\left|\partial_{J^s J^f J^f} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0\right| \lesssim \rho^{3m-2}.$$

Therefore, we obtain also that $|\partial_{J^f} b| \lesssim \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r+3m-dr-5}$.

Using these estimates and also (61), we obtain the bound for $\partial_{J^f} S$. For $\partial_{J^f} S^{-1}$, we need upper bounds for

$$\partial_{J^f} \det S = \left(2 - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+bc}}\right)(a\partial_{J^f} a + c\partial_{J^f} b + b\partial_{J^f} c) + 2\partial_{J^f} a\sqrt{a^2+bc}$$

Using the just obtained bounds and (61), one can see that $|\partial_{J^f} \det S| \lesssim \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r+m-\frac{3}{2}dr-7} \leq \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-\frac{3}{2}dr}$. Then, using all these estimates, we obtain that $|\partial_{J^f} S^{-1}| \lesssim \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-\frac{7}{2}dr-3m-3}$. □

We define the new coordinates

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^s - \varphi^s_*(J_f) \\ J^s - J^s_*(J_f) \end{pmatrix} \tag{63}$$

The inverse change is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi^s \\ J^s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^s_*(J_f) \\ J^s_*(J_f) \end{pmatrix} + S \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

We look for an isolating block in the region $|x| \leq \eta, |y| \leq \eta$ were η is a parameter to be determined and depends on ρ. We need also to rescale the other variables,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \nu^{-1} J^f \\ \Theta &= \gamma \varphi^f \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where the parameters $\nu, \gamma \ll 1$ will be determined later.

Lemma 17. *Assume that $|x| \leq \eta, |y| \leq \eta$. Then, the following estimates are satisfied*

$$|\varphi^s - \varphi^s_*(J_f)| \lesssim \rho^{2m-2}\eta, \;\; |J^s - J^s_*(J_f)| \lesssim \rho^{2m-2}\eta$$

Lemma 18. *In the new variables, the equation* (58) *takes the following form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{r+(q-2d)r} + \rho^{6r+\left(q-\frac{7}{2}d\right)r}\eta + \rho^{6m-dr}\eta^2\right) \tag{65}$$

where $\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda, -\lambda)$.

Proof. Applying the change (63),(64), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix}\dot{x}\\ \dot{y}\end{pmatrix} =&\partial_{Jf} S^{-1} \dot{J}^f \begin{pmatrix}\varphi^s - \varphi^s_*(J_f)\\ J^s - J^s_*(J_f)\end{pmatrix} + S^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\dot{\varphi}^s\\ \dot{J}^s\end{pmatrix} + S^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\dot{\varphi}^s_*\\ \dot{J}^s_*\end{pmatrix}\\ =&\partial_{Jf} S^{-1} \dot{J}^f S\begin{pmatrix}x\\ y\end{pmatrix} + S^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\dot{\varphi}^s\\ \dot{J}^s\end{pmatrix} + S^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_{Jf}\varphi^s_*\\ \partial_{Jf} J^s_*\end{pmatrix}\dot{J}^f.\end{aligned}$$

We analyze of each three terms. For the first one, we use that $\dot{J}^f = \mathcal{O}(\rho^{qr})$ and the estimates in Lemmas (16) and (17) to obtain

$$\left|\partial_{Jf} S^{-1}\dot{J}^f S\begin{pmatrix}x\\ y\end{pmatrix}\right| \leq \rho^{\frac{20}{3}r+\left(q-\frac{7}{2}d\right)r+2m-1}\eta.$$

Using the same lemmas, for the third term we obtain

$$\left|S^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\partial_{Jf}\varphi^s_*\\ \partial_{Jf} J^s_*\end{pmatrix}\dot{J}^f\right| \lesssim \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r+(q-2d)r-5}$$

For the second term, we use equation (58). Indeed, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix}\dot{\varphi}^s\\ \dot{J}^s\end{pmatrix} &= M\begin{pmatrix}\varphi^s - \varphi^s_*\\ J^s - J^s_*\end{pmatrix} + \rho^{3m-2}\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{O}\left(\varphi^s - \varphi^s_*\right)^2\\ \mathcal{O}\left(J^s - J^s_*\right)^2\end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{qr}\right)\\ &= M\begin{pmatrix}\varphi^s - \varphi^s_*\\ J^s - J^s_*\end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{7m-6}\eta^2 + \rho^{qr}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$S^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\dot{\varphi}^s\\ \dot{J}^s\end{pmatrix} = \Lambda\begin{pmatrix}x\\ y\end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{7m-dr-8}\eta^2 + \rho^{(q-d)r-2}\right)$$

Using the relation between d and q, one obtains the desired estimates. □

To prove the existence of an isolating block, we need to analize also the linearized equation. We first analyze the linearization of the change of coordinates (63), (64).

Lemma 19. *The linearization of the change of coordinates* $(x, y, \Theta, I, t) \to (\varphi^s, J^s, \varphi^f, J^f, t)$ *is of the following form*

$$T = \left(\frac{\partial(\varphi^s, J^s, \varphi^f, J^f, t)}{\partial(x, y, \Theta, I, t)}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}S & 0 & \nu A & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \nu & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$A = \partial_{Jf}\begin{pmatrix}\varphi^s_*\\ J^*_s\end{pmatrix} + \partial_{Jf} S\begin{pmatrix}x\\ y\end{pmatrix}$$

The linearization of the inverse change of coordinates is of the form

$$T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} S^{-1} & 0 & B & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \nu^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$B = \partial_{Jf} S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^s - \varphi^s_* \\ J^s - J^s_* \end{pmatrix} + S^{-1} \partial_{Jf} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^s_* \\ J^s_* \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, the norms of T and T^{-1} have the following bounds

$$\begin{aligned} |T| &\lesssim \gamma^{-1} + \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-dr-3}\nu + \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-\frac{3}{2}dr-7}\eta\nu \\ \left|T^{-1}\right| &\lesssim \nu^{-1} + \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-2dr-5} + \rho^{\frac{20}{3}r-\frac{7}{2}dr+2m-2}\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The computation of T and T^{-1} is straightforward. To compute their norms we first bound A and B. By Lemma 16, one can see that A and B satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &\leq \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-dr-3} + \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-\frac{3}{2}dr-7}\eta \\ |B| &\leq \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-2dr-5} + \rho^{\frac{20}{3}r-\frac{7}{2}dr+2m-2}\eta. \end{aligned}$$

From these estimates, one can obtain the bounds for T and T^{-1}, recalling that $m = r/10$ (see (13)) and that $\gamma \ll 1$ and $\nu \ll 1$. □

Lemma 20. *In the coordinate system (x, y, Θ, I, t), the linearized system is given by the block matrix*

$$\begin{aligned} L = &\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{2m-2}\gamma, \rho^{5m-dr-6}\eta, \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-dr}\nu\gamma, \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-\frac{5}{2}dr}\eta\nu\right) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{qr}\gamma^{-1}\nu^{-1}, \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-(q-2d)r-5}\gamma^{-1}, \rho^{\frac{20}{3}r-(q-\frac{7}{2}d)r+2m-2}\gamma^{-1}\eta, \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r+(q-d)r-3}, \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r+(q-3d)r-5}\nu\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Denote by F the vector field (58). Then,

$$DF = \begin{pmatrix} M + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{3m-3}\eta) & 0 & v_2 & 0 \\ v_1^T & 0 & C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{qr}\right)$$

where

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{Jf_{\varphi^s}} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \\ \partial_{Jf\,J^s}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{Jf\,J^s}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}) \\ \partial_{Jf_{\varphi^s}} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $C = \partial_{Jf\,Jf}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}\right)$. Therefore, using the estimates (15), we have that

$$|v_1| \leq \rho^{2m-1}, \ |v_2| \leq \rho^{m-2}, \ |C| \leq \rho^{2m-2}.$$

The matrix L is given by $L = T^{-1} \cdot DF \cdot T$. Thus, we just need to multiply the matrices. We obtain

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda + \mathcal{O}(|S^{-1}||S|\rho^{3m-3}\eta) & 0 & S^{-1}((M + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{3m-3}\eta))A + v_2)\nu & 0 \\ \gamma v_1^T S & 0 & (v_1^T A + C)\nu\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(|T^{-1}||T|\rho^{qr}\right).$$

To bound each term, we take advantage of a cancellation which arises in L. We define

$$v_2^* = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{J^f J^s}(\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0(J_*^s, J^f) + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(\varphi_*^s, J_*^s, J^f)) \\ \partial_{J^f \varphi^s}\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(\varphi_*^s, J_*^s, J^f) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we have that

$$M \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{J^f}\varphi_*^s \\ \partial_{J^f} J_*^s \end{pmatrix} + v_2^* = 0.$$

Thus, using the definition of A we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|S^{-1}((M + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{3m-3}\eta))A + v_2)\nu\right| \lesssim & |S^{-1}||A|\rho^{3m-3}\eta\nu + |S^{-1}||v_2 - v_2^*|\nu \\ & + |S^{-1}||M||\partial_{J^f} S|\left|\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}\right|\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the estimates of v_1, v_2 and C, the fact that $\gamma \ll 1$ and $\nu \ll 1$, the estimates of A given in Lemma 19 and the estimates given in Lemma 16, we obtain

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(|T^{-1}||T|\rho^{qr}, \rho^{3m-3}\gamma, \rho^{5m-dr-6}\eta, \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-dr+2m-5}\nu\gamma, \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-\frac{5}{2}dr}\eta\nu\right).$$

Finally it is enough to use the estimates for $|T^{-1}|$ and $|T|$ given in Lemma 19. □

Now, it is enough to choose the parameters η, ν and γ to show that the remainder is smaller than the first order of Λ. This allows us to show the existence of the isolating block. We make two different choice of parameters. The first choice is to construct the smallest possible isolating block. This gives the sharper estimates for the size of the cylinder and also gives good bounds for its derivatives. The second one is to obtain the largest isolating block where we can prove the existence of the cylinder. This gives the maximal set where we can ensure the existence and uniqueness of the cylinder.

First, we take $\eta = \rho^{5dr}$, $\nu = 1$ and $\gamma = \rho^{2dr}$. Recalling that $q = 18d$ (see Appendix E), we obtain that the equation obtained in Lemma 18 becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\eta\rho^{\mathrm{dr}}\right) \tag{66}$$

and the matrix L obtained in Lemma 20

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{2dr}\right) \tag{67}$$

Now we are ready to apply the isolating block argument as done in [BKZ11]. We apply Proposition A.1 [BKZ11] to the system in coordinates (x, y, Θ, I, t). More precisely, with the notations of appendix B [BKZ11], we set

$$u = x, s = y, c_1 = (\Theta, t), c_2 = I, \Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \Omega^{c_2} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \left[\frac{a_- - \lambda^2}{\nu}, \frac{a_+ + \lambda^2}{\nu}\right].$$

We fix $\alpha = \lambda/2$ and we take $B^u = \{u : \|u\| \leq \eta\}$ and $B^s = \{s : \|s\| \leq \eta\}$. Then, by (66), one can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} \cdot x &\geq \alpha x^2 && \text{if } |x| = \eta, |y| \leq \eta, (\Theta, I, t) \in \Omega \\ \dot{y} \cdot y &\leq -\alpha y^2 && \text{if } |x| \leq \eta, |y| = \eta, (\Theta, I, t) \in \Omega \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (67) and recalling that $\alpha = \lambda/2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{uu} &= \lambda + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{2dr}\right) \geq \alpha \\ L_{ss} &= \lambda + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{2dr}\right) \geq \alpha \end{aligned}$$

on $B^u \times B^s \times \Omega$. Finally, following the notations of Proposition A.1 of [BKZ11], we have that $m \lesssim \rho^{2dr}$. Therefore, $K \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$ since

$$K = \frac{m}{\alpha - 2m} \lesssim \rho^{\frac{3}{2}dr - \frac{m+1}{2}} \ll 1$$

Thus, we can apply Proposition A.1 of [BKZ11]. This implies that there exists a $\mathcal{C}^1$ map

$$w^c = (w^c_u, w^c_s) : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$

which satisfies $\|dw^c\| \leq 2K$, is γ^{-1}-periodic in Θ and 1-periodic in t, and the graph of which is weakly invariant. Now it only remains to go back to the original variables by defining

$$\begin{pmatrix} w^c_{\varphi^s} \\ w^c_{J^s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^s_*(J^f) \\ J^s_*(J^f) \end{pmatrix} + S \begin{pmatrix} w^c_u\left(\gamma\varphi^f, \nu^{-1}J^f, t\right) \\ w^c_s\left(\gamma\varphi^f, \nu^{-1}J^f, t\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\left|w^c_{\varphi^s} - \varphi^s_*(J^f)\right| \leq \rho^{m-1}\eta \leq \rho^{m-1+5dr}, \qquad \left|w^c_{J^s} - J^s_*(J^f)\right| \leq \rho^{m-1}\eta \leq \rho^{m-1+5dr}$$

and for the derivatives

$$\left|\partial_{J^f} w^c_{\varphi^s}\right| \lesssim K\nu^{-1} \lesssim \rho^{\frac{3}{2}dr - \frac{m+1}{2}}, \qquad \left|\partial_{(\varphi^f, t)} w^c_{\varphi^s}\right| \lesssim K \lesssim \rho^{\frac{3}{2}dr - \frac{m+1}{2}}$$

The second choice of parameters is $\eta = \rho^{2dr}$, $\nu = \rho^{2dr}$, $\gamma = \rho^{dr}$. Proceeding analogously one can see that the isolating block argument goes through also with these choice of parameters. This choice of parameters gives the set V given in Theorem 18 where there is no other invariant set except the cylinder.

8 Aubry sets in single resonance zones: proof of Key Theorem 8

We devote this section to study the properties of the Aubry and Mañé sets corresponding to Dirichlet resonances in the single resonance zones and we prove Key Theorem 8. The definition of this sets is given in Appendix D. We explain how to modify the approach developed in [BKZ11]. We only deal with cohomologies which are not close to the bifurcation values. The other case can be adapted from [BKZ11] following the same ideas.

We consider the Hamiltonian (55) and show that the Aubry sets related to certain cohomology classes $c \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ belong to the invariant cylinder along the resonance $\mathcal{I}_{k_n}^{\omega_n,i}$ obtained in Theorem 18. We also prove the Mather graph property for the Aubry sets.

The proof of Theorem 8 is a consequence of the following two Theorems, which give vertical and horizontal estimates for the Aubry set. The first result replicates Theorem 4.1 in [BKZ11] and provides vertical estimates and also a graph property for the Weak KAM solutions analogous to the Mather graph principle. This Theorem is proved in Section 8.1

Theorem 19. *Consider the Hamiltonian* (55)*. Then, for each cohomology class* $c \in \mathbb{R}^2$ *and each weak KAM solution* u *of* H *at cohomology* c*, the set* $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(u,c)$ *is contained in a* $C\rho^{2r/3-1}$*-Lipschitz graph above* $\mathbb{T}^2$*, and in the domain* $\|J-c\| \leq C\rho^{2r/3-1}$*, for some constant* $C>0$ *independent of* ρ*.*

The next Theorem also gives estimates for the horizontal localization of the Aubry sets. We adapt the statement of [BKZ11] to our purposes. This Theorem is proved in Section 8.2.

Theorem 20. *Consider the Hamiltonian* (55) *and a cohomology class* $c=(c^s,c^f)=(J_*^s(c^f),c^f)$ *with* $c^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-,\widetilde{a}_+]$*. Then, for* $\rho>0$ *small enough, the Mañé set* $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(c)$ *satisfies*

$$s\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(c) \subset B_{\kappa\rho^{9dr/4}}(\varphi_*^s)\times\mathbb{T}^2\times B_{\kappa\rho^{9dr/4}}(c^s)\times B_{\kappa\rho^{2r/3-1}}(c^f)\subset\mathbb{T}^2\times\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{T}$$

for some constant $\kappa>0$ *independent of* ρ*.*

Then, it only remains to undo the rescaling (41)*.*

With these two Theorems we are ready to prove Key Theorem 8

Proof of Key Theorem 8. Theorem 20 implies that the suspended Mañé set $s\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(c)$ sets belong to the set V introduced in Theorem 18. Since the set $s\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(c)$ is invariant, belonging to V implies that it must belong to the cylinder $\mathcal{C}_{k_n}^{\omega_n}$.

Now it only remains to show that the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(u,c)$ is a Lipschitz graph over the fast angle φ^f. Let (φ_i, J_i), $i = 1, 2$ be two points in $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(u,c)$. By Theorem 19 we know that

$$\|J_2 - J_1\| \leq C\rho^{2r/3-1}\|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\| \leq C\rho^{2r/3-1}\left(\|\varphi_2^s - \varphi_1^s\| + \|\varphi_2^f - \varphi_1^f\|\right).$$

Now, using the fact that $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(u,c)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$, we know that

$$\|\varphi_2^s - \varphi_1^s\| \leq C\rho^{3dr/2-(m+1)/2}\left(\|J_2 - J_1\| + \|\varphi_2^f - \varphi_1^f\|\right).$$

Combining these two estimates, we obtain

$$\|J_2 - J_1\| \leq C\rho^{2r/3-1}\|\varphi_2^f - \varphi_1^f\|.$$

□

8.1 Vertical estimates: proof of Theorem 19

The proof of Theorem 19 follows the same lines of the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [BKZ11], taking into account the different choice of parameters. We define $\mathcal{L}(\varphi, v, t)$ the Lagrangian associated to $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{vv}\mathcal{L}(\varphi,v,t) &= \left(\partial_{JJ}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi, \partial_v\mathcal{L}(\varphi,v,t),t)\right)^{-1} \\
\partial_{\varphi v}\mathcal{L}(\varphi,v,t) &= -\partial_{\varphi J}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi, \partial_v\mathcal{L}(\varphi,v,t),t)\partial_{vv}\mathcal{L}(\varphi,v,t) \\
\partial_{\varphi\varphi}\mathcal{L}(\varphi,v,t) &= \partial_{\varphi\varphi}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi, \partial_v\mathcal{L}(\varphi,v,t),t) - \partial_{\varphi J}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi, \partial_v\mathcal{L}(\varphi,v,t),t)\partial_{\varphi v}\mathcal{L}(\varphi,v,t)
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Therefore, we have the estimates

$$\|\partial_{vv}\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} \lesssim \rho^{m-1},\ \|\partial_{\varphi v}\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} \lesssim \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r+m-2},\ \|\partial_{\varphi\varphi}\mathcal{L}\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} \lesssim \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}. \tag{69}$$

Recall that a function $u : \mathbb{T}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called K-semi-concave if the function

$$x \mapsto u(x) - K\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}$$

is concave on $\mathbb{R}^n$, where u is seen as a periodic function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We state two lemmas. The first is proven in [BKZ11] and is a simple case of Lemma A.10 of [Ber08]. The second one is proven in [Fat11].

Lemma 21. *If $u : \mathbb{T}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is K-semi-concave, then it is $(K\sqrt{n})$-Lipschitz.*

Lemma 22. *Let u and v be K-semi-concave functions, and let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ be the set of points where the sum $u+v$ is minimal. Then, the functions u and v are differentiable at each point of $\mathcal{I}$, and the differential $x \mapsto du(x)$ is $6K$-Lipschitz on $\mathcal{I}$.*

The Weak KAM solutions of cohomology c are defined as fixed points of the operator $\mathcal{T}_c : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_c(u)(\varphi) = \min_{\gamma} u(\gamma(0)) + \int_0^T (\mathcal{L}(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t), t) + c \cdot \dot{\gamma}(t))\, dt$$

where the minimum is taken on the set of $\mathcal{C}^1$ curves $\gamma : [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ satisfying the final condition $\gamma(T) = \varphi$.

Proposition 1. *For each $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, each Weak KAM solution u of cohomology c is $C\rho^{2r/3-1}$-semi-concave and $C\rho^{2r/3-1}$-Lipschitz for some constant $C > 0$ independent of $\rho > 0$.*

Proof. Consider $\Phi : [0,T] \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ an optimal curve for $\mathcal{T}_c$. That is a curve satisfying

$$u(\varphi) = u(\Phi(0)) + \int_0^T \left(\mathcal{L}(\Phi(t), \dot{\Phi}(t), t) + c\dot{\Phi}(t)\right) dt.$$

We lift Φ to a curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and consider $\Phi_x(t) = \Phi(t) + \frac{t}{T}x$. Then, $\Phi_x(T) = \varphi + x$. Then, we have that

$$u(\varphi + x) - u(\varphi) \leq \int_0^T \left(\mathcal{L}(\Phi_x(t), \dot{\Phi}_x(t), t) - \mathcal{L}(\Phi(t), \dot{\Phi}(t), t) + \frac{c\dot{x}}{T}\right) dt.$$

Proceeding as in [BKZ11], one can bound the integral by

$$u(\varphi+x)-u(\varphi) \leq \left(c + \partial_v \mathcal{L}(\Phi(T), \dot{\Phi}(T), T)\right)\cdot x + K\left(\rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}T + \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r+m-2} + \frac{\rho^{m-1}}{T}\right)|x|^2$$

Then, taking $T \in [\rho^{-2r/3+m/2}/2, \rho^{-2r/3+m/2}]$ (this interval contains an integer since $\rho \ll 1$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(\varphi + x) - u(\varphi) &\leq \left(c + \partial_v \mathcal{L}(\Phi(T), \dot{\Phi}(T), T)\right) \cdot x + K\rho^{\frac{2}{3}r+\frac{m}{2}-1}|x|^2 \\ &\leq \left(c + \partial_v \mathcal{L}(\Phi(T), \dot{\Phi}(T), T)\right) \cdot x + K\rho^{\frac{2}{3}r}|x|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate gives the semi-concavity constant and therefore, also the Lipschitz constant. □

8.2 Horizontal estimates: proof of Theorem 20

Hamiltonian (55) is of the form $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi, J) = \mathcal{H}_1(\varphi^s, J) + \widehat{\mathcal{R}}(\varphi^s, J)$ where

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\varphi^s, J) = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(\varphi^s, J). \tag{70}$$

First we perform a change of coordinates which puts the φ^s coordinate of the hyperbolic critical point of $\mathcal{H}_1$ at the origin and removes some terms in its associated linearization. A similar change has been done in Section 7 but now we need it to be symplectic.

Lemma 23. *There exists a exact symplectic change of coordinates* $(\varphi, J) = \Psi(\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{J})$ *such that, for each fixed* $\widetilde{J}^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-, \widetilde{a}_+]$,

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1 \circ \Psi^{-1}, \tag{71}$$

as a function of $(\widetilde{\varphi}^s, \widetilde{J}^s)$, *has a hyperbolic critical point at* $(\widetilde{\varphi}^s_*, \widetilde{J}^s_*) = (0, J^s_*(J^f))$ *and moreover it satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} D^{-1}\rho^{m+1} \leq & \partial_{J^sJ^s}\mathcal{K}_1(0, J^s_*(J^f)) \leq D\rho^{m-1} \\ \rho^{dr} \leq & -\partial_{\varphi^s\varphi^s}\mathcal{K}_1(0, J^s_*(J^f)) \lesssim \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\partial_{J^s\varphi^s}\mathcal{K}_1(0, J^s_*(J^f)) = 0, \;\; \partial_{J^f\varphi^s}\mathcal{K}_1(0, J^s_*(J^f)) = 0.$$

Proof. We perform the change of variables in several steps. First we put the curve of critical points at $(0, J^s_*(J^f))$ and then we modify its linearization. Note that even if these changes are only going to matter in a neighborhood of the curve of critical points we need them to be global. The reason is that we want to see that they are exact symplectic.

The first change of coordinates is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\varphi}^s, \widehat{J}^s) &= (\varphi^s - \varphi^s_*(J^f), J^s) \\ (\widehat{\varphi}^f, \widehat{J}^f) &= (\varphi^f - \partial_{J^f}\varphi^s_*(J^f)J^s, J^f) \end{aligned}$$

It is exact symplectic and it sets the φ^s component of the curve of critical points at 0. Note that $\mathcal{H}_1$ is independent of φ^f. Nevertheless, we need to consider it in all these change of coordinates so that we can apply it later on to the full Hamiltonian $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ keeping the symplectic structure.

Now we eliminate the crossed derivatives. By construction, the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_1$ after this change of coordinates is of the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}'_1(\widehat{\varphi}^s, \widehat{J}) =& a_0(\widehat{J}^f) + a_{20}(\widehat{J}^f)(\widehat{\varphi}^s)^2 + a_{11}(\widehat{J}^f)\varphi^s\left(\widehat{J}^s - J^s_*(J^f)\right) + a_{02}(\widehat{J}^f)\left(\widehat{J}^s - J^s_*(J^f)\right)^2 \\ &+ \text{higher order terms}, \end{aligned}$$

where by hypothesis $a_{20} = \partial_{J^sJ^s}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1(0, J^s_*(J^f)) > 0$ and $D^{-1}\rho^{m+1} \leq a_{20} \leq D\rho^{m-1}$, $a_{02} = \partial_{\varphi^s\varphi^s}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1(0, J^s_*(J^f)) < 0$ and $\rho^{dr} \leq -a_{02} \lesssim \rho^{4r/3-1}$, and $a_{11} = \partial_{\varphi^sJ^s}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1(0, J^s_*(J^f))$ and $|a_{11}| \lesssim \rho^{4r/3+m-2}$.

Note that we already have that $\partial_{\varphi^sJ^f}\mathcal{H}'(0, J^s_*(J^f)) = 0$. To set the crossed derivative $\partial_{\varphi^sJ^s}$ to zero, it is enough to consider the change of coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\varphi}^s, \widetilde{J}^s) &= \left(\widehat{\varphi}^s, \widehat{J}^s + \frac{a_{11}(\widehat{J}^f)}{2a_{20}(\widehat{J}^f)}\widehat{\varphi}^s\right) \\ (\widetilde{\varphi}^f, \widetilde{J}^f) &= \left(\widehat{\varphi}^f - \partial_{J^f}\left[\frac{a_{11}(\widehat{J}^f)}{2a_{20}(\widehat{J}^f)}\right]\frac{(\widehat{\varphi}^s)^2}{2}, \widehat{J}^f\right) \end{aligned}$$

This change is well defined since $a_{20} > 0$ but is not periodic in φ^s. To make it periodic it is enough to consider a function $b : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for $\varphi^s \in (-1/4, 1/4)$, $b(\varphi^s) = (\varphi^s)^2/2$. Then, we consider the periodic extension of the change

$$(\widetilde{\varphi}^s, \widetilde{J}^s) = \left(\widehat{\varphi}^s, \widehat{J}^s + \frac{a_{11}(\widehat{J}^f)}{2a_{20}(\widehat{J}^f)} \partial_{\widehat{\varphi}^s} b(\widehat{\varphi}^s) \right)$$
$$(\widetilde{\varphi}^f, \widetilde{J}^f) = \left(\widehat{\varphi}^f - \partial_{J^f} \left[\frac{a_{11}(\widehat{J}^f)}{2a_{20}(\widehat{J}^f)} \right] b(\widehat{\varphi}^s), \widehat{J}^f \right).$$

This change of coordinates is exact symplectic.

To obtain now the estimates of the second derivatives with respect to $\widetilde{J}^s$ of Lemma (23), it is enough to point out that $\partial_{\widetilde{J}^s \widetilde{J}^s} \mathcal{K}_1(0, J^s_*(J^f)) = a_{20}(J^f) = \partial_{J^s J^s} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1(0, J^s_*(J^f))$ which satisfies the desired estimates. Moreover,

$$\partial_{\widetilde{\varphi}^s \widetilde{\varphi}^s} \mathcal{K}_1(0, J^s_*(J^f)) = a_{02}(J^f) - \frac{a^2_{11}(J^f)}{2a_{20}(J^f)} \leq a_{02}(J^f) \leq -\rho^{dr}$$

and

$$\left| \partial_{\widetilde{\varphi}^s \widetilde{\varphi}^s} \mathcal{K}_1(0, J^s_*(J^f)) \right| \leq |a_{02}(J^f)| + \left| \frac{a^2_{11}(J^f)}{2a_{20}(J^f)} \right| \lesssim \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r - 1}.$$

□

If we apply the change obtained in Lemma 23 to the Hamiltonian $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$, we obtain a new Hamiltonian $\mathcal{K}$ which is of the form

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2$$

where $\mathcal{K}_2 = \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \circ \Psi$ and therefore satisfies $\|\mathcal{K}_2\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \lesssim \rho^{qr}$. We study the horizontal localization of the Aubry sets along a fixed single resonance for the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{K}$. Then we deduce them for (55) and we prove Theorem 20. The results for $\mathcal{K}$ are summarized in the next two propositions. The first one gives localization estimates for the Aubry sets with respect to the slow angle.

Proposition 2. *Consider a cohomology class $c = (c^s, c^f) = (J^s_*(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-, \widetilde{a}_+]$. Then, the slow angle coordinate of any point belonging to the Mañé set $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(c)$ associated to the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies*

$$|\widetilde{\varphi}^s| \leq \kappa \rho^{5dr/2}$$

for some constant $\kappa > 0$ independent of ρ.

Proposition 3. *Consider a cohomology class $c = (c^s, c^f) = (J^s_*(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-, \widetilde{a}_+]$. Then, the slow action coordinate of any point belonging to the Mañé set $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(c)$ associated to the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies*

$$|\widetilde{J}^s| \leq \kappa \rho^{9dr/4}$$

for some constant $\kappa > 0$ independent of ρ.

These two propositions are proved respectively in Sections 8.2.1 and 8.2.2. Now, using the symplectic invariance of the Mañé set, we are ready to prove Theorem 20. Since the change of coordinates in Lemma 23 is exact, it is enough to apply Theorem 11, which was proven in [Ber07].

Proof of Theorem 20. Thus, applying the change of coordinates given by 23, we obtain

$$|\varphi^s - \varphi^s_*(J^f)| = |\widetilde{\varphi}^s| \leq \kappa\rho^{5dr/2}$$

and

$$|J^s - J^s_*(J^f)| = |\widehat{J}^s| \leq |\widetilde{J}^s| + \frac{|a_{11}|}{|a_{20}|}|\widetilde{\varphi}^s| \leq 2\kappa\rho^{9dr/4}$$

□

8.2.1 Localization for the slow angle: proof of Proposition 2

We start by studying the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$. The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 24. *The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$, the Legendre dual of $\mathcal{K}$, can be split as*

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2$$

where $\mathcal{L}_1$ is the Legendre transform of $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ satisfies $\|\mathcal{L}_2\|_{C^2} \lesssim \rho^{qr}$.

We study this Lagrangian and the function α associated to the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{K}$ along the cohomology classes belonging to the resonance. Thus, throughout this section we consider cohomology classes $c = (c^s, c^f) = (J^s_*(c^f), c^f)$, $c^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-, \widetilde{a}_+]$. We define $\omega = \partial_J \mathcal{K}_1(0, c)$. This implies that $c = \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(0, \omega)$.

Lemma 25. *Consider a cohomology class $c = (J^s_*(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-, \widetilde{a}_+]$. The α function associated to $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{K}_1(0, c) - C\rho^{qr} \leq \alpha(c) \leq \mathcal{K}_1(0, c) + C\rho^{qr}.$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of ρ.

Proof. It follows the same lines as the proof of Lemma 4.4 in [BKZ11]. For the upper bound, it is enough to take into account that

$$\alpha(c) \leq \max_{(\varphi,t)} \mathcal{K}(\varphi, c, t) \leq \max_{\varphi^s} K_1(\varphi^s, c) + \max_{(\varphi,t)} \mathcal{K}_2(\varphi, c, t).$$

For the lower bound, we consider the Haar measure μ of the torus $\mathbb{T} \times \{0\} \times \{\omega\} \times \mathbb{T}$, which is not necessarily invariant but is closed. Then

$$\alpha(c) \geq c \cdot \omega - \int \mathcal{L}\, d\mu \geq c \cdot \omega - \mathcal{L}_1(0, \omega) - C\rho^{qr} \geq \mathcal{K}_1(0, c) - C\rho^{qr}.$$

□

We use these lemmas to obtain precise upper and lower bounds for the Lagrangian. We use these estimates to obtain good upper and lower bounds for the action along curves belonging to the Aubry sets.

Lemma 26. *Consider a cohomology class $c = (J^s_*(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-, \widetilde{a}_+]$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\varphi, v, t) - cv + \alpha(c) \leq & (\partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - c)(v - \partial_J \mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c)) \\
& - (\mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c) - \mathcal{K}_1(0, c)) \\
& + \frac{D\rho^{m-1}}{2} \left\| \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(0, \omega) \right\|^2 \\
& + \rho^{qr} + \frac{D\rho^{m-1}}{2} \|v - \omega\|^2 \\
\mathcal{L}(\varphi, v, t) - cv + \alpha(c) \geq & (\partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - c)(v - \partial_J \mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c)) \\
& - (\mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c) - \mathcal{K}_1(0, c)) \\
& + \frac{\rho^{m+1}}{2D} \left\| \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(0, \omega) \right\|^2 \\
& - \rho^{qr} + \frac{\rho^{m+1}}{2D} \|v - \omega\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

To prove this lemma, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 27. *Consider a cohomology class $c = (J^s_*(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-, \widetilde{a}_+]$. Then, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - \mathcal{L}_1(0, \omega) \leq & (\partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - c)(\omega - \partial_J \mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c)) \\
& - (\mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c) - \mathcal{K}_1(0, c)) \\
& + \frac{D\rho^{m-1}}{2} \left\| \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(0, \omega) \right\|^2 \\
\mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - \mathcal{L}_1(0, \omega) \geq & (\partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - c)(\omega - \partial_J \mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c)) \\
& - (\mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c) - \mathcal{K}_1(0, c)) \\
& + \frac{D^{-1}\rho^{m+1}}{2} \left\| \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(0, \omega) \right\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We know that

$$\mathcal{L}_1(0, \omega) = c\omega - \mathcal{K}_1(0, c).$$

For the other term, we write $\mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) = \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega)\omega - \mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega))$ and applying Taylor to $\mathcal{K}_1$ with respect to the actions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) \leq & \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega)\omega - \mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c) - \partial_J \mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c) \left(\partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(0, \omega) \right) \\
& + \frac{D\rho^{m-1}}{2} \left\| \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(0, \omega) \right\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, one can obtain the lower bounds. ∎

Using Lemma 27, the proof of Lemma 26 follows the same lines of the analogous lemma in [BKZ11]

Proof of Lemma 26. To compute the upper bound, we apply Taylor at $v = \omega$ and we use Lemma 24.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\varphi, v, t) &\leq \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, v) + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{qr}\right) \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) + \partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega)(v-\omega) + \frac{D\rho^{m-1}}{2}\|v-\omega\|^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{qr}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Then, it is enough to use Lemma 27. One can obtain the lower bound analogously. □

Now, from Lemma 26, we derive estimates doing Taylor expansion around $\varphi^s = 0$.

Lemma 28. *Consider a cohomology class $c = (J_*^s(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-, \widetilde{a}_+]$. Then, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\varphi, v, t) - cv + \alpha(c) \leq & \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}\left|\varphi^s\right|^2 + \frac{D\rho^{m-1}}{2}\|v-\omega\|^2 \\ & + \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}\left|\varphi^s\right|^2\|v-\omega\| + \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-2}\left|\varphi^s\right|^4 + \rho^{qr} \\ \mathcal{L}(\varphi, v, t) - cv + \alpha(c) \geq & \rho^{dr}\left|\varphi^s\right|^2 + \frac{D\rho^{m+1}}{2}\|v-\omega\|^2 \\ & - \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}\left|\varphi^s\right|^2\|v-\omega\| - \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-2}\left|\varphi^s\right|^4 - \rho^{qr}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We bound each term in Lemma 26. For the first one, we take into account that by (69) and Lemma 23, we have that $\partial_{\varphi^s v^s}\mathcal{L}(0,\omega) = 0$ and $\partial_{\varphi^s v^f}\mathcal{L}(0,\omega) = 0$. Now, applying Taylor at $\varphi^s = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|\partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - c| \lesssim \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}|\varphi^s|^2 \\ &|v - \partial_J \mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c)| \lesssim \|v-\omega\| + \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}|\varphi^s|^2\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,we obtain

$$|(\partial_v \mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, \omega) - c)(v - \partial_J \mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c))| \lesssim \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}|\varphi^s|^2\|v-\omega\| + \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-2}|\varphi^s|^4$$

For the second term, we use Lemma 23 to obtain $\mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c) - \mathcal{K}_1(0, c) \lesssim \rho^{4r/3-1}r_1^2$ and $\mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c) - \mathcal{K}_1(0, c) \gtrsim \rho^{dr}r_1^2$. The rest of the terms are straightforward. □

This lemma gives very precise positive upper bounds for the Lagrangian but only close to $\varphi^s = 0$. To obtain the horizontal estimates we also need global positive lower bounds. They are given in the next lemma.

Lemma 29. *Consider a cohomology class $c = (J_*^s(c^f), c^f)$ with $c^f \in [\widetilde{a}_-, \widetilde{a}_+]$. Then, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{L}(\varphi, v, t) - cv + \alpha(c) \geq \rho^{dr}|\varphi^s|^2 - C\rho^{qr}.$$

Proof. By definition

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\varphi^s, v) = \max_J \{Jv - \mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, J)\} \geq cv - \mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c).$$

So, we have that $\mathcal{L}(\varphi, v, t) - cv \geq -\mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c) - C\rho^{qr}$. Now it only remains to use the lower bounds of $\alpha(c)$ obtained in Lemma 25, which lead to

$$\mathcal{L}(\varphi, v, t) - cv + \alpha(c) \geq -\left(\mathcal{K}_1(\varphi^s, c) - \mathcal{K}_1(0, c)\right) - C\rho^{qr}.$$

To complete the proof it suffices to use the properties of $\mathcal{K}_1$ obtained in Lemma 23. □

Now, we obtain upper bounds for the action,

Lemma 30. *Let $u(\varphi, t)$ be a weak KAM solution at cohomology $c \in \Gamma$. Given $r_1 \gtrsim \rho^{qr/2-2r/3+1/2}$ and two points (φ_1, t_1) and $(\varphi_2, t_2) \in \mathbb{T} \times B(0, r_1) \times \mathbb{T}$, we have*

$$u(\varphi_2, t_2) - u(\varphi_1, t_1) \lesssim \rho^{\frac{2}{3}r} r_1$$

Proof. We proceed as in [BKZ11] by taking a curve

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_1 + (t - \widetilde{t}_1)\frac{\widetilde{\varphi}_2 - \widetilde{\varphi}_1 + (T + \widetilde{t}_2 - \widetilde{t}_1)\omega}{T + \widetilde{t}_2 - \widetilde{t}_1}$$

where $T \in \mathbb{N}$ is a parameter to be fixed later, and $\widetilde{t}_i \in [0, 1)$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_i \in [0, 1)^2$ are representatives of the angular variables t_i, φ_i. Then,

$$u(\varphi_2, t_2) - u(\varphi_1, t_1) \lesssim \int_{\widetilde{t}_1}^{\widetilde{t}_2+T} \mathcal{L}(\varphi(t), \dot{\varphi}(t), t) - c \cdot \dot{\varphi}(t) + \alpha(c)\, dt$$

By Lemma 28,

$$u(\varphi_2, t_2) - u(\varphi_1, t_1) \lesssim \int_{\widetilde{t}_1}^{\widetilde{t}_2+T} \left(D\rho^{m-1}\|\dot{\varphi}(t) - \omega\|^2 + \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1} r_1^2 \|\dot{\varphi}(t) - \omega\| + \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1} r_1^2 \right) dt.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} u(\varphi_2, t_2) - u(\varphi_1, t_1) &\lesssim \int_{\widetilde{t}_1}^{\widetilde{t}_2+T} \frac{D\rho^{m-1}}{(T + \widetilde{t}_2 - \widetilde{t}_1)^2} + \frac{\rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1} r_1^2}{T + \widetilde{t}_2 - \widetilde{t}_1} + \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1} r_1^2\, dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{D\rho^{m-1}}{T + \widetilde{t}_2 - \widetilde{t}_1} + \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1} r_1^2 + \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1} r_1^2 (T + \widetilde{t}_2 - \widetilde{t}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking

$$\frac{1}{r_1 \rho^{\frac{2}{3}r - \frac{m}{2}}} \leq T + \widetilde{t}_2 - \widetilde{t}_1 \leq 2 \frac{1}{r_1 \rho^{\frac{2}{3}r - \frac{m}{2}}}$$

we obtain

$$u(\varphi_2, t_2) - u(\varphi_1, t_1) \lesssim \rho^{\frac{2}{3}r + \frac{m}{2} - 1} r_1 \leq \rho^{\frac{2}{3}r} r_1$$

□

Now we look for lower bounds of the action so that we can reach a contradiction. We adapt the argument in [BKZ11]. We consider the invariant set $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(v,c) \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$ associated to a suspended weak KAM solution v at cohomology c, and its projection $\mathcal{I}(v,c) \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$. The set $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(v,c)$ is the suspension of the invariant set $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(u,c)$ associated to $u(\varphi) = v(\varphi,0)$. We consider also a curve $\varphi(t) : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ calibrated by u. Namely,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{L}(\varphi(t),\dot\varphi(t),t) - c\cdot\dot\varphi(t) + \alpha(c)\,dt = u(\varphi(t_2),t_2) - u(\varphi(t_1),t_1)$$

for each interval (t_1,t_2). Since u is bounded, this integral is bounded independently of the time interval. Now, if we take $r_1 = \sqrt{2C\rho^{(q-d)r}}$, by Lemma 25, we know that

$$\mathcal{L}(\varphi,v,t) - cv + \alpha(c) \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{dr} r_1^2$$

if φ^s does not belong to the ball $B(0,r_1)$. Then, the set of times for which φ^s does not belong to this ball must have finite measure. Call $[t_1,t_2]$ to one of the connected components of this open set of times. Then, $\varphi^s(t_1)$ ans $\varphi^s(t_2)$ both belong to $B(0,r_1)$. Therefore, by Lemma 30, we have that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{L}(\varphi(t),\dot\varphi(t),t) - c\dot\varphi(t) + \alpha(c)\,dt = u(\varphi(t_2),t_2) - u(\varphi(t_1),t_1) \leq \rho^{\frac{2}{3}r} r_1 \qquad (72)$$

Now, we assume that $\varphi^s(t)$ is not contained in the ball $B(0,r_0)$ with $r_0 = \rho^{5dr/2}$ and we reach a contradiction. Note that we take $q = 18$ and therefore $r_1 < r_0$. Assume that the points out of this ball happen in the time interval $[t_1,t_2]$ (if not change the time connected component). Then, call t_4 the first time such that $|\varphi^s(t_4)| = r_0$ and t_3 the time the largest time in $[t_1,t_4]$ such that $|\varphi^s(t_3)| = r_0/2$. Then,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{L}(\varphi(t),\dot\varphi(t),t) - c\dot\varphi(t) + \alpha(c)\,dt \geq \int_{t_3}^{t_4} \mathcal{L}(\varphi(t),\dot\varphi(t),t) - c\dot\varphi(t) + \alpha(c)\,dt.$$

since the function in the integral is always positive.

Now we bound this integral using Lemma 29 and using that in this time interval $|\varphi^s(t)| \geq r_0/2$.

$$\int_{t_3}^{t_4} \mathcal{L}(\varphi(t),\dot\varphi(t),t) - c\dot\varphi(t) + \alpha(c)\,dt \geq \int_{t_3}^{t_4} \frac{1}{2}\rho^{dr}(\varphi^s(t))^2\,dt \geq \frac{1}{8}\rho^{6dr}(t_4 - t_3).$$

So, joining this estimate with (72) we have that $\rho^{6dr}(t_4 - t_3)/8 \leq \rho^{\frac{2}{3}r} r_1$ which implies $t_4 - t_3 \leq 8\sqrt{2C}\rho^{\frac{2}{3}r + \frac{q-13d}{2}r}$. Now, we know that

$$\frac{r_0}{2} = \varphi^s(t_4) - \varphi^s(t_3) = \int_{t_3}^{t_4} \dot\varphi^s(t)dt$$

Using the diferential equation we have that $\dot{\varphi}^s = \mathcal{O}(\rho^{m-1}J^s + \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}(\varphi^s)^2)$. By the vertical estimates, for the calibrated curve we know that $|J^s| \leq \rho^{\frac{2}{3}r}$ (since $c^s = 0$) and we are assuming that $|\varphi^s| \leq r_1$. So $|\dot{\varphi}^s(t)| \lesssim \rho^{m+\frac{2}{3}r-1} \leq \rho^{\frac{2}{3}r}$. This implies that

$$\frac{r_0}{2} = \left| \int_{t_3}^{t_4} \dot{\varphi}^s(t)dt \right| \leq \rho^{\frac{2}{3}r}(t_4 - t_3)$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2}\rho^{5dr/2} \leq 8\sqrt{2C}\rho^{\frac{4}{3}r+\frac{q-13d}{2}r}$$

Since we take $q = 18$ we get a contradiction. This implies that all the curve must be inside the ball of radius $r_0 = \rho^{5dr/2}$.

8.2.2 Localization for the slow action: proof of Proposition 3

To ensure that the Aubry sets belong to the cylinder obtained in Theorem 18 we need to improve estimates for the slow action $\widetilde{J}^s$ obtained in Proposition 19. As we have done in the previous section we omit the tildes over the variables to simplify notation. We consider the invariant set $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(v,c) \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$ associated to a (suspended) weak KAM solution v of the Hamiltonian (71) at a cohomology class c, and its projection $\mathcal{I}(v,c) \subset \mathbb{T}^3$. Recall that the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(v,c)$ is the suspension of the invariant set $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(u,c)$ associated to the restriction $u(\varphi) = v(\varphi,0)$. Consider $\varphi(t) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T}^2$, a calibrated curve by u. That is,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{L}(\varphi(t),\dot{\varphi}(t),t) - c\cdot\dot{\varphi}(t) + \alpha(c)\,dt = u(\varphi(t_2),t_2) - u(\varphi(t_1),t_1)$$

for each interval (t_1,t_2). In Section 8.2.1, we have seen that these calibrated curves must satisfy $|\varphi^s| \lesssim \rho^{5dr/2}$.

First, prove that for a calibrated curve $(\varphi(t),J(t))$, the associated action $J^s(t)$ satisfies $|J^s(t)| \leq \rho^{9dr/4}/2$ for all time except for a bounded set of times. By Lemma 28,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\varphi,v,t) - cv + \alpha(c) \geq & \rho^{dr}\,|\varphi^s|^2 + \frac{D\rho^{m+1}}{2}\|v-\omega\|^2 \\
& - \rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-1}\,|\varphi^s|^2\,\|v-\omega\| - \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-2}\,|\varphi^s|^4 - \rho^{qr} \\
\geq & \rho^{dr}\,|\varphi^s|^2 + \frac{D\rho^{m+1}}{2}\left(\|v-\omega\| - \frac{\rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-m-2}}{D}|\varphi^s|^2\right)^2 \\
& - \frac{\rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-m-3}}{2D}\,|\varphi^s|^4 - \rho^{\frac{8}{3}r-2}\,|\varphi^s|^4 - \rho^{qr}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since $|\varphi^s(t)| \lesssim \rho^{5dr/2}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we know that

$$\mathcal{L}(\varphi(t),\dot{\varphi}(t),t) - cv + \alpha(c) \geq \frac{D\rho^{m+1}}{2}\left(\|\dot{\varphi}(t)-\omega\| - \frac{\rho^{\frac{4}{3}r-m-2}}{D}|\varphi^s(t)|^2\right)^2 - \rho^{qr}$$

Consider the corresponding curve in the Hamiltonian setting $(\varphi(t), J(t))$ and assume that $|J^s(t)| \geq \rho^{9dr/4}/2$ for some subset of time $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then, since by the Legendre transform $|\dot{\varphi}^s(t)| \gtrsim |\rho^{m+1} J^s(t)| \gtrsim \rho^{9dr/4+m+1}$. Then, $\mathcal{L}(\varphi, v, t) - cv + \alpha(c) \gtrsim \rho^{9dr/2+3m+3}$. Therefore, the time subset $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ in which $|J^s(t)| \geq \rho^{9dr/4}/2$ must be bounded. Otherwise, the action along the curve would be infinite.

Assume that there exist times $t_1 < t_2$ such that $J^s(t_1) = \rho^{9dr/4}/2$ and $J^s(t_2) = \rho^{9dr/4}$. If they would not exist, one would have that for all time $|J^s(t)| \leq \rho^{9dr/4}$ and the proof of Proposition 3 would be finished. Since the curve is calibrated, we know that, for all $t \in [t_1, t_2]$, $|\varphi^s(t)| \leq \rho^{5dr/2}$.

We obtain upper bounds for $t_2 - t_1$. We have that

$$\frac{\rho^{9dr/4}}{2} = J^s(t_2) - J^s(t_1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \dot{J}^s(t) dt$$

Using the equation associated to Hamiltonian (71), we have that $|\dot{J}(t)| \lesssim \rho^{5dr/2+4r/3-1}$. Therefore, $\rho^{9dr/4}/2 \lesssim (t_2 - t_1)\rho^{5dr/2+4r/3-1}$. This implies that $t_2 - t_1 \gtrsim \rho^{-dr/4-4r/3+1}$. Moreover, we know that $|\varphi^s(t_2) - \varphi^s(t_1)| \leq 2\rho^{5dr/2}$ and

$$\varphi^s(t_2) - \varphi^s(t_1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \dot{\varphi}^s(t) dt$$

Using the equation associated to Hamiltonian (71), we have that $|\dot{\varphi}^s| \geq D\rho^{m+1} J^s - C\rho^{4r/3-1}(\varphi^s)^2$ for some constant $C > 0$. This implies that $|\dot{\varphi}^s| \geq D\rho^{9dr/4+m+1}/4$. Therefore,

$$2\rho^{5dr/2} \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\dot{\varphi}^s(t)|\, dt \geq \frac{D}{4}\rho^{9dr/4+m+1}(t_2 - t_1),$$

which implies that $t_2 - t_1 \lesssim \rho^{dr/4-m-1}$. Thus, we have a contradiction and we can deduce that $|J^s(t)| \leq \rho^{9dr/4}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

9 Shadowing: proof of Key Theorem 10

We split the proof of Key Theorem 10 into three steps:

- single resonance;
- double resonance, high energy;
- double resonance, low energy.

Single resonance: Consider $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and a Dirichlet resonance semgent between two consecutive θ-strong resonances, which belongs to $\mathrm{Sr}_n = \mathrm{Sr}_n(k_n, k', k'')$. Then, by Key Theorem 8, for each $c \in \mathrm{Sr}_n$, the Aubry set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ satisfies

- *vertical localization* or localization in the action component, i.e. the projection onto the action space belongs to the $C\rho_n^{2r/3+m} + C\rho_n^{2r-2m}$–neighborhood of c.
- *horizontal localization* or localized in the slow angle, i.e. the projection onto the slow angle ψ^s belongs to an interval of length $2C\rho^{2r-2m}$.
- *cylinder localization*, i.e. $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ is contained in one (resp. two) of the NHICs $\mathcal{C}_{k_n}^{\omega_n,i}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{k_n}^{\omega_n,i}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{k_n}^{\omega_n,i+1}$),
- *the 2-torus graph property* or $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ is a Lipschitz graph over the (ψ^f, t) component.

It turns out this is enough so that the scheme from [BKZ11, KZ12] of proving c-equivalence applies to all c's in Sr_n. Note that in the proof there one studies the discrete Aubry set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(c) = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c) \cap \{t = 0\}$ and the last item is replaced by the projection onto the fast angle ψ^f.

The core of a θ-strong double resonance: Consider the core of the double resonance $\mathrm{Cor}_n(k_n, k')$ of a θ-strong double resonance (k_n, k'), defined in (13). Its projection onto the action space is given by the $C\rho_n^m$-neighborhood of I_0. By Key Theorem 5 at each $\mathrm{Cor}_n(k_n, k')$ the Hamiltonian N^{dr}, given by (30), has a normal form given by a Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(\psi, J) = \mathcal{H}_0(J) + \mathcal{Z}(\psi, J) =: N^{\mathrm{dr}} \circ \Phi_n$$

of two degrees of freedom (27) satisfying conditions (26). Notice that at a θ-strong double resonance we start with a linear change of coordinates given by (17– 18). It can happen that $K = \det \tilde{A} > 1$. In this case we consider covering

$$\xi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2 \quad , \quad \varphi \mapsto \xi(\varphi) = K\varphi.$$

This covering lifts to a symplectic covering

$$\Xi : T^*\mathbb{T}^2 \to T^*\mathbb{T}^2 \quad , \quad (\varphi, J) = (\varphi, I_1/K, I_2/K) \to \Xi(\varphi, I) = (\xi(\varphi), I_1/K, I_2/K).$$

Consider the lifted Hamiltonian $\tilde{N} = N \circ \Xi$. It is well known, see [Fat98, CP02, Ber08] that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi^* c) = \Xi^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_N(c)).$$

On the other hand, the inclusion

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi^* c) \supset \Xi^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_N(c)) = \Xi^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{N}}(c))$$

is not an equality. More exactly, the set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{N}}(c)$ is the union of K^2 disjoint copies of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_N(c)$ obtained one from another by a parallel translation, while $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi^* c)$ always contains heteroclinic orbits connecting these copies.

By Theorem 11 for any c

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}}(c) = \Phi_n \, \mathcal{A}_{N^{\rm dr}}(c),$$

where in notations of Key Theorem 5 we have $N^{\rm dr} \circ \Phi_n = \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, we can analyze the Aubry sets of $\mathcal{H}$ and relate them to those of $N^{\rm dr}$.

It is known that an Aubry set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c)$ of an autonomous Tonelli Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ belong to the energy surface $\{\mathcal{H} = \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(c)\}$, where $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(c)$ is the alpha function, defined in (77). This function takes its minimum at 0 and corresponds to I_0. In Key Theorem 6 depending on properties of $\mathcal{H}$ we determine a small energy $E_0 > 0$ and separately study

- (high energy) $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(c) > \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) + E_0$
- (low energy) $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) + E_0 > \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(c) > \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0)$.

By analogy with [KZ12], we first, we prove c-equivalence for high energy and then for low energy.

It turns out the single resonance and the double resonance high energy are similar and we can use [KZ12]. To carry out the proof of c-equivalence we need four properties of the Aubry sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c)$, analogous to the single resonance. Namely,

- *vertical localization* or the natural projection of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c)$ into the action component belongs to a small neighborhood of c.
- *horizontal localization* the Aubry set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c)$ is a periodic orbit or a union of two periodic orbits, therefore, after a proper smooth change of coordinates it belongs to a small neighborhood of $\theta^s = \theta^s_*$.
- *cylinder localization* the Aubry set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c)$ is contained in one (resp. two) of NHICs $\mathcal{M}_h^{E_j,E_{j+1}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_h^{E_j,E_{j+1}} \cup \mathcal{M}_h^{E_{j+1},E_{j+2}}$) for h given by the k_n and k'_n (see (28) and (29) for definitions).
- *the 2-torus graph property* or $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(c)$ is a Lipschitz graph over a certain 2-torus.

9.1 Equivalent forcing class along single resonances

Here we follow the scheme from [BKZ11] and [KZ12], sect 6.1. In the single resonance $\mathrm{Sr}_n = \mathrm{Sr}_n(k_n, k', k'')$ between the adjacent cores of double resonances. Let $\Gamma^{(n)} \subset \mathcal{LF}_{N^{\rm dr}}(\mathrm{Sr}_n)$ be a monotone connected segment connecting the end points $\mathcal{LF}(\partial \mathrm{Sr}_n)$. It is monotone in the sense that if crosses $\mathcal{LF}_{N^{\rm dr}}(\omega)$ for each $\omega \in \mathrm{Sr}_n$ only once. For example, $\{(J^s(J^f), J^f)\}$, given by (21). One can show that $\mathcal{LF}_{N^{\rm dr}}(\partial \mathrm{Sr}_n)$ contains an open set.

- *(passage values)* We say that $c \in \Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma^{(n)}$, if $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ is contained in only one NHIC, has only one static class, and the projection onto θ^f component is not the whole circle. Due to a result of Mather [Mat93] (and in the forcing setting, [Ber08]), c is in the interior of its forcing class.

- *(bifurcation values)* We say that $c \in \Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma^{(n)}$ has exactly two static classes and each one is contained in one NHIC. In this case we would like to jump from one cylinder to another.

- *(invariant curve values)* We say that $c \in \Gamma_3 \subset \Gamma^{(n)}$, if $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ is contained in a single cylinder, but the projection onto (θ^f, t) component is the whole two torus[7]. In this case it is impossible to move within the cylinder, the normal hyperbolicity will be used.

Theorem 21. *(see Theorem 12 [KZ12], Proposition 6.9 [BKZ11], Proposition 11.4 [KZ12]) Fix a Dirichlet resonant segment $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}$ and the Hamiltonian*

$$N^{\mathrm{dr}} = (H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\mathrm{mol}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{sr}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{dr}}) \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{P\ddot{o}s}}$$

given by (30). Then, there exists a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ small perturbation $\Delta H_1^{\mathrm{shad}}$ supported away from pull backs of all NHICs $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k_n}^{\omega_n,i}$ in single resonance zones given by Corollary 2 and away from pull backs of all manifolds $\mathcal{M}^{k',k_n}$, defined in (29)*, such that for*

$$N^{\mathrm{dr},1} = (H_\varepsilon + \Delta H^{\mathrm{mol}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{sr}} + \Delta H^{\mathrm{dr}} + \Delta H_1^{\mathrm{shad}}) \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{P\ddot{o}s}},$$

Γ_2 is finite and

$$\Gamma^{(n)} = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3 \subset \mathcal{LF}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(\mathrm{Sr}_n). \tag{73}$$

The diffusion for both bifurcation values Γ_2 and invariant curve values Γ_3 requires additional transversalities. In the case that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ is an invariant circle, this transversality condition is equivalent to the transversal intersection of the stable and unstable manifolds. This condition can be phrased in terms of the barrier functions.

In the bifurcation set Γ_2, the Aubry set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ has exactly two static classes. In this case the Mañe set $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c) \supsetneq \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$. Let ψ_0 and ψ_1 be contained in each of the two static classes of $\mathcal{A}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$, we define

$$b^+_{N^{\mathrm{dr}},c}(\psi) = h_{N^{\mathrm{dr}},c}(\psi_0, \psi) + h_{N^{\mathrm{dr}},c}(\psi, \psi_1) \ \text{ and } \ b^-_{N^{\mathrm{dr}},c}(\psi) = h_{N^{\mathrm{dr}},c}(\psi_1, \psi) + h_{N^{\mathrm{dr}},c}(\psi, \widetilde{\psi}_0),$$

where $h_{N^{\mathrm{dr}},c}$ is the Peierls barrier for cohomology class c associated to the Hamiltonian N^{dr}, defined in Appendix D. Let Γ_2^* be the set of bifurcation $c \in \Gamma_2$ such that the set of minima of each $b^+_{N^{\mathrm{dr}},c}$ and $b^-_{N^{\mathrm{dr}},c}$ located outside of $\mathcal{A}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ is totally disconnected. In other words, these minima correspond to heteroclinic orbits

[7] in [BKZ11] we study the discrete Aubry set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(c) = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c) \cap \{t = 0\}$ and obtain an invariant circle

connecting different components of the Aubry set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ and heteroclinic orbits $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c) \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$ form a non-empty and totally disconnected set. Since the Aubry and the Mañe sets are *symplectic invariants* [Ber07], it suffices to prove these properties *using a convenient canonical coordinates, e.g. normal forms.*

In the case $c \in \Gamma_3$, we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(c) = \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(c,u) = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c)$ and it is an invariant circle[8]. We first consider the covering for some positive integer N

$$\xi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2 \qquad , \qquad \psi = (\psi^f, \psi^s) \to \xi(\psi) = (\psi^f, N\psi^s).$$

This covering lifts to a a symplectic covering

$$\Xi : T^*\mathbb{T}^2 \to T^*\mathbb{T}^2 \qquad , \qquad (\psi, J) = (\psi, J^f, J^s) \to \Xi(\psi, J) = (\xi(\psi), J^f, J^s/2),$$

and we define the lifted Hamiltonian $\widetilde{N} = N^{\mathrm{dr}} \circ \Xi$. It is known that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\widetilde{N}}(\widetilde{c}) = \Xi^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\widetilde{N}}(c)\big)$$

where $\widetilde{c} = \xi^* c = (c^f, c^s/2)$. On the other hand, the inclusion

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\widetilde{N}}(\widetilde{c}) \supset \Xi^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\widetilde{N}}(c)\big) = \Xi^{-1}\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)\big)$$

is not an equality for $c \in \Gamma_3$. More precisely, for $c \in \Gamma_3(\varepsilon)$, the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\widetilde{N}}(\widetilde{c})$ is the union of two circles, while $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\widetilde{N}}(\widetilde{c})$ contains heteroclinic connections between these circles. Similarly to the case of Γ_2, we choose a point θ_0 in the projected Aubry set $\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{N}}(c)$, and consider its two preimages $\widetilde{\theta}_0$ and $\widetilde{\theta}_1$ under ξ. We define

$$b^+_{\widetilde{N},c}(\psi) = h_{\widetilde{N},c}(\widetilde{\psi}_0, \psi) + h_{\widetilde{N},c}(\psi, \widetilde{\theta}_1) \;\text{ and }\; b^-_{\widetilde{N},c}(\psi) = h_{\widetilde{N},c}(\widetilde{\theta}_1, \psi) + h_{\widetilde{N},c}(\psi, \widetilde{\psi}_0)$$

where $h_{\widetilde{N},c}$ is the Peierl's barrier associated to $\widetilde{N}$. Γ_3^* is then the set of cohomologies $c \in \Gamma_3$ such that the set of minima of each of the functions $b^{\pm}_{\widetilde{N},c}$, located outside of the Aubry set $\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{N}}(\widetilde{c})$, is totally disconnected. In other words,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\widetilde{N}}(\widetilde{c}) \setminus \mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{N}}(\widetilde{c}) \quad \text{ is non-empty and totally disconnected.}$$

Since the Aubry and the Mañe sets are symplectic invariants [Ber07], as before it suffices to prove these properties using a convenient canonical coordinates, e.g. normal forms.

We can perform an additional perturbation such that the above transversality condition is satisfied.

Theorem 22. *Let $N^{\mathrm{dr},1}$ be the Hamiltonian from Theorem 21. Then there exists arbitrarily $\mathcal{C}^r$ small perturbation $\Delta H_2^{\mathrm{shad}} \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}$ of $N^{\mathrm{dr},1}$, preserving all Aubry sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\widetilde{N}}(c)$ with $c \in \Gamma_j^{\mathrm{sr}}$, such that for $N^{\mathrm{dr},2} = N^{\mathrm{dr},1} + \Delta H_2^{\mathrm{shad}} \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}$ we have $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_2^*$ and $\Gamma_3 = \Gamma_3^*$. Moreover, $\Gamma_j^{\mathrm{sr}} = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2^* \cup \Gamma_3^*$ is contained in a single forcing class.*

[8] see Appendix D for definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c,u)$, which implies $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c,u) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{N^{\mathrm{dr}}}(c)$.

The proof of this Theorem is the same as the proof of Thm. 12 [KZ12] or Theorem 6.4 [BKZ11]. The crucial element of the proof is a lemma of Cheng-Yan [CY09]. One can find a small perturbation ΔH_2^{shad} localized away from NHICs and, therefore, away from Aubry sets. We refer to section 11.6 [KZ12] for details.

9.2 Equivalent forcing class along double resonances

In the core of a double resonance we diffuse either along one resonance Γ across this double resonance or we diffuse inside along one Γ and diffuse outside along another Γ'. Recall also that at the core of each double resonance, modulo rescaling, the dynamics is symplectically conjugate to a Tonelli Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{k_n,k'}$ of two degrees of freedom. By Theorem 11 Aubry and Mañe sets of conjugate systems are also conjugate. Therefore, it suffices to describe these sets for a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ of the form (27) satisfying (26).

By a result of Diaz Carneiro [Car95] each Aubry set $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}}(c)$ and each Mañe set $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{H}}(c)$ is contained in a certain energy surface of $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, it is convenient to parametrize these sets by energy. Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies conditions [H1-H3] of Key Theorem 6. As we discussed in section 2.4.2, it is natural to consider tow regimes:

- (*high energy*) $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) + e \leq E \leq \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) + E^*$
- (*low energy*) $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) \leq E \leq \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) + 2e$,

where e is small positive and E^* is large positive. At the critical energy $S_{\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0)}$ there is a critical point denoted φ^*.

In local coordinates of the double resonance each resonance Γ has a uniquely defined integer homology class $h \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. Denote by h (resp. h') cohomology class corresponding to Γ (resp. to Γ').

In section 4.2.2 [KZ12] using a Legendre-Fenchel transform $\mathcal{LF}$ of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, given homology h we show how to choose cohomology $\bar{c}_h$. In Proposition 4.1 of [KZ12] we show that for Hamiltonians satisfying [H1]-[H3] and a proper choice of cohomology for high energy E each Aubry set $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{c}_h(E))$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is

- either a periodic orbit, denoted γ_h^E,
- or the union of two periodic orbits, denoted γ_h^E and $\bar{\gamma}_h^E$.

In the case of low energy there are three different cases. In Theorem 7 [KZ12] we show that for each low energy $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) < E \leq \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) + 2e$ the periodic orbit γ_h^E exists, is unique and smoothly depends on E. Consider the limit $\lim_{E \to \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0)^+} \gamma_h^E = \gamma_h^*$. Mather [Mat11] showed that generically there are three possibilities:

- (*simple non-critical*) γ_h^* does not contain the critical point φ^*.
- (*simple critical*) γ_h^* contains the critical point φ^*.

- (*non-simple*) γ_h^* is a non-simple curve, which contains the critical point φ^*, and there are two simple curves $\gamma_{h_1}^*$ and $\gamma_{h_2}^*$ and two integers $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $h = n_1 h_1 + n_2 h_2$.

Equivalent forcing class at double resonance, high energy

Naturally, at a double resonance one can associate to each reconance Γ of the Hamiltonian N^* an integer homology class $h \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ of the slow system $\mathcal{H}$. Using the function $\bar{c}_h(E)$ we can define $\Gamma_e \subset \Gamma$ such that the corresponding Aubry sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{c}_h(E)) = \gamma_h^E$ have high energy $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) + e \leq E \leq \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) + E^*$.

By Key Theorem 9, for high energy for each $c_h(E) \in \Gamma_e$, the Aubry set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{c}_h(E))$ is contained in one of the NHICs, and has a local graph property (see section 11.1, Figure 21 [KZ12]). Reproducing exactly the same double cover construction as in the previous section we define the lifted Hamiltonian $\widetilde{N}$. For the resonant segment Γ_e we have an analog of Theorem 22.

Theorem 23. *Let $N^{\mathrm{dr},2}$ be the Hamiltonian from Theorem 22. Then there exists arbitrarily $\mathcal{C}^r$ small perturbation $\Delta H_3^{\mathrm{shad}} \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}$ of $N^{\mathrm{dr},2}$, preserving all Aubry sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{N'}(c)$ with $c \in \Gamma_e$, such that for $N^{\mathrm{dr},3} = N^{\mathrm{dr},2} + \Delta H_3^{\mathrm{shad}} \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}$ we have $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_2^*$ and $\Gamma_3 = \Gamma_3^*$. Moreover, $\Gamma_e = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2^* \cup \Gamma_3^*$ is contained in a single forcing class.*

The proof is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 21, see Key Theorem 9, [KZ12].

Equivalent forcing class at double resonance, low energy

Recall that generically at low energy we have three cases: simple noncritical, simple critical, nonsimple. To achieve this condition we perturb $N^{\mathrm{dr},3}$ to N^*.

The first two cases can be handled in the same way as high energy. See Theorem 13 and 14 section 6.2 [KZ12]. These two Theorems are analogs of Theorems 21 and 22.

Now we face the problem of passing the double resonance along either nonsimple resonance Γ or entering along one resonance Γ and exiting along another one Γ'. See Figure 12 Section 3.5 [KZ12].

Since each simple critical resonance contains a periodic orbit of $\mathcal{H}$ corresponding to the saddle critical point of $\mathcal{H}$, in order to construct diffusion in the above cases it suffices to construct *a jump*. Namely, prove forcing relation in the following case.

Let Γ define homology $h \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that defined a non-simple γ_h^*. In particular, γ_h^* contains the critical point φ^* and there are two simple curves $\gamma_{h_1}^*$ and $\gamma_{h_2}^*$ and two integers $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $h = n_1 h_1 + n_2 h_2$.

We need to prove forcing relation between $c_h(E)$ and $c_{h_1}(E)$ for some low energy E, i.e. $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) \leq E \leq \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) + e$.

For the slow system $\mathcal{H}$ this is proven in Section 12.4 [KZ12]. Deducing the same result for the original system is given by approximation of barrier of N' with a barrier of $\mathcal{H}$ can be done in the same was as in section 12.3 [KZ12].

A A time-periodic KAM

Consider a Hamiltonian $H_0(I)$ in action-angle variables, where angles $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and I belongs to a bounded open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider a smooth time-periodic perturbation

$$H_\varepsilon(\varphi, I, t) = H_0(I) + \varepsilon H_1(\varphi, I, t).$$

Using the standard procedure associate to it an autonomous Hamiltonian

$$H^*_\varepsilon(\varphi, I, t, E) = H_0(I) + E + \varepsilon H_1(\varphi, I, t),$$

where E is conjugate to t. Therefore, orbits of H_ε and projected along E orbits of H^*_ε are the same as those of H_ε independently of the value of E.

Consider an auxiliary Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0(I, E) = \exp(H_0 + E)$ and its frequency map $\omega(I) = \nabla\mathcal{H}_0(I, E) = (\exp(H_0 + E)\nabla H_0, \exp(H_0 + E))$. Define

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\varphi, I, t, E) = \exp(H_0(I) + E + \varepsilon H_1(\varphi, I, t)).$$

Write this Hamiltonian in the form

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(\varphi, I, t, E) = \mathcal{H}_0 + \varepsilon\mathcal{H}_1(\varphi, I, t, E)$$

Notice that orbits of $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ coincide with orbits of H_ε after constant time change.

Call $\mathcal{H}_0$ non-degenerate in U if for each $I \in U$ and each E we have

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial\omega(I, E)}{\partial(I, E)}\right) \neq 0.$$

Recall that a frequency $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}$ is called (η, τ)-Diophantine and it has been defined in (4).

Theorem 24. *[Pös82] Let $\eta, \tau > 0$ and $r \geq 2n + 2\tau$. Let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be a real analytic Hamiltonian on U and $\mathcal{H}_1$ be $\mathcal{C}^r$ smooth on $U \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n+1}$ resp. Suppose $\mathcal{H}_0$ is non-degenerate in U. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\mathcal{H}_0, \eta, \tau) > 0$ and $c_0 = c_0(\mathcal{H}_0, r)$ such that for any ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and any $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}$ the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0 + \varepsilon\mathcal{H}_1$ has a $(n+1)$-dimensional (KAM) invariant torus $\mathcal{T}_\omega$ and dynamics restricted to $\mathcal{T}_\omega$ is smoothly conjugate to the constant flow $(\dot\varphi, \dot t) = \omega$ on $\mathbb{T}^{n+1}$. Moreover, for the union tori*

$$Leb(\cup_{\omega\in\mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}}\mathcal{T}_\omega) > (1 - c_0\eta) \cdot Leb(U \times \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}),$$

where Leb is the Lebesgue measure.

One can improve η to be proportional to $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, but we do not use this modification. Notice that dynamics of $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ is independent of a choice of E. Namely, for any $E \neq E'$ and any initial condition (φ_0, I_0, t_0) orbits of $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ starting (φ_0, I_0, t_0, E) and $(\varphi_0, I_0, t_0, E')$ have the same projection along E. In particular, if (φ_0, I_0, t_0, E)

belongs to a KAM torus $\mathcal{T}_\omega$, then $(\varphi_0, I_0, t_0, E')$ also belongs to a KAM torus $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda\omega}$ for $\lambda = \exp(E - E')$. Similarly, if (φ_0, I_0, t_0, E) does not belong to a KAM torus, then $(\varphi_0, I_0, t_0, E')$ also does not belong to any KAM torus.

Recall that we have assumed that $H_0(I)$ is called strictly convex (see (1)).

Corollary 5. *Let $\eta, \tau > 0$ and $r \geq 2n + 2\tau$. Let H_0 be a real analytic Hamiltonian on U and H_1 be $\mathcal{C}^r$ smooth on $U \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}$ resp. Suppose H_0 is strictly convex on U. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(H_0, \eta, \tau) > 0$ and $c_0 = c_0(H_0, r)$ such that for any ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and any $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}$ the Hamiltonian $H_0 + \varepsilon H_1$ has a $(n+1)$-dimensional (KAM) invariant torus $\mathcal{T}_\omega$ and dynamics restricted to $\mathcal{T}_\omega$ is smoothly conjugate to the constant flow $(\dot{\varphi}, \dot{t}) = \lambda\omega$ on $\mathbb{T}^{n+1}$ with $\lambda = \omega_{n+1}^{-1}$. Moreover, for the union tori*

$$\mu_E(\cup_{\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}} \mathcal{T}_\omega) > (1 - c_0\eta) \ \cdot \ \mu_E(U \times \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}),$$

where μ_E is the Lebesgue measure.

To prove this corollary it suffices to show that if H_0 is strictly convex in U, then $\mathcal{H}_0$ is non-degenerate in $U \times \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 31. *If H_0 is strictly convex, then the Hessian of $\mathcal{H}_0$ is nondegenerate.*

Proof. Notice that the Hessian of $\mathcal{H}_0$ has the following form

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \begin{pmatrix} \partial^2_{I_1 I_1} H_0 + \partial_{I_1} H_0 \partial_{I_1} H_0 & \dots & \partial^2_{I_1 I_n} H_0 + \partial_{I_1} H_0 \partial_{I_n} H_0 & \partial_{I_1} H_0 \\ \partial^2_{I_2 I_1} H_0 + \partial_{I_2} H_0 \partial_{I_1} H_0 & \dots & \partial^2_{I_2 I_n} H_0 + \partial_{I_2} H_0 \partial_{I_n} H_0 & \partial_{I_1} H_0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ \partial^2_{I_n I_1} H_0 + \partial_{I_n} H_0 \partial_{I_1} H_0 & \dots & \partial^2_{I_n I_n} H_0 + \partial_{I_n} H_0 \partial_{I_n} H_0 & \partial_{I_n} H_0 \\ \partial_{I_1} H_0 & \dots & \partial_{I_n} H_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{74}$$

Denote the last matrix $\mathrm{Hess}(I, E)$. The determinant for this matrix is equal to get

$$\mathcal{H}_0^n \det \mathrm{Hess}(I, E).$$

In order to compute $\det \mathrm{Hess}(I, E)$ for each line $j = 1, \dots, n$ we multiply the last time by $\partial_{I_j} H_0$ and subtract from j-th line.

$$\mathcal{H}_0^n \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial^2_{I_1 I_1} H_0 & \dots & \partial^2_{I_1 I_n} H_0 & 0 \\ \partial^2_{I_2 I_1} H_0 & \dots & \partial^2_{I_2 I_n} H_0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ \partial^2_{I_n I_1} H_0 & \dots & \partial^2_{I_n I_n} H_0 & 0 \\ \partial_{I_1} H_0 & \dots & \partial_{I_n} H_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{H}_0$ is always positive and the determinant equals $\det \mathrm{Hess}\ H_0$. Since H_0 is positive definite, determinant is not zero. ☐

A.1 Application of Pöschel Theorem to our setting

In this section we apply Theorem 24 to the situation where H_0 is only finitely differentiable[9].

We use a more precise version of Theorem 24. Fix $\alpha > 1$ and $\lambda > \tau + n > n$ and let H be of class $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\lambda\alpha}$ if it is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$ in the I-variables, but of class $\mathcal{C}^{\lambda\alpha}$ in the φ-variables.

Theorem 25. *(Pöschel [Pös82]) Let H_0 be real analytic and nondegenerate, such that the frequency map $\nabla \bar{H}_0$ is a diffeomorphism $I \to \mathbb{R}^n$, and consider a differentiable perturbation $\bar{H} = \bar{H}_0 + \varepsilon \bar{H}_1$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{a\lambda+\lambda+n+\tau}$ with $\lambda > \tau + n$ and $\alpha > 1$ not in the discrete set $\Lambda = \{i/\lambda + j,\ i, j \geq 0$ integers$\}$. Then, for small $\eta > 0$, one can choose $|\varepsilon|$ proportional to η^2 so that there exists a diffeomorphism*

$$T = \Psi_0 \circ \Phi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau} \to \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

which on $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}$, transforms the Hamiltonian equations of motion into

$$\dot{\theta} = \omega, \quad \dot{\omega} = 0.$$

More precisely, Ψ_0 is the real analytic inverse of the frequency map, and Φ_ε is a diffeomorphism of class $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha\lambda,\alpha}$ close to the identity. Its Jacobian determinant uniformly bounded from above and below. In addition, if H is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\beta\lambda+\lambda+n-1+\tau}$ with $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \infty$, one can modify Φ_ε outside $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}$ so that Φ_ε is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\beta\lambda,\beta}$ for $\beta \notin \Lambda$.

Now we apply this result to Hamiltonians with finite regularity. Expand the Hamiltonian H_0 near $\xi \in D$ using Taylor formula and write H_ε as follows:

$$H_\varepsilon(\theta, \xi+\Delta I) = H_0(\xi) + \langle \nabla H_0(\xi), \Delta I\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle \partial^2 H_0(\xi)\Delta I, \Delta I\rangle + Q_3(\Delta I, \xi) + \varepsilon H_1(\theta, \xi+\Delta I),$$

where $Q_3(\Delta I, \xi)$ is the cubic remainder. Consider the ball of radius $d = \varepsilon^{1/3}$ around ξ. Denote

$$\bar{H}_0(I) = H_0(\xi) + \langle \nabla H_0(\xi), \Delta I\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle \partial^2 H_0(\xi)\Delta I, \Delta I\rangle.$$

and

$$\varepsilon \bar{H}_1 = \bar{H} - \bar{H}_0 = Q_3(\Delta I, \xi) + \varepsilon H_1(\theta, \xi + \Delta I).$$

Let $r = \alpha\lambda + \lambda + n - 1 + \tau$. Assume that $H_0 \in \mathcal{C}^{r+3}$ and $H_1 \in \mathcal{C}^r$. Then the remainder $\bar{H}_1 \in \mathcal{C}^{r+3}$. The condition

$$|\partial^2_{II}\bar{H}_0|_{\Omega+\rho}, \qquad |(\partial^2_{II}\bar{H}_0)^{-1}|_{\Omega+\rho} \leq R$$

are clearly satisfies, because $\partial^2_{II}\bar{H}_0 \equiv \partial^2 H_0(\xi)$.

Application of Theorem 25 gives existence of a diffeomorphism $\Psi_0 \circ \Phi_\varepsilon$ defined in $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon^{1/3}}(\xi)$. Covering $\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathcal{D}$ by balls of radius ξ and applying Whitney Extension Theorem (see e.g. [Pös82]) we obtain a global change of coordinates. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{H}_0 \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$ where $\alpha = (r - n + 1 - \tau)/\lambda$.

[9]The authors are grateful to Popov for pointing out this approach

B Smoothness of compositions and the inverse

In this appendix we state several results dealing with the smoothness of the composition of $\mathcal{C}^r$ functions. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be coordinate system in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 32. *([DH09] Appendix C) Let $F \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $G \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $k \geq 1$. Then for some $c = c(n,k)$ we have*

$$\|F \circ G\|_{\mathcal{C}^k} \leq c(\|F\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \ \|G\|_{\mathcal{C}^k} + \sum_{p=2}^{k} \|F\|_{\mathcal{C}^p} \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_p=k} \|G\|_{\mathcal{C}^{j_1}} \dots \|G\|_{\mathcal{C}^{j_p}}).$$

In particular,

$$\|F \circ G\|_{\mathcal{C}^k} \leq c(\|F\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \ \|G\|_{\mathcal{C}^k} + \|F\|_{\mathcal{C}^k} \|G\|^k_{\mathcal{C}^{k-1}}).$$

Consider $\mathcal{D}_R = D_R \times \mathbb{T}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^{n+1} \ni (I, \varphi, t)$. Let $H \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{D}_R)$ be a $\mathcal{C}^k$ smooth function. Denote by X_H the Hamiltonian vector field associated to H give by

$$X_H = (\partial_\varphi H, -\partial_\varphi H),$$

where ∂_* are partial derivatives with respect to the coordinate variables. Clearly we have $X_H \in \mathcal{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{D}_R, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and

$$\|X_H\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k-1}(\mathcal{D}_R)} \leq \|H\|_{\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{D}_R)}.$$

Assume that $\|\partial_\varphi H\|_{\mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{D}_R)} < \delta$ for some $\delta < R$. Then, by the Mean Value Theorem the time t map of the vector field Φ^H_t is a well-defined $\mathcal{C}^{k-1}$ map and satisfies

$$\Phi^H = \Phi^H_1 : \mathcal{D}_{R-\delta} \to \mathcal{D}_R.$$

If H is integrable, then δ can be chosen equal to 0.

In what follows we need to estimate the $\mathcal{C}^k$ norm of Φ^H in terms of the $\mathcal{C}^k$ norm of the vector field X_H. One can expect to have Φ^H being $\mathcal{C}^k$ close to the identity when X_H is $\mathcal{C}^k$ close to zero. In our case, for smaller k is will indeed be true, but for higher k estimates will deteriorate. Recall the standard relation

$$\Phi^H_t = \mathrm{Id} + \int_0^t X_H \circ \Phi^H_s \ ds.$$

It follows from the classical formula due to Faà di Bruno (see e.g. [AR67]) that

$$\|F \circ G\|_{\mathcal{C}^k} \leq c\|F\|_{\mathcal{C}^k} \|G\|^k_{\mathcal{C}^k}$$

for some $c = c(n,k)$ and for $\mathcal{C}^k$ vector-valued functions defined on appropriate domains. Recall that at some point of the proof we consider a molification of a $\mathcal{C}^k$ smooth vector field with a molifing parameter σ. In this setting we have the following

Lemma 33. *Let $X_{H_\sigma} \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{D}_R, \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ be a one-parameter family of $\mathcal{C}^k$ vector field, δ, σ_0 be small positive, $0 < m < k$. Assume that*

$$\max_{0\le\sigma\le\sigma_0} \|X_{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{D}_R)} < \delta,$$

$$\max_{0\le\sigma\le\sigma_0} \|X_{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{D}_R)} < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|X_{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k+m}(\mathcal{D}_R)} < \sigma^{-m},$$

then

$$\|\Phi^{H_\sigma} - \mathrm{Id}\|_{\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{D}_{R-\delta})} \le c\|X_{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{D}_R)} \qquad \|\Phi^{H_\sigma} - \mathrm{Id}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k+m}(\mathcal{D}_{R-\delta})} \le c\|X_{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k+m}(\mathcal{D}_R)}$$

for some $c = c(n, k, R)$. In addition,

$$\|F \circ \Phi^{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{D}_{R-\delta})} < c\|F\|_{\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{D}_R)} \|\Phi^{H_\sigma}\|^k_{\mathcal{C}^k(\mathcal{D}_R)}.$$

This lemma for $m = 0$ is lemma 3.1 [Bou10]. (see also similar to lemma 3.15 [DH09]). For $m > 0$ we use estimates from the proof of lemma 3.15 [DH09].

Proof: By the Fundamental Theorem of calculus we have

$$\Phi_t^{H_\sigma}(x) = x + \int_0^t \frac{\partial \Phi_\tau^{H_\sigma}}{\partial \tau}(x) d\tau = x + \int_0^t X_{H_\sigma} \circ \Phi_\tau^{H_\sigma}(x)\ d\tau, d\tau,$$

where $x = (I, \varphi, t) \in \mathcal{D}_R$ and for the canonical matrix J of the symplectic form $\omega = dI \wedge d\varphi + dE \wedge dt$ we have $X_H = J\nabla H$. The extra variable E, conjugated to the angle s, was introduced to make apparent the symplectic character of the change of variables. Using the last formula of lemma 32 we obtain

$$\|\Phi_1^{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^l} \le \|\mathrm{Id}\|_{\mathcal{C}^l} + \int_0^1 \|X_{H_\sigma} \circ \Phi_\tau^{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^l}\ d\tau \le \|\mathrm{Id}\|_{\mathcal{C}^l} +$$

$$\int_0^1 \left(\|X_{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \|\Phi_\tau^{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^l} + \sum_{p=2}^{l} \|X_{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^p} \sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_p=l} \|\Phi_\tau^{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{j_1}} \ldots \|\Phi_\tau^{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{j_p}} \right) d\tau,$$

where $l = 2, \ldots, k + m$ and c is a constant depending on l and n. Notice also that in this and the similar sums given below all $\{j_s\}_s$ are strictly positive.

For $l = 1$ we have

$$\|\Phi_1^{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \le \|\mathrm{Id}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} + \int_0^1 \|X_{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \|\Phi_\tau^{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}\, d\tau.$$

Now by induction define

$$a_l = \max_{0\le t\le 1,\ 0\le\sigma\le\sigma_0} \|\Phi_t^{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^l}.$$

Denote $d_l = \max_{0\le\sigma\le\sigma_0} \|X_{H_\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{C}^l}$. We know that $d_l \le 1$ for $1 \le l \le k$ and $d_{k+l} \le \sigma^{-l}$ for $1 \le l \le m$. Then,

$$a_1 \le 1 + d_1 a_1,$$

and

$$a_l \le 1 + d_1 a_l + d_l a_1^l + c \sum_{p=2}^{l-1} d_p \sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_p=l} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_p}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.15 [DH09] we see that for $1 \le l \le k$ we have $a_l \le D_l$ with D_l being independent of σ. For $a_{k+l},\ 1 \le l \le m$ we proceed by induction. Let $l = 1$.

$$a_{k+1} \le 1 + d_1 a_{k+1} + c d_{k+1} a_1^{k+1} + c \sum_{p=2}^{k} d_p \sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_p=k} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_p} \le c + c\sigma^{-1}.$$

Let $1 < l \le m < k$. We have

$$a_{k+l} \le 1 + d_1 a_{k+l} + c d_{k+l} a_1^{k+l} + c \sum_{p=2}^{k+l-1} d_p \sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_p=k+l-1} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_p} \le c + c\sigma^{-l}.$$

Due to the fact that $m < k$ and all $\{j_s\}_s$ are strictly positive in the second line sum in each of its elements there is at most one $j_{s^*} > k$. In this case $p < l-1 \le m-1 < k-1$ and all other $j_s < l-1 \le m-1 < k-1$. Thus, $j_{s^*} \le k+l-p \le k+l-2$ and such an element in the sum is bounded by $c\sigma^{-l+2}$. All others are uniformly bounded. However, $d_{k+l} \le \sigma^{-l}$ and gives the leading term. □

Lemma 34. *Let $0 < s < r$. Let $\Phi_\sigma : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a one-parameter family of $\mathcal{C}^r$ smooth maps of an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ which is $\mathcal{C}^2$-close to the identity on the closure and such that for some $C > 0$ we have*

$$\|\Phi_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{C}^s} \le C \qquad \|\Phi_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{C}^{s+j}} \le C\sigma^{-j} \text{ for } j \le r - s.$$

Then for the inverse Φ_σ^{-1} is $\mathcal{C}^r$-smooth on $\Omega'_\sigma = \Phi_\sigma(\Omega)$, namely, $\|\Phi_\sigma^{-1}(y)\|_{\mathcal{C}^r(\Omega')}$ is bounded. Moreover,

$$\|\Phi_\sigma^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^s} \le C \qquad \|\Phi_\sigma^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{s+j}} \le C\sigma^{-j} \text{ for } j \le r - s.$$

Proof: Denote for $\beta, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ $\beta \prec \alpha$ if $\beta_i \le \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Differentiate $\Phi_\sigma^{-1} \circ \Phi_\sigma(x)$ wrt x. In the domain of definition $x \in \Omega$ we have

$$D\Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\Phi_\sigma(x)) D\Phi_\sigma(x) \equiv Id,$$

where $D\Phi_\sigma^{-1}(y)$ and $D\Phi_\sigma(x)$ are $n \times n$ linearization matrices.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| < r$. Consider

$$0 \equiv \partial^\alpha (D\Phi_\sigma^{-1}(\Phi_\sigma(x)) D\Phi_\sigma(x)).$$

For any integer k with $0 \leq k \leq r$ denote by $D^k\Phi_\sigma(x)$ the collection of all partial derivatives of order up to k. Let $e_j = (0, \ldots, 1_j, \ldots, 0)$. By induction we can prove the following statement:

$$0 \equiv \partial^\alpha (D\Phi_\sigma^{-1}(y)|_{y=\Phi(x)} D\Phi_\sigma(x)) =$$

$$= \sum_{\beta \prec \alpha} \partial^\beta D\Phi_\sigma^{-1}(y)|_{y=\Phi_\sigma(x)} P_{\beta,\alpha}(D\Phi_\sigma(x), \ldots, D^{|\alpha-\beta|}\Phi_\sigma(x)),$$

where $P_{\beta,\alpha}$ are $n \times n$ matrix polynomials with $\beta \prec \alpha$ satisfying the following inductive formula: for any $j = 1, \ldots, n$

$$P_{\beta,\alpha+e_j}(D\Phi_\sigma(x), \ldots, D^{|\alpha-\beta|+1}\Phi_\sigma(x)) =$$

$$= \partial_{x_j} P_{\beta,\alpha}(D\Phi_\sigma(x), \ldots, D^{|\alpha-\beta|}\Phi_\sigma(x)) + \partial_{x_j}\Phi_\sigma(x)\, P_{\beta-e_j,\alpha}(D\Phi_\sigma(x), \ldots, D^{|\alpha-\beta|}\Phi_\sigma(x)),$$

and $P_{0,0}(D\Phi_\sigma(x)) = D\Phi_\sigma(x)$. Notice that all partial derivatives of Φ_σ^{-1} of order $\leq r$ can be explicitly computed using partial derivatives of Φ_σ of order $\leq r$ and of Φ^{-1} of order $\leq r-1$. This implies the claim.

C Generic Tonelli Hamiltonians & a Generalized Mapertuis Principle

Consider a $\mathcal{C}^r$ smooth function $\mathcal{H} : T^*\mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. It is called *a Tonelli Hamiltonian* if

- $\mathcal{H}(\varphi, I)$ is *positive definite*, i.e., the Hessian $\partial^2_{I_i I_j}\mathcal{H}$ is positive definite $\forall (\varphi, I) \in \mathbb{T}^n$.
- $\mathcal{H}$ has *superlinear growth*, i.e. $\mathcal{H}(\varphi, I)/\|I\| \to \infty$ as $\|I\| \to \infty$.

Recall that $x = (\varphi, I)$ is a critical point if the gradient of $\mathcal{H}$ vanishes. A function $\mathcal{H}$ is called *a Morse function* if at any critical point, the Hessian $\partial^2_{xx}\mathcal{H}$ is non-singular and critical points have pairwise distinct values. Morse functions form an open dense set in a properly chosen topology (see e.g. [Mil63]).

We say that a property is *Mañé's $\mathcal{C}^r$ generic* if there is a $\mathcal{C}^r$ generic set of potentials U on $\mathbb{T}^2$ such that $\mathcal{H}_U = \mathcal{H} + U$ satisfies this property. We prove that properties in Lemma 4 and Key Theorems 6 and 7 are Mañé's $\mathcal{C}^r$ generic.

We introduce some notations: $\nabla\mathcal{H}(\varphi, I)$ is the gradient, i.e. the set of all partial derivatives, $\pi : T^*\mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$ is the natural projection, $\nabla_I\mathcal{H}(\varphi, I)$ and $\nabla_\varphi\mathcal{H}(\varphi, I)$ are the I and the φ-gradients, given by the set of partial derivatives with respect to I and φ respectively.

Lemma 35. *The property that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Morse function is Mañé's $\mathcal{C}^r$ generic.*

Proof. Due to convexity for each $\varphi^* \in \mathbb{T}^n$ the map $\nabla_I \mathcal{H}(\varphi^*, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a diffeomorphism. Therefore, for each φ^* there is at most one point $I^*(\varphi^*)$ such that $\nabla_I \mathcal{H}(\varphi^*, I^*(\varphi^*)) = 0$. By the Implicit Function Theorem $I^*(\varphi^*)$ is a smooth function. In particular, outside of some compact set in $T^*\mathbb{T}^n$ there are no critical points of $\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi, I) = 0$. Due to superlinearity this compact set is contained in $\{\mathcal{H} \leq E\}$ for some large E.

Let $U : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a potential. Consider a family $\mathcal{H}_U = \mathcal{H} + U$ of potential perturbations. Notice that adding a potential does not change the function $I^* : \mathbb{T}^n \to T^*\mathbb{T}^n$ and equations for a critical point $\nabla \mathcal{H}_U(\varphi, I) = 0$ can be rewritten in the form

$$\nabla_\varphi \mathcal{H}(\varphi, I)|_{I=I^*(\varphi)} + \nabla U(\varphi) = 0.$$

Generically in U this function as a function of φ has isolated solutions to this equation. These solutions lift to critical points of $\mathcal{H}_U$ by means of the function I^*. Once critical points of $\mathcal{H}_U$ ae isolated an arbitrary small localized potential perturbation $\mathcal{H}'_U = \mathcal{H}_U + U'$ of $\mathcal{H}_U$ we can assure that $\mathcal{H}'_U$ is Morse or, equivalently, all critical points are in $\{\mathcal{H}'_U \leq E\}$ and nondegenerate. In addition, critical points have pairwise distinct values. □

In [CIPP98] the following definition of $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0)$ is given. Let ω be a smooth one form on $\mathbb{T}^n$. It is a section of the bundle $\mathbb{T}^n \to T^*\mathbb{T}^n$. Let $G_f \subset T^*\mathbb{T}^n$ be the graph of the differential df of the smooth function f. It is well known that G_f is a Lagrangian submanifold of $T^*\mathbb{T}^n$. Define

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0) = \inf_{E \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}^{-1}(-\infty, E) \text{ contains } G_f \text{ for all } \mathcal{C}^\infty \text{ function } f.$$

This definition is equivalent to the one of Mather as proven in [CIPP98].

In [CIPP98] it is also shown that if $E > \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0)$, the dynamics of the Hamiltonian flow of $\mathcal{H}$ restricted to the energy surface $\{\mathcal{H} = E\}$ is a reparametrization of the geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle of an appropriately chosen Finsler metric on $\mathbb{T}^n$. Below we define the corresponding Finsler metric for $E > \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0)$ and describe this relation.

Lemma 36. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Tonelli Hamiltonian and a Morse function. Then $\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0)$ is a critical value of $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, for $n = 2$ after a possible potential perturbation the corresponding unique critical point (φ^*, I^*) is a saddle of the Hamiltonian flow and has real eigenvalues.*

Notice that a critical point of a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ corresponds to a fixed point of the Hamiltonian flow of $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, this Lemma motivates the following definition: Let $\mathcal{H} : T^*\mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Tonelli Hamiltonian, then a fixed point (φ^*, I^*) is called minimal if

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi^*, I^*) = \alpha_{\mathcal{H}}(0). \tag{75}$$

Since a Mañé $\mathcal{C}^r$ generic Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is a Morse function, each critical value corresponds to a unique critical point. For $n = 2$ we also have that this critical point is a saddle fixed point.

Proof. Notice that $E^* = \alpha(0)$ cannot be a regular value of $\mathcal{H}$ as the fact that $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(-\infty, E^*)$ contains the graph G_f, implies that $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(-\infty, E^* - \delta)$ also contains $G_{f'}$ for small enough $\delta > 0$ and f' close to f.

Since critical points have pairwise distinct values, on the critical energy surface $\{\mathcal{H} = E^*\}$ we have exactly one non-degenerate critical point. Show that $\{\mathcal{H} = E^*\}$ has a saddle critical point.

Let $G_f \subset \mathcal{H}^{-1}(-\infty, E^*)$ be a graph of the differential df for some smooth function f. The projection $\pi\mathcal{H}^{-1}(-\infty, E^* - \delta)$ cannot contain $\mathbb{T}^n$, otherwise, we can deform f to f' and have $G_{f'} \subset \mathcal{H}^{-1}(-\infty, E^* - \delta)$.

Consider a neighborhood V of the only critical point $(\varphi^*, I^*) \in \mathbb{T}^*\mathbb{T}^n$ with $\mathcal{H}(\varphi^*, I^*) = E$. Denote by π_n the natural projection of $\mathbb{T}^*\mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$. By Morse lemma (see e.g. [Mil63]) in local coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \Phi(\varphi, I)$ for some a we have

$$\mathcal{H} \circ \Phi^{-1}(x) = a + \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^2 - \sum_{i=k+1}^{2n} x_i^2.$$

Thus, π_n is a smooth projection onto a n-dimensional surface $\Phi\left((\mathbb{T}^n \times 0) \cap V\right)$ given by $\Phi\pi_n \circ \Phi^{-1}$. Denote by K_L the kernel of $\pi_m(0)$. Perturbing $\mathcal{H}$, if necessary, make the subspaces $x_1\mathbb{R}, \dots, x_{2n}\mathbb{R}$ transverse to K_L and, therefore, transverse to the kerner of $\pi_n(x)$ for all $x \in U = \Phi(V)$, i.e. near 0. Due to fiber convexity of $\mathcal{H}$ we have that for all $x \in U$ the set $\{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(a') \cap \mathbb{T}^*_x\mathbb{T}^n\}$ is convex (if nonempty). We know that for small $\delta > 0$

- the projection of $\pi_n(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(a + \delta))$ contains U,
- the projection of $\pi_n(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(a - \delta))$ does not contain U.

If $k < n$ convexity in the fiber K_L fails. If $k > n$, $\pi_n\mathcal{H}^{-1}(a + \delta)$ does not contain U.

In the cases $k = n$ the energy surface $\{\mathcal{H} = a\}$ locally consists of two transverse n-dimensional surfaces, that are invariant. If $n = 2$, then linearizing the Hamiltonian vector field at 0 we have two invariant subspaces of dimension two. Consider the eigenvalues of the linearization. Since the vector field is Hamiltonian, each egenvalue λ has a pair λ^{-1}. The linearization is real, thus, all complex eigenvalues come in pairs: $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$ and its conjugate $\bar{\lambda}$. Therefore, if eigenvalues are away from the unit cirle, they form quadruples.

By a perturbation move eigenvalues away from 1. If a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues are on the unit circle, then one pair of conjugate variables is the sum of squares and enters into the Hamiltonian with one sign, while the other pair has the opposite sign to keep $k = 2$. This contradicts fiber convexity. Therefore, eigenvalues should be away from the unit circle. If some nonreal eigenvalues are away from

the unit circle, they come in quadruples. In this case there is no pair of invariant 2-dimensional subspaces. Thus, (φ^*, I^*) is a saddle with real eigenvalues. □

Denote by $E_{min} = \alpha(0)$. Consider a mechanical system $\mathcal{H}(\varphi, I) = K(I) - U(\varphi)$, where $K(I)$ is a positive definite quadratic form and $U(\varphi)$ is a smooth potential on $\mathbb{T}^2$. One can associate $\mathcal{H}$ the Jacobi metric $g_E(\varphi) = 2\sqrt{E + U(\varphi)}K$. Let $E > E_{min}$. According to the Mapertuis principle the orbits of $\mathcal{H}$ on the energy surface $\{\mathcal{H} = E\}$ are time reparametrization of geodesics of g_E. In [KZ12] as a slow system in a double resonance we study mechanical systems of the above form $\mathcal{H} = K - U$ and state all non-degeneracy conditions on the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ using Mapertuis principle.

In our case we cannot assure that the slow system $\mathcal{H}$ is a mechanical system. However, for a Tonelli Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ there is a generalized Mapertuis principle, described below.

C.1 A generalized Mapertuis principle

Let L be a Lagrangian given as a Legendre transform of $\mathcal{H}$. Given any $c \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\alpha(c) = \inf \int (L - c \cdot I)\, d\mu(\varphi, I),$$

where the infimum is taken over all probability measures μ in $T\mathbb{T}^n$. It is called Mather's α-function. $\alpha(c)$ is the average of the Hamiltonian on the support of the c-minimal measures.

Denote by $L_c(\varphi, v) = L(\varphi, v) - c \cdot v$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\mathcal{H}_c$ be the Legendre-Fenchel dual of $L_c(\varphi, v)$, then it has the form $\mathcal{H}(\varphi, I + c)$. For any $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we introduce the following quantity:

$$h_c^t(x, y) = \int_0^t L_c(\xi(s), \dot{\xi}(s))\, ds,$$

where the infimum is taken over of the piecewise $\mathcal{C}^1$ curve $\xi : [0, t] \to \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\xi(0) = x$ and $\xi(t) = y$. It is well know that we can define the Mañée's critical potential and Peierls barrier respectively as follows

$$\varphi_c(x, y) = \inf_{t>0} h_c^t(x, y) + \alpha(c)\, t$$
$$h_c(x, y) = \liminf_{t\to\infty} h_c^t(x, y) + \alpha(c)\, t.$$

For given $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the projected Aubry set

$$\mathcal{A}_c = \{x \in \mathbb{T}^n :\ h_c(x, x) = 0\}.$$

In order to define a Finsler metric associate to the flow of $\mathcal{H}$ on the energy surface $\{\mathcal{H} = E\}$ we need the following definition. We use the variant approach in [FS04],

where only the case $c = 0$ was considered. For any fixed $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, define the sublevel set

$$\bar{Z}_c(x) = \{I \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{H}_c(x, I) \leq \alpha(c)\}, \quad \text{for} \quad c \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \text{and}$$
$$\delta_c(x, v) = \sigma_{\bar{Z}_c(x)}(v), \ x \in \mathbb{T}^n, \ v \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\sigma_C(v)$ is the support function with respect to the compact convex set C, i.e.,

$$\sigma_C(v) = \max\{\langle x, v\rangle : x \in C\}, v \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Denote by $\sigma_{\alpha(c)}(x, v) = \sigma_{\bar{Z}_c(x)}(v)$, where $Z_{\alpha(c)}(x) = \{I \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{H}(x, I) \leq \alpha(c)\}$.

For any $x, y \in \mathbb{T}^n$, define

$$S_c(x, y) = \inf \int_0^1 \delta_c(\xi(t), \dot{\xi}(t))dt = \inf \int_0^1 (\sigma_c(\xi(t), \dot{\xi}(t)) - c\dot{\xi}(t))dt,$$

where the infimum is taken over of the piecewise $\mathcal{C}^1$ curve $\xi : [0, t] \to \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\xi(0) = x$ and $\xi(t) = y$.

It is natural to call the quantity $\delta_c(x, v)$ — the Jacobi–Finsler metric for the generalized Maupertuis principle with the restriction of the energy $\alpha(c)$. If the kinetic energy function is of the form of Riemannian metric $g_x(v, v) = \langle v, v\rangle_x$, $\delta_0(x, v)$ is the usual Jacobi metric $\sqrt{E - U(x)}$, where $E = \alpha(c) > \min_c \alpha(c)$. In [Che13] the following useful statement if proven.

Theorem 26. *The Finsler length of $\delta_c(x, v)$ and action $L_c + \alpha(c)$ coincide, i.e.*

$$\varphi_c(x, y) = S_c(x, y).$$

It follows from Lemma 36 that

Corollary 6. *Let $n = 2$ and $\mathcal{H}(\varphi, I)$ be a Tonelli Hamiltonian, then the property that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Morse function and the only critical point $(\varphi^*, I^*) \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\alpha(0))$ of the Hamiltonian flow associated to $\mathcal{H}$ is a saddle with real eigenvalues is Mañé generic.*

For Tonelli Hamiltonians satisfying this property the associated Jacobi-Finsler metric δ_0 has exactly one critical point at φ^*.

C.2 Proof of Lemma 4

Proof. We use a proof from unpublished notes by Kaloshin and Zhang. It is easy to see that all the properties are open. To prove density, we show that by an arbitrarily small perturbation by a potential, the system satisfies condition of this lemma.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{T}^3$ be a smooth global section not containing m_0, and intersecting every curve in homology $\bar{h}$. Lift S and the metric δ_0 to the universal cover. Denote by $l_\delta(\gamma)$ δ_0-length of γ. Consider the variational problem

$$\min_{\varphi \in S} l_\delta(\gamma), \quad \gamma(0) = \varphi, \gamma(T) = x + \bar{h}.$$

Any minimizer γ_0 projects to a shortest geodesic of homology $\bar{h}$. Perturbing the section if necessary, we may assume at least one of the minimizer intersect S transversally, i.e. $\gamma_0 \cap S$ at isolated points. Let φ_0 be one such point, for $\delta > 0$, let $U_0(\varphi)$ be a function satisfying:

- $U(\varphi_0) = 0$ and $U(\varphi) \geq 0$ for all $\varphi \neq \varphi_0$.
- $U(\varphi) = \text{const}$ for $\varphi \notin B_\delta(\varphi_0)$ and $\|U\|_{\mathcal{C}^r} = o(1)$ as $\delta \to 0$.

Consider the new potential function $U + U_0$, for the new variational problem there exists a unique minimizer intersecting S at φ_0.

Since γ_0 is a geodesic outside of the critical point m_0, it has no self-intersection outside of m_0.

If $m_0 \notin \gamma_0$, then γ_0 must be a simple closed curve of homology $\bar{h}$.

If $m_0 \in \gamma_0$ and γ_0 is not a simple closed curve, then it must be concatenation of simple closed curves, intersecting at m_0. We assume that for each integer homology class g with $l_\delta(g) \leq l_\delta(\bar{h})$ the minimizer is unique. This is open and dense from the earlier argument. Then the components of γ_0 must have different homology classes.

We claim: for a collection of simple curves with different homologies in $\mathbb{T}^2$, to avoid intersection at more than one point, the only possibility is the following (This is similar to the discussion of Mather in [Mat08]):

- There are two curves γ_1 and γ_2 with homologies h_1 and h_2 generating $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and if there is a third simple curve, it must have homology $\pm(h_1 + h_2)$.

To see this, make a (integer linear) change of basis such that $h_1 = (0, 1)$. It's easy to see that unless $h_2 = \pm(1, n)$, the curves γ_1, γ_2 intersect at two points. h_1, h_2 clearly form a basis. Now $\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$ is an open rectangle with m_0 at four vertices, and the only room left is the diagonal, i.e. a simple curve of homology $\pm(h_1 + h_2)$.

We now make an additional perturbation, such that

$$l_\delta(h_1) + l_\delta(h_2) < l_\delta(h_1 + h_2),$$

the system now fall into the non-simple case as given in the condition of the Lemma. □

D Weak KAM theory, the Aubry and Mañé sets

In this appendix we review the concepts we need from Mather Theory [Mat91a, Mat91b, Mat93]. We use the approach developed by Fathi [Fat11] and Bernard [Ber10a].

Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold and consider a $\mathcal{C}^2$ Hamiltonian $H : T^*M \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We denote (φ, I) the points in T^*M, $\Psi_s^t(\varphi, I)$ the time $(t - s)$-map of the Hamiltonian vector field of H with initial time s and $\Psi^t = \Psi_0^t$. Assume the following hypotheses:

- *Periodicity:* $H(\varphi, I, t+1) = H(\varphi, I, t)$ for each $(\varphi, I) \in T^*M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- *Completeness:* the Hamiltonian vector field of H generates a complete flow.
- *Convexity:* for each $(\varphi, t) \in M \times \mathbb{T}$, $H(\varphi, I, t)$ is strictly convex on $T^*_\varphi M$.
- *Super-linearity:* for each $(\varphi, t) \in M \times \mathbb{T}$, the function $H(\varphi, I, t)$ is super-linear in I, i. e. $\lim_{|I|\to+\infty} H(\varphi, I, t)/|I| \to \pm\infty$.

We call the the Hamiltonians satisfying these hypotheses *Tonelli Hamiltonians*. To each Tonelli Hamiltonian H we associate the Lagrangian given by the Legendre transform

$$L(\varphi, v, t) = \sup_{I \in T^*xM} \{v \cdot I - H(\varphi, I, t)\},$$

The Legendre transform is an involution, that is, the Legendre transform of L is H. The Legendre transform defines a diffeomorphism

$$\mathcal{L} : (\varphi, I, t) \longrightarrow (\varphi, v, t) = (\varphi, \partial_I H(\varphi, I, t), t),$$

whose inverse is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} : (\varphi, v, t) \longrightarrow (\varphi, I, t) = (\varphi, \partial_v L(\varphi, v, t), t).$$

The Hamiltonian flow is mapped to the Euler-Lagrange flow given by L. The Lagrangian L satisfies properties analogous to the ones stated for H: periodicity, fiber convexity on $T_\varphi M$ and superlinear growth as $|v| \to +\infty$.

D.1 Overlapping pseudographs

Given $K > 0$, a function $f : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a K-semi-concave function if for any $\varphi \in M$, there exists $I_\varphi \in T_*M$, such that for each chart ψ at x, we have

$$f \circ \psi(y) - f \circ \psi(x) \leq I_\varphi(d\psi_x(y - x)) + K\|y - x\|^2$$

for all y. The linear form I_φ is called a super-differential at φ. A function f is called semi-concave if it is K-semi-concave for some $K > 0$. A semi-concave function is Lipschitz.

Given a Lipschitz function $u : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a closed smooth form η on M, one can consider the subset $\mathcal{G}_{\eta,u} \subset T^*M$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\eta,u} = \{(x, \eta_x + du_x) : x \in M \text{ such that } du_x \text{ exists}\}.$$

We call a set $\mathcal{G} \subset T^*M$ an *overlapping pseudograph* if there exist a closed one form η and a semi-concave function u such that $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{\eta,u}$. The overlapping pseudographs are well suited to study unstable invariant manifolds. If $\mathcal{G}$ can be represented in two different ways $\mathcal{G}_{\eta,u}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\eta',u'}$, η and η' must belong to the same cohomology class.

Therefore, each pseudograph $\mathcal{G}$ has a well defined cohomology class $c = c(\mathcal{G}) = c(\mathcal{G}_{\eta,u}) = [\eta]$, where $[\eta]$ is the De Rahm cohomology of η. The function u is then uniquely defined up to an additive constant. Thus, if we denote by $\mathbb{S}$ the set of semi-concave functions on M, and by $\mathbb{P}$ the set of overlapping pseudographs we have the identification

$$\mathbb{P} = H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{S}/\mathbb{R}.$$

We denote by $\mathbb{P}_c$, the set of overlapping pseudographs with cohomology c.

D.2 The Lax-Oleinik semi-group

Denote by $\Sigma(t, \varphi_1; s, \varphi_2)$ the set of absolutely continuous curves $\gamma : [t, s] \longrightarrow M$ such that $\gamma(t) = \varphi_1$ and $\gamma(s) = \varphi_2$. Denote by $d\gamma(\tau) = (\gamma(\tau), \dot\gamma(\tau), \tau)$ the one-jet of γ. It is well defined for almost every τ. Given a a closed form η and a cohomology class $c \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ we define

$$L_c(d\gamma(\tau)) = L(d\gamma(\tau)) - c(\dot\gamma(\tau)), \;\; L_\eta(d\gamma(\tau)) = L(d\gamma(\tau)) - \eta(\dot\gamma(\tau)) \tag{76}$$

The Lagrangians L_c and L_η have the same Euler-Lagrange flow as L.

We define the Lax-Oleinik operator on $\mathcal{C}^0(M, \mathbb{R})$,

$$T_\eta u(\varphi) = \min_{\varphi \in M, \gamma \in \Sigma(0,\theta;1,\varphi)} \left(u(\theta) + \int_0^1 L_\eta(d\gamma(\tau))\, d\tau \right).$$

The operator T_η is contracting, that is $\|T_\eta u - T_\eta v\|_\infty \leq \|u - v\|_\infty$.

It turns out that there exists a unique map $\Phi : \mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$\Phi(\mathcal{G}_{\eta,u}) = \mathcal{G}_{\eta,T_\eta u}$$

for all smooth forms η and all semi-concave functions u. Moreover, $c(\Phi(\mathcal{G})) = c(\mathcal{G})$. The map Φ is continuous and preserves $\mathbb{P}_c$ for each $c \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$. Fathi proved that Φ has a fixed point in each $\mathbb{P}_c$ (see e.g. [Fat11]). Denote by $\mathbb{V}_c$ the set of these fixed points and by $\mathbb{V} = \cup_c \mathbb{V}_c$ their union.

D.3 Mather, Aubry and Mañé sets

The set of fixed points $\mathbb{V}_c$ satisfies

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}} \subset \Psi(\mathcal{G})$$

where $\Psi = \Psi^1$ is the time one map of the Hamiltonian flow. Then, we can define the invariant compact sets

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \Psi^{-n}(\mathcal{G}).$$

We use the notation $\widetilde{I}(u,c)$, where $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_{\eta,u}$ and $c = [\eta]$. Using these invariant sets, we define the Mather, Aubry and Mañé sets. To each cohomology class $c \in H^1(M,\mathbb{R})$, we associate the non-empty invariant sets

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(c) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(c),$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c) = \cap_{\mathcal{G}\in\mathbb{V}_c}\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}(c) = \cup_{\mathcal{G}\in\mathbb{V}_c}\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{G})$ are the Aubry and Mañé sets. The Mather sets $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(c)$ is the union of the supports of the invariant measures of the Hamiltonian flow Ψ^t on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c)$. In the case dependence of the Hamiltonian H is essential use subindex, i.e. $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_H(c), \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_H(c), \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_H(c)$.

We define also the Mather alpha function. Among several equivalent ways, we use the definition in [Sor11]. Let $\mathfrak{M}(L)$ be the space of probability measures on TM that are invariant under the Euler-Lagrange flow of L and such that $\int_{TM} L d\mu < \infty$. It can be seen that this set is non-empty. Recall that the Hamiltonian L_c where c is a cohomology class has been defined in (76) and it has the same Euler-Lagrange flow as L. This implies $\mathfrak{M}(L_c) = \mathfrak{M}(L)$. Then, we define the α function as

$$\alpha: \quad H^1(M,\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \qquad c \mapsto \min_{\mu\in\mathfrak{M}(L)} \int_{TM} L_c \, d\mu. \tag{77}$$

Note that the action of L_c does not depend on the chosen representative in the cohomology class and therefore, the α function is well defined. It can be seen that $\alpha(c)$ is convex and super-linear.

We also define the Peierls' barrier. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the function $h_L^n : M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h_c^n(\varphi,\psi) = \min_{\gamma(0)=\varphi,\gamma(n)=\psi} \int_0^n (L(\gamma,\dot\gamma,t) - c\cdot\dot\gamma + \alpha(c))dt.$$

The Peierls' barrier is

$$h_c(\varphi,\psi) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} h_c^n(\varphi,\psi). \tag{78}$$

The limit exists, and the function h_c is Lipschitz in both variables.

D.4 Forcing relation

Following [Ber10a] we define the forcing relation $\dashv\vdash$. Given two pseudographs $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ we define the relation $\mathcal{G} \vdash_N \mathcal{G}'$ as

$$\mathcal{G} \vdash_N \mathcal{G}' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \overline{\mathcal{G}'} \subset \cup_{n=1}^N \Psi^n(\mathcal{G}).$$

We say that $\mathcal{G}$ forces $\mathcal{G}'$, and we write $\mathcal{G} \vdash \mathcal{G}'$, if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{G} \vdash_N \mathcal{G}'$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a subset of T^*M and $c \in H^1(M,\mathbb{R})$, the relations $\mathcal{G} \vdash c$ and $\mathcal{G} \vdash_N c$

mean that there exists an overlapping pseudograph $\mathcal{G}'$ of cohomology c such that $\mathcal{G} \vdash \mathcal{G}'$ (respectively $\mathcal{G} \vdash_N \mathcal{G}'$). Finally, for two cohomology classes $c, c' \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$, the relation $c \vdash_N c'$ means that for each pseudograph $\mathcal{G}$ with cohomology c, we have $\mathcal{G} \vdash_N c'$. The relation $\vdash$ is transitive either between overlapping pseudographs or cohomology classes. We introduce the symmetric relation

$$c \dashv\vdash c' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad c \vdash c' \text{ and } c' \vdash c.$$

We say that c and c' force each other. In [Ber10a] it is shown that the forcing relation $\dashv\vdash$ is an equivalence relation (see Proposition 5.1 there).

We use the forcing relation to drift along the cylinders. We use the following result from [Ber10a]

Proposition 4 (Proposition 0.10 in [Ber10a])**.** *(i) Let $\mathcal{G}$ an $\mathcal{G}'$ be two Lagrangian graphs of cohomologies $c, c' \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$. If $c \dashv\vdash c'$, then there exists an integer time n such that $\Psi^n(\mathcal{G})$ intersects $\mathcal{G}'$.*

(ii) If $c \dashv\vdash c'$, there exist two heteroclinic trajectories of the Hamiltonian flow from $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c)$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c')$ and from $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c')$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c)$.

(iii) Let $\{c_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of cohomology classes all of which force the others. Fix for each i a neighborhood U_i of the corresponding Mather set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(c_i)$ in T^*M. There exists a trajectory of the Hamiltonian flow Ψ^t which visits in turn all the sets U_i. In addition, if the sequence stabilizes to c_- on the left, or (and) to c_+ on the right, the trajectory can be selected to be negatively asymptotic to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c_-)$ or (and) asymptotic to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(c_+)$.

E Notations

E.1 Fixed constants

- d – measures hyperbolicity of NHICs $\mathcal{C}^{\omega_n,i}_{k_n}$ along single resonances. We take $d = 14$.
- q – measures the smallness of the remainder of the single resonance normal form $\mathcal{R}^{k_n}$. We take $q = 18d = 252$.
- θ – measures the amount of double resonances considered. We choose it $\theta = 3q+1 = 757$.
- m – measures the radius of the core of double resonances $\mathrm{Cor}_n(k_n, k')$. We choose $m = \theta + 1 = 758$.
- r – regularity of the original Hamiltonian $H_0 + \varepsilon H_1$. It satisfies $r \geq m + 5q = 2018$.

E.2 Other notations

- $H_0 + \varepsilon H_1$ – the original nearly integrable Hamiltonian.
- D – constant measuring the convexity of H_0: $D^{-1}\|v\| \leq \langle \partial^2 h_0(I)v, v\rangle \leq D\|v\|$.
- $\mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau}$ – the set of diophantine frequencies satisfying $|\omega \cdot k| \geq \eta |k|^{-(2+\tau)}$, with diophantine exponent τ and diophantine constant η.
- $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau} = \mathcal{D}_{\eta,\tau} \cap U$
- $\mathrm{KAM}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ – KAM tori of $H_0 + \varepsilon H_1$ with frequency in $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$.
- $\{\rho_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, $\rho_{n+1} = \rho_n^{1+2\tau}$ – sequence measuring the density of resonances at generation n.
- $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau} \subset \mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ – grid of Diophantine frequencies which are $3\rho_n$ dense in $\mathcal{D}^U_{\eta,\tau}$ such that no two points in $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$ are closer than ρ_n.
- Γ_k – resonance line in frequency space defined by $\omega \cdot k = 0$.
- $\{k_n\}$ – integer vectors whose associated resonance Γ_{k_n} intersects $B_{3\rho_n}(\omega_n)$ of the diophantine frequency $\omega_n \in \mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$. They depend on ω_n even if not explicitly stated.
- $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, $\rho_n = R_n^{-3+5\tau}$, $R_{n+1} = R_n^{1+2\tau}$ – sequence dual to $\{\rho_n\}_{n\geq 1}$. It will measure size of Γ_{k_n} which are ρ_n dense.
- $\mathcal{S}_k \subset \Gamma_k$ – resonant segments of the zero generation.
- $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} \subset \Gamma_k$ – resonant segment along which we obtain drifting orbits.
- $\mathbf{S}_n$ – union of resonant segments $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} \subset \Gamma_k$ of generation n.
- $\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{S}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ – the union of all resonant segments.
- $H'_\varepsilon = H_0 + \varepsilon H_1 + \varepsilon \Delta H^{\mathrm{mol}}$ – mollification of the Hamiltonian $H_0 + \varepsilon H_1$.
- $\Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}}$ – canonical transformation which gives the Pöschel normal form, see Theorem 5.
- $N' = H_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_{\mathrm{Pös}} = H'_0 + \varepsilon R$ – Pöschel normal form.
- $\Omega = \partial_I H'_0$ – the frequency map
- $I_n = \Omega^{-1}(\omega_n)$ – action associated by the map Ω to a Diophantine frequency in $\mathcal{D}^n_{\eta,\tau}$.
- $\mathcal{I}_k = \Omega^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_k)$ – resonant segment of the first generation in action space.
- $\mathcal{I}^{\omega_n}_{k_n} = \Omega^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n})$ – dirichlet resonant segment in action space.
- ΔH^{sr} – deformation of N' to attain non-degeneracy along single resonances.
- ΔH^{dr} – deformation of N' to attain non-degeneracy in double resonances.

- ΔH^{shad} – deformation of N' to attain non-degeneracy which allows shadowing.
- $\text{Sr}_n(k_n, k', k'')$ – single resonance zone along $\mathcal{S}^{\omega_n}_{k_n}$ between the punctures given by $\Gamma_{k'} \,\&\, \Gamma_{k''}$.
- $\text{Cor}_n(k_n, k')$ – core of the double resonance around $\Gamma_{k_n} \cap \Gamma_{k'}$.
- $\mathcal{H}^{k_n} = \mathcal{H}_0^{k_n} + \mathcal{Z}^{k_n} + \mathcal{R}^{k_n}$ – normal form along single resonances, see Key Theorem 2.
- $\Phi_n = \Phi^{k_n}$ – canonical transformation which gives the single resonance normal form.
- $\mathcal{C}^{\omega_n, i}_{k_n}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ – normally hyperbolic invariant cylinders in the transition zone $\text{Sr}_n(k_n, k', k'')$. It is given in Key Theorem 4.
- $\mathcal{H}^{k_n, k'} = \mathcal{H}_0^{k_n, k'} + \mathcal{Z}^{k_n, k'}$ – normal form in the core of double resonance $\text{Cor}_n(k_n, k')$, see Key Theorem 5.
- δ_E – Finsler metric associated to a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{Z}$ at the energy $\{\mathcal{H} = E\}$.
- ℓ_E – length associated to the Finsler metric δ_E.
- γ_h^E – closed geodesic of homology $h \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ given by the length ℓ_E.
- $\mathcal{M}_h^{E_j, E_{j+1}}$ – NHICs made by the union of geodesics γ_h^E with $E \in [E_j - \delta, E_{j+1} + \delta]$.

References

[AR67] Ralph Abraham and Joel Robbin. *Transversal mappings and flows*. An appendix by Al Kelley. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1967.

[Arn64] V.I. Arnold. Instability of dynamical systems with several degrees of freedom. *Sov. Math. Doklady*, 5:581–585, 1964.

[Arn89] V. I. Arnold. *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, volume 60 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[BCV01] Ugo Bessi, Luigi Chierchia, and Enrico Valdinoci. Upper bounds on Arnold diffusion times via Mather theory. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 80(1):105–129, 2001.

[Ber07] P. Bernard. Symplectic aspects of Mather theory. *Duke Math. J.*, 136(3):401–420, 2007.

[Ber08] P. Bernard. The dynamics of pseudographs in convex Hamiltonian systems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(3):615–669, 2008.

[Ber10a] P. Bernard. Large normally hyperbolic cylinders in a priori stable Hamiltonian systems. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 11(5):929–942, 2010.

[Ber10b] P. Bernard. On the conley decomposition of mather sets. *Rev. Mat.Iberoam.*, 26(1):115–132, 2010.

[Bes96] U. Bessi. An approach to Arnol′d's diffusion through the calculus of variations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 26(6):1115–1135, 1996.

[Bes97] U. Bessi. Arnold's example with three rotators. *Nonlinearity*, 10(3):763–781, 1997.

[Bir68] G.D. Birkhoff. Collected math papers. *New York, Dover*, 26(1):462–465, 1968.

[BKZ11] P. Bernard, V. Kaloshin, and K. Zhang. Arnold diffusion in arbitrary degrees of freedom and crumpled 3-dimensional normally hyperbolic invariant cylinders. Preprint available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.2773`, 2011.

[Bou10] A. Bounemoura. Nekhoroshev estimates for finitely differentiable quasi-convex Hamiltonians. *J. Differential Equations*, 249(11):2905–2920, 2010.

[Car95] M. J. Dias Carneiro. On minimizing measures of the action of autonomous Lagrangians. *Nonlinearity*, 8(6):1077–1085, 1995.

[Cas57] J. W. S. Cassels. *An introduction to Diophantine approximation.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 45. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1957.

[CG94] L. Chierchia and G. Gallavotti. Drift and diffusion in phase space. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.*, 60(1):144, 1994.

[Che13] C.Q. Cheng. Arnold diffusion in nearly integrable hamiltonian systems. 2013.

[CHP13] J. Chen, H. Hu, and Y. Pesin. A volume preserving flow with essential coexistence of zero and nonzero lyapunov exponents. *Ergodic Th. & Dynam. Systems*, 33(6):1748–1785, 2013.

[CIPP98] G. Contreras, R. Iturriaga, G. P. Paternain, and M. Paternain. Lagrangian graphs, minimizing measures and Mañé's critical values. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 8(5):788–809, 1998.

[CP02] G Contreras and G.P. Paternain. Connecting orbits between static classes for generic lagrangian systems. *Topology*, 41:645–666, 2002.

[CY04] C.Q. Cheng and J. Yan. Existence of diffusion orbits in a priori unstable Hamiltonian systems. *J. Differential Geom.*, 67(3):457–517, 2004.

[CY09] C.Q. Cheng and J. Yan. Arnold diffusion in hamiltonian systems: apriori unstable case. *J. Differential Geom.*, 82:229, 2009.

[CZ13] C. Q. Cheng and J. Zhang. Asymptotic trajectories of KAM torus. Preprint available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.2102`, 2013.

[DdlLS00] A. Delshams, R. de la Llave, and T.M. Seara. A geometric approach to the existence of orbits with unbounded energy in generic periodic perturbations by a potential of generic geodesic flows of $\mathbb{T}^2$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 209(2):353–392, 2000.

[DdlLS06] A. Delshams, R. de la Llave, and T.M. Seara. A geometric mechanism for diffusion in hamiltonian systems overcoming the large gap problem: heuristics and rigorous verification on a model. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2006.

[DdlLS08] A. Delshams, R. de la Llave, and T. M. Seara. Geometric properties of the scattering map of a normally hyperbolic invariant manifold. *Adv. Math.*, 217(3):1096–1153, 2008.

[DdlLS13] A. Delshams, R. de la Llave, and T. M. Seara. Instability of high dimensional hamiltonian systems: Multiple resonances do not impede diffusion. 2013.

[DH09] A. Delshams and G. Huguet. Geography of resonances and Arnold diffusion in a priori unstable Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinearity*, 22(8):1997–2077, 2009.

[Dou88] R. Douady. Stabilité ou instabilité des points fixes elliptiques. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 21(1):1–46, 1988.

[Ehr59] P.T. Ehrenfest. *The Conceptual Foundations of the Statistical Approach in Mechanics*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1959.

[Fat98] A. Fathi. Orbites heteroclines et ensemble de peierls. (french) [heteroclinic orbits and the peierls set]. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.*, 326:1213–1216, 1998.

[Fat11] A. Fathi. Weak KAM theorem in lagrangian dynamics. Seventh Preliminary Version, 2011.

[Fen72] Neil Fenichel. Persistence and smoothness of invariant manifolds for flows. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 21:193–226, 1971/1972.

[FM00] E. Fontich and P. Martín. Arnold diffusion in perturbations of analytic exact symplectic maps. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 42(8):1397–1412, 2000.

[FM01] E. Fontich and P. Martín. Arnold diffusion in perturbations of analytic integrable Hamiltonian systems. *Discrete Cont. Dyn. Systems*, 7(1):61–84, 2001.

[FM03] E. Fontich and P. Martín. Hamiltonian systems with orbits covering densely submanifolds of small codimension. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 52(1):315–327, 2003.

[FS04] A. Fathi and A. Siconolfi. Existence of C^1 critical subsolutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. *Invent. Math.*, 155(2):363–388, 2004.

[GdlL06] M. Gidea and R. de la Llave. Topological methods in the instability problem of Hamiltonian systems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 14(2):295–328, 2006.

[GT08] V. Gelfreich and D. Turaev. Unbounded energy growth in Hamiltonian systems with a slowly varying parameter. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 283(3):769–794, 2008.

[HK07] B. Hunt and V. Kaloshin. Stretched exponential estimates on growth of the number of periodic points for prevalent diffeomorphisms. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(1):89–170, 2007.

[HK10] B. Hunt and V. Kaloshin. Prevalence. In *Handbook in dynamical systems*, volume 3, pages 43–87. 2010. edited by H. Broer, F. Takens, B. Hasselblatt.

[HPS77] M.W. Hirsch, C.C. Pugh, and M. Shub. *Invariant manifolds*, volume 583. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.

[HPT13] H. Hu, Y. Pesin, and A Talitskaya. A volume preserving diffeomorphism with essential coexistence of zero and nonzero lyapunov exponents. *Comm. in Math. Physics*, 319(2):331–378, 2013.

[Kal97] V. Kaloshin. Some prevalent properties of smooth dynamical systems. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 213, 1997.

[KL08a] V. Kaloshin and M. Levi. An example of Arnold diffusion for near-integrable Hamiltonians. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 45(3):409–427, 2008.

[KL08b] V. Kaloshin and M. Levi. Geometry of Arnold diffusion. *SIAM Rev.*, 50(4):702–720, 2008.

[KLS14] V. Kaloshin, M. Levi, and M. Saprykina. Arnold diffusion in a pendulum lattice. *Comm in Pure and Applied Math*, 67, 2014.

[KMV04] V. Kaloshin, J. Mather, and E. Valdinoci. Instability of totally elliptic points of symplectic maps in dimension 4. *Astérisque*, 297:79–116, 2004.

[KS12] V. Kaloshin and M. Saprykina. An example of a nearly integrable Hamiltonian system with a trajectory dense in a set of maximal Hausdorff dimension. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 315(3):643–697, 2012.

[KZ12] V. Kaloshin and K. Zhang. A strong form of arnold diffusion for two and a half degrees of freedom. Preprint available at `http://www.terpconnect.umd.edu/~vkaloshi/`, 2012.

[KZ14a] V. Kaloshin and K. Zhang. Partial averaging and dynamics of the dominant hamiltonian, with applications to arnold diffusion. Preprint available at `http://www.terpconnect.umd.edu/~vkaloshi/`, 2014.

[KZ14b] V. Kaloshin and K. Zhang. A strong form of arnold diffusion for three and a half degrees of freedom. Preprint available at `http://www.terpconnect.umd.edu/~vkaloshi/`, 2014.

[KZZ09] V. Kaloshin, K. Zhang, and Y. Zheng. Almost dense orbit on energy surface. *Proceedings of XVITH International Congress on Mathematical Physics. Published by World Scientific Publishing Co*, pages 314 – 322, 2009.

[LCD83] P Le Calvez and R. Douady. Exemple de point fixe elliptique non topologiquement stable en dimension 4. *C.R.Acad.Sci. Paris*, 296:895–898, 1983.

[Mat91a] J. N. Mather. Action minimizing invariant measures for positive definite Lagrangian systems. *Math. Z.*, 207(2):169–207, 1991.

[Mat91b] J. N. Mather. Variational construction of orbits of twist diffeomorphisms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4(2):207–263, 1991.

[Mat93] J. N. Mather. Variational construction of connecting orbits. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 43(5):1349–1386, 1993.

[Mat96] J. N. Mather. Manuscript. Unpublished, 1996.

[Mat03] J. N. Mather. Arnold diffusion. I. Announcement of results. *Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl.*, 2:116–130 (electronic), 2003.

[Mat04] J. N. Mather. Examples of Aubry sets. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 24(5):1667–1723, 2004.

[Mat08] J.N. Mather. Arnold diffusion II. Preprint, 185pp, 2008.

[Mat11] J. N. Mather. Shortest curves associated to a degenerate Jacobi metric on $\mathbb{T}^2$. In *Progress in variational methods*, volume 7 of *Nankai Ser. Pure Appl. Math. Theoret. Phys.*, pages 126–168. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.

[Mil63] J. Milnor. *Morse theory*. Based on lecture notes by M. Spivak and R. Wells. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 51. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1963.

[Moe96] R. Moeckel. Transition tori in the five-body problem. *J. Differential Equations*, 129(2):290–314, 1996.

[Pös82] J. Pöschel. Integrability of Hamiltonian systems on Cantor sets. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 35(5):653–696, 1982.

[Sor11] A. Sorrentino. Lecture notes on mathers theory for lagrangian systems. To appear in Princeton University Press (Mathematical Notes Series), 2011.

[Sul94] J. M. Sullivan. Sphere packings give an explicit bound for the Besicovitch covering theorem. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 4(2):219–231, 1994.

[Tre04] D. Treschev. Evolution of slow variables in a priori unstable hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinearity*, 17(5):1803–1841, 2004.

[Tre12] D. Treschev. Arnold diffusion far from strong resonances in multidimensional a priori unstable hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinearity*, (9):2717–2757, 2012.

[Yoc92] J. C. Yoccoz. Travaux de herman sur les tores invariants. *Séminaire N. Bourbaki*, 754:311–344, 1991–1992.

[Zha11] K. Zhang. Speed of Arnold diffusion for analytic Hamiltonian systems. *Invent. Math.*, 186(2):255–290, 2011.